

S.T. 06(09)12

S.C. 04(04)12

Order No. 72,
Date- 12.09.19.

Both the accused persons namely, **Rajesh @ Ujjwal Panda and Mriganka Shekhar Panda** on court bail are present.

Today is fixed for further argument.

At the time of the hearing of the argument, it appears that the charge was framed against both the above named accused persons U/s 498A/306/34 of the IPC. But it appears from the evidence as well as materials on record that charges U/s 304-B/34 of the IPC as well as sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act should also be framed against the accused persons.

Heard Ld. P.P as well as the Ld. Defence Counsel.

Having heard the submissions of both sides as well as considering the materials available on record charges U/s 304B/34 of the IPC as well as 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act are also framed and the contents of the said charges are read over and explained to both the above named accused persons, to which both of them pleaded their innocence and claimed to be tried. Accordingly, forms of charges framed against both the accused persons is kept with the record.

As the charges against the accused persons have once again framed U/s 304-B/34 of the IPC as well as sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, so I am of the view that both the prosecution as well as the defence should be given another opportunity to hear whether they want the de novo trial in its entirety or to reexamine or recrossexamine any witnesses once again or not.

To 10.12.2019 for further hearing. Accused as before.

D/C by me

A.S.J.
12.09.2019

Additional Sessions Judge,
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura.
12.09.2019.