

**S.C. No. 33/2024**  
**S.T. No. 04(07)2024**  
**GR. 174/2024**

**CNR no-WBBK09000165 2024**

**State Vs. Biswanath Mahata**

**In connection with Ranibandh P.S. Case No. 17 of 2024 dated 07.03.2024 U/S 498A/304B of the IPC and U/Ss. 3 / 4 of the D.P. Act. corresponding to GR case no. 174 of 2024**

**Order No. 18**  
**Dated 21.01.2026**

Today is fixed for hearing of petition, dated 13.01.2026 praying for bail of the accused Biswanath Mahata.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the instant petition.

Ld. P.P. In-charge is present before the court.

It is submitted on behalf of both the parties that previously the prayer for bail of the accused accused was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

It is submitted that the accused is in custody since long. It is also submitted that examination of the witnesses is going on. It is also submitted on behalf of the defence that only two witnesses are examined before the court and so many witnesses are required to be examined and a sufficient time will be required for completion of the trial.

It is also submitted that the prayer for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble Court on ..in connection with the CRM (DB)

In view of the above, Ld. Defence Counsel submits that the prayer for bail of the accused should be allowed in any term and condition.

On the other hand Ld. P.P In-charge raises vehement objection against the prayer for bail of the accused submitting inter alia that the allegation against the accused is direct and very serious in nature.

It is also submitted that considering the gravity of the allegation of the commission of offences, Hon'ble Court had been pleased to reject the prayer for bail of the accused.

It is further submitted on behalf off the prosecution that the examination of the witnesses are going on and if the accused is enlarged on bail at this moment, then the trial will be hampered and so that considering the entire situation, the prayer for bail of the accused should be rejected.

On perusal of the record, it is seen that the allegation against the accused is direct and very heinous in nature. It is also seen that there are huge incriminating materials in the CD. Recording of evidence of the prosecution is going on.

Contd..P/2

It is seen that the Hon'ble High Court had been pleased to rejected the prayers for bail of the accused on 03.03.2025 vide CRM(DB) 3947 of 2024.

There is no change of circumstances in the case also. This court also holds that if the accused is granted bail at this moment, then he may flee from justice and trial will be delayed and justice will be hampered.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, materials in the record, submission of the respective parties, nature, gravity and heinousness of the alleged offences, the previous rejection of the prayer for bail of the accused by the Hon'ble Court and at the same time taking into account the incriminating materials in different pages of the CD, I do not find any convincing ground to entertain the instant prayer of the accused.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the accused Biswanath Mahata stands rejected.

The instant petition is accordingly disposed of.

To date for production and prosecution evidence.

Issue summons accordingly if not already issued.

BC is directed to do the needful.

Dictated and Corrected by me

A.S.J  
Khatra, Bankura

Additional Sessions Judge,  
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura  
J.O. Code WB00638