

S.C. No. 73/2021
S.T. No. 22(02)2022
GR. 269/2021

CNR no-WBBK09000194 2021

State Vs. Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu

In connection with Barikul P.S. Case No. 09 of 2021 dated 07.06.2021 U/S 302 of the IPC corresponding to GR case no. 269 of 2021

Order No. 78
Dated 21.04.2026

Today is fixed physical production of the accused in custody, appearance of the accused on court bail, examination of the accused persons U/S- 313 of the Cr.P.C. and hearing of the petition, dated 07.03.2026 praying for bail of the accused **Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu.**

The accused Animesh Pal on court bail is absent from the court.

Accused Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu is not produced.

The Local Bar passes a resolution and requests the court not to pass advarsed order.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the instant petition praying for bail of the accused Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu.

C.D is produced.

Ld. P.P. is present before the court.

It is submitted that suppressing the original facts the accused has been falsely implicated in this case. It is also submitted that the accused is in custody since 07.06.2021 and all the witnesses are already examined. Ld. advocate also submit that the accused has immovable property with the jurisdiction of this court and as such there is a chance of his disappearance.

Ld. Advocate also submit that the accused is ready to abide by any condition which may be imposed by this court in case of granting him bail.

On the other hand Ld. P.P raises vehement objection against the prayer for bail of the accused submitting inter alia that the allegation against the accused is direct and there are huge incriminating materials against the accused persons in the case record and the allegation is very heinous in nature. Ld. PP further submit that Hon'ble Court has been please to reject the prayer for bail of the accused previously.

Ld. PP also submits that if the prayer for bail of the accused is entertained then it will delay the disposal of the case.

In view of the above submission, Ld. PP strongly submits that the prayer for bail of the accused should be rejected.

On perusal of the record, it is seen that the allegation against the accused is serious, heinous and direct. It is also seen that there are huge incriminating materials in the Case Record against the accused persons.

It is further seen that the trial of the case is at its fag end and if the accused gets bail and avoids court then delay will occurred in disposal of the case. There is also no change of

circumstance in the case. It is also the fact that the prayer for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble Court on previous occasions.

Therefore, considering the in the prevailing facts and circumstances of the case, materials in the record, previous rejections of prayer for bail of the accused, submission of the respective parties, nature, gravity and heinousness of the allegation, fact that the prayer for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble Court, I am not inclined to entertain the prayer for bail of the accused.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the accused **Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu** stands rejected.

The instant petition is accordingly disposed of.

Return CD.

Fix 14.05.2026 for physical production of the accused **Sunil Murmu @ Hapan Murmu** in custody, appearance of the accused Animesh pal and examination of the accused persons U/S-313 of the Cr.P.C.

Dictated and Corrected by me

A.S.J
Khatra, Bankura

Additional Sessions Judge,
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura
J.O. Code WB00638

