

S.T. No. 01(06)2025
S.C. No. 33/2025
GR. 42/2025

CNR no-WBBK09000152 2025

State Vs. Sukumar Nandi

In connection with Raipur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2025 dated 21.01.2025 U/S 103(1) of the BNS.

Order No. 12
Dated 04.12.2025

Today is fixed for hearing of petition, dated 21.11.2025 praying for bail of the accused Sukumar Nandi @ Gadadhar.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the instant petition.

Ld. P.P. In-charge is present before the court.

It is submitted on behalf of both the parties that no application for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta nor any prayer for bail of the accused is pending before the Hon'ble court or any other higher forum.

It is submitted that the accused is in custody since 21-01-2025 and this court rejected the prayer for bail of the accused last on 20-09-2025.

It is submitted that eh PW-1 who is the de facto complainant of the case and the mother of the victim has deposed before the court and she does not support the prosecution case though she is said to be an eye witness.

It is also submitted that another witness, Bhagna of the accused, is minor and he is residing at Purulia.

It is also submitted that charge sheet is submitted and there is no chance of tampering evidence and hampering investigation.

It is also submitted that there is no chance of immediate conclusion of trial and where the de facto complainant herself has not supported the prosecution so that the accused should be enlarged on bail in any term and condition.

On the other hand Ld. P.P In-charge raises vehement objection against the prayer for bail of the accused submitting inter alia that there are multiple injuries in the Post Mortem examination report.

It is also submitted that the minor who is also an important witness is yet to be examined.

Ld. PP-In-Charge further submits that there are huge and direct incriminating materials in the CD against the accused and the allegation is very heinous in nature and so that considering the gravity of the allegation, the prayer for bail of the accused should be rejected.

Contd.....P/2

On perusal of the record, it is seen that the allegation against the accused is direct and it is very serious in nature. It is also seen that there are huge incriminating materials in the CD. The statements of the witnesses available in the CD are very alarming and if the accused be enlarged on bail at this stage, then a wrong message will go to the society.

On the other hand, the allegation is very painful from legal, social and moral point of view and at the same time, a huge number of witnesses are required to be examined on dock and it will not be proper to entertain the instant prayer for bail of the accused at this stage before examination of sufficient number of witnesses.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, materials in the record, submission of the respective parties, nature and gravity of the alleged offence and at the same time taking into account the incriminating materials in different pages of the CD, the instant prayer of the accused, Sukumar Nandi @ Gadadhar stands rejected.

The instant petition is accordingly disposed of.

To date

Dictated and Corrected by me

A.S.J
Khatra, Bankura

Additional Sessions Judge,
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura
J.O. Code WB00638