




IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

Present: Thiru.S.Karthikeyan, M.A., M.L., M.Sc., PGDCCFSc., P.G.D.D.F.,

Principal Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, the 5th day of August, 2026

CrL.M.P.No. 7382/2026

in

N.2, Kasimedu P.S., Crime No.165/2026

Juliet **Simon**

*Amended as per order
in Cr.L.M.P. 7495/26, dt. 6/8/26.*

.. Petitioner/Accused

for
PST

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
N.2, Kasimedu Police Station,
Chennai.

..Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s. R.C.Paul Kanagaraj, A.Selvarasu, S.Sakthivel, S.S. Ajay Sriram, the Counsel for the petitioner and of the CPP for respondent police and upon hearing both sides, this Court delivered the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner, who was arrested on 30.07.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 126(2), 296(b), 115(2) of BNS @ 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 117(2), 103(1) and 103(1) r/w 54 of BNS in Crime No. 165/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent. She has not committed any offence as alleged. She has been falsely implicated in this case. No specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody from 30.07.2026. Hence, prays for granting bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned CPP submits that this petitioner and the defacto complainant (deceased) had civil disputes. It is alleged that the petitioner and her family members abused the defacto complainant using unparliamentary words and physically assaulted him, causing a head injury. The defacto complainant was admitted in the hospital and subsequently underwent a medical procedures during treatment at Appollo hospital. Thereafter he was admitted at Cauvery hospital and later died. The investigation is in initial stage. Hence, he strongly opposed the petition.

4. The petitioner is in custody from 30.07.2026. No specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is a woman aged 66 years and just accompanied with the family members at the time of incident. No previous bad antecedent. Considering the above facts, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned **XVI** Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai and on further condition that:-

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the above said petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the above said petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by him directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 5th day of August, 2026.

KARTHIKEYAN
SHANMUGAM

Digitally signed by
KARTHIKEYAN
SHANMUGAM
Date: 2026.08.05
17:57:57 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge

Copy to :

1. The **XVI** Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

GB