

State of Haryana VS Nasib @ Meenu etc.

Present: Shri Immy Gandhi, Id. APP for the State.
Shri J.D.S Chatha, Advocate for accused Sheela. (in custody) (not present today).

Arguments on bail application of accused Sheela heard at length. As per reply already filed on dated 31.10.2022 on behalf of SHO P.S. City Safidon, one another case i.e. FIR No.52/2021 U/S 380 IPC PS City Jind has been registered against the accused Sheela, that means his antecedents are not clear.

2. Shri Chatha, has argued that accused Sheela has been in custody since 27.10.2022 and her custody is no more required for investigation purpose therefore, she be admitted to relief of bail.

3. Per contra, Shri Immy Gandhi, learned APP for the State has opposed the bail application and stated that against accused Sheela offence under Section 380, 454 and read with Section 34 IPC has been mentioned in the remand papers by police, therefore, allegations against her is serious and accordingly, prayer has been made that bail application of accused Sheela may kindly be dismissed.

4. There is no dispute about this thing that accused Sheela has been in custody since 27.10.2022. Accordingly, bail application filed on behalf of accused Sheela is hereby **allowed**, subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount. **Requisite bonds not furnished.** Now, the case is adjourned to **10.11.2022** for production of accused persons.

(Sarika)
D/JMIC, Safidon.
UID No.HR0558.

Date of Order: 03.11.2022

Ravindra, Stenographer-III