

Title Suit No. 89 of 2025

CIS No. 89 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000149-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05

Dated 07-11-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant no.7 to 12 and hearing of temporary injunction application as ex parte against defendant no.1.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.7 to 12.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.7 to 12 filed adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

At the very outset of this order, it is pertinent to mention here that plaintiffs prayed an order of injunction against defendant no.1, but inspite of receiving of summons, defendant no.1 did not appear on the last occasion.

The instant suit is also proceeding as ex parte against defendant no.1.

Considering the above noted circumstances, the temporary injunction application has been fixed for hearing as ex parte against defendant no.1.

Heard Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

Perused the application.

Now the case record is taken up for hearing of injunction application.

The crux of the plaintiffs' case is that the suit property originally belonged to Ashiruddin Sha in accordance with R.S. R-o-R. Thereafter, said Ashiruddin Sha had expired leaving behind his three sons, namely, Momin Sha, Nejamuddin Sha and Dedar Sha as his legal heirs and successors. Therefore, they inherited the suit plot in equal share. It has been further averred that Momin Sha had also expired leaving behind his two sons, namely, Mansur Sha and Babujan Sha as his legal heirs and successors. So as, Rabial Hossain Sha, Mohammad Sha, Ataur Sha and Jakir Sha are the legal heirs and successor of Babujan Sha. It has been more averred that Nejamuddin Sha, one of the sons of erstwhile owner, namely, Ashiruddin Sha also expired leaving behind his only legal heir and successor as Rabial Sha. However, Rabial Sha expired leaving behind Safiddin Sha and Monirujjaman Sha. Dedar Sha, another son of erstwhile owner, namely, Ashiruddin Sha also expired leaving behind his only legal heir and successor as Gaji Sha.

It has been averred in the plaint that Gaji Sha had transferred 1 decimal of land out of 3 decimals by a registered deed of gift bearing no.00688 for the year 2011 in favour of plaintiff no.1 to 4. Gaji Sha again transferred 1 decimal of land out of left 2 decimals by a registered deed of gift bearing no.010300822 for the year 2015 in favour of plaintiff no.1 to 4. Now, Gaji Sha is owning and possessing only 1 decimal of land. Now the present position of the suit plot is plaintiff no.1 to 5 are the joint owner of 3 decimal of land same as defendant no.2 to 10 as well as 11 & 12. It has been further alleged in the plaint that Amena Khatun by establishing unholy nexus with concerned BL & LRO caused mutation of 3 decimals of land in respect of the suit property. Plaintiffs emphatically contended that erstwhile owners of the suit property never transferred a single decimal of land in favour of Amena Khatun. Moreover, said Amena Khatun executed one deed in favour of defendant no.1 when she had no valid title at the time of executing the deed.

Due to several problems by possessing the suit plot in *ejmal*, plaintiffs on several occasion requested the defendants to make amicable partition of the suit property amongst them, but defendants flatly refused the same. Now, the defendant no.1 is trying to make illegal construction over the suit plot.

So, plaintiffs have prayed for an order of injunction restraining the defendant no.1 from causing any hindrance in matter of peaceful enjoyment and possession of the plaintiffs over the schedule property and further prayed restraining the defendant no.1 from changing the nature and character of the suit property by way of making unauthorized construction.

Ld. Counsel in the course of his submission, has produced the certified copy of R.S. R-o-R which reflects the name of erstwhile owner of the suit plot namely, Ashiruddin Sha. In support to their contention plaintiffs also filed two registered deeds by which said Gaji Sha has transferred some portion of suit property in favour of plaintiff no.1 to 4. Plaintiffs also filed legal heir certificate of Ashiruddin Sha. The plot information which has been filed by the plaintiffs also corroborated the facts of the plaintiffs that the plaintiffs and defendants are the co-sharers of the suit property.

It has already been stated that the instant application has been fixed for hearing against defendant no.1. So, I have no other alternative to accept the documents as adduced by the plaintiffs as conclusive in nature.

Contd.....

Contd. Order no. 05
Dated 07-11-2025

Considering the aforesaid documents, I find that plaintiffs are quite able to prove a *prima facie* case in their favour. Moreover, all the documents do not reflect the fact of partition between the parties to the suit. The balance of convenience and inconvenience also tilts in favour of the plaintiffs on the basis of the deeds and R.S. R-o-R filed by them. I also filed urgency to entertain the ad interim injunction application because prima facie from the chain of documents the title of Amena Khatun does not establish. Accordingly, if defendant no.1, who got some portion of the suit property from said Amena Khatun manages to change the nature and character of the suit property, the plaintiffs will suffer irreparable loss and injuries. Even if, defendant no.1 tried to create any third party interest in respect of the suit property, it will give birth of multiplicity of proceedings.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of CPC preferred by the plaintiffs be and the same is allowed as ex parte.

Defendant no.1, his men, agents and associates are hereby restrained from alienating the schedule property or any portion thereof till the disposal of the suit. They are also hereby restrained from changing the nature and character of the suit property by way of making any unauthorized construction over the suit property till the disposal of the suit.

However, all the parties are directed to maintain '*status quo*' in regard to their respective possession over the suit property till the disposal of the suit.

Requisites filed in respect of defendant no.6.

Suit Clerk is directed to issue the same at once.

Fix **06-12-2025** W.S. by defendant no.7 & 12 and SR in respect of defendant no.6.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 95 of 2023
CIS No. 95 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000208-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 13
Dated 07-11-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by plaintiff.
The put up petitions are considered and allowed.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of plaintiff filed one application under Order 23 Rule 1(3) (a) of the CPC.

Plaintiff Tarun Chandra on being examined on oath has expressed his unequivocal volition in withdrawing the suit. The plaintiff has stated in the application that the dispute was amicably settled out of Court. This Court does not find any procedural or substantive impediment in granting the application under determination. There is no necessity to deliver any separate order as to costs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 23 Rule 1(3)(a) of CPC preferred by the plaintiff be and the same is granted without any order as to costs.

Title Suit no.95 of 2023 filed by the plaintiff Tarun Chandra against Rita Dutta & Ors. be and the same is disposed on withdrawal without any liberty to file afresh.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Interlocutory application which is pending for consideration is also disposed of.

Original documents, if any, be returned to the plaintiff after proper verification and inspection.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to update germane registers and update CIS forthwith.

The record is not required to be put up on the date fixed.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 14 of 2018
CIS No. 49 of 2018
CNR No. WBBK07-000059-2018
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 68
Dated 07-11-2025

Today is fixed for P.H. as last chance.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1(ka).

Plaintiff no.2 Biswajit Sarkar is present and he was examined as PW-2 on a separate form and the same is kept with the record.

Witness identified some document and those are marked as Exhibits and mentioned in one separate Exhibit list and the same is also kept with the record.

He was fully cross-examined.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs verbally submits that he will not adduce any further PW and accordingly the evidence of PW stands closed.

Fix **06-12-2025** for DW.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 98 of 2023
CIS No. 98 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000212-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 17

Dated 07-11-2025

Today is fixed for payment of cost by defendant no.1 to 7 and acceptance of W.S. as well as SR in respect of defendant no.8 & 9 and hearing of temporary injunction application including application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 7.

Cost has been paid by the defendant no.1 to 7. One money receipt was filed by the plaintiffs to that effect.

Let the same be kept with the record.

Accordingly, W.S. filed by the defendant no. 1 to 7 is hereby accepted.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.8 & 9.

Today plaintiffs filed W.O. against application filed by the defendant no.1 to 7 under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

The copy of W.O. is served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1 to 7 and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

The W.O. is kept with the record.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one application filed under Order 1 Rule 10 (2) of the CPC.

The copy of the application is served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Now, the petition filed under Order 1 Rule 10 (2) of the CPC is taken up for hearing.

In the application filed today under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC, it has been asserted that defendant no.8, namely, Mahendra Nath Bose had expired during the pendency of the suit leaving behind his legal heirs and successors mentioned in the schedule of the application are to be substituted and made a party to the case.

The suit has been instituted for declaration, partition and other reliefs and therefore right to sue survives on the legal representatives of the deceased defendant no.8.

Prayer for substitution is allowed.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to incorporate the fact of substitution of the defendant no.8 by his legal representatives mentioned in the schedule of the application.

Plaintiffs are hereby directed to furnish a revised plaint incorporating the name and description of the legal representatives of the deceased defendant no.8 and furnish requisites for issuance of summons upon the said legal representatives of the defendant no.8, namely, 8(a) Krishna Bose, 8(b) Mina Biswas, 8(c) Tapas Kr. Bose 8(d) Anita Das and defendant no.9 **in the meantime**.

Fix **31-01-2026** for filing substituted plaint by the plaintiffs, awaiting SR & AD in respect of defendant no.8(a) to 8(d) & 9 and hearing of temporary injunction application including application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. 20 of 2020

(CIS 19 of 2020)

CNR No. WBBK07-000093-2020

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 35

Dated 07-11-2025

This date is fixed for hearing of petition filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC as well as haring of application filed under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC including injunction application.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiffs and defendant no.1 & 4.

Ld. Counsels for the plaintiffs filed one application under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

The copy of said application was served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs also filed one adjournment application for hearing all the interlocutory applications.

The prayer is considered and allowed as last chance.

Fix **29-01-2026** for hearing of petition filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC, application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC as well as haring of application filed under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC including injunction application.

W.O. by the defendants, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Title Suit No. 61 of 2018

CIS No. 97 of 20218

CNR No. WBBK07-000137-2018

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 29

Dated 07-11-2025

Today is fixed for report by the Learned Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed writ.

At the very outset of this order it is pertinent to mention here that plaintiff was directed to file the writ in the meantime, but due to some unavoidable circumstances, the step has not been taken in the meantime, and accordingly plaintiff filed one application under Section 151 of the CPC.

Perused the application.

Considering the rule of natural justice, the prayer under Section 151 of CPC is allowed, but without any order as to costs.

Thus, the application under Section 151 of the CPC is hereby disposed of.

Let the writ be issued at once.

Suit Clerk is directed to do the needful.

Fix **24-02-2026** for report by the Learned Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 20 of 2018
CIS No. 53 of 2018
CNR No. WBBK07-000063-2018
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 64
Dated 07-11-2025

Today is fixed for P.H. as last chance.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of plaintiff filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time for adducing P.W.
The prayer is considered and allowed as last and special chance.
Fix **20-12-2025** for P.H. as special chance.
Plaintiff is directed to serve the copy of affidavit-in-chief along with its annexure to the Ld. Counsel for the defendant at least seven days ago from the next date.
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 131 of 2025

CIS No. 131 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000218-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.9 to 12 and hearing of injunction application as well as W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.1 to 8.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 8.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.9 to 12.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no. 9 to 12 in the meantime.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.1 to 8 filed adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 8 again filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.O.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that due to caveat filed by the defendants, the plaintiffs were unable to move the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 on ad interim basis.

Accordingly, notice to show-cause was issued against the defendants and seven days time was given to them. Thereafter, on the seventh day, the defendant no.1 to 8 appeared and filed fresh vakalatnama. However, inspite of couple of chances, the defendant no.1 to 8 did not file any written objection against the injunction application filed by the plaintiffs. It is also pertinent to mention here that filing of caveat does not empower the defendant to drag the case beyond certain time. Accordingly, the prayer for time for filing W.O. as prayed by the defendants is rejected.

Contd.....

Title Suit No. 131 of 2025

CIS No. 131 of 2025

Contd. Order no. 05

Dated 06-11-2025

Now, the case record is taken up for hearing the temporary injunction application filed by the plaintiffs.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

The crux of the plaintiffs' case is that the suit property as mentioned in the 'Ka' schedule of the plaint was perviously belonged to one Ekamat Shah, i.e., the predecessors of the plaintiff in accordance with the R.S. R-o-R. Thereafter said Ekmat Shah had expired leaving behind his two sons as his legal heirs and successors. Accordingly, the 'Ka' schedule property devolved upon his two sons having 50 per cent share each. Thereafter, one of the sons of said Ekamat Shah, namely, Jarjit Shah has executed one deed of gift vide no.198 for the year 1997 in favour of his four sons, i.e., plaintiff no.1, plaintiff no.2, proforma defendant no.9 and Ahamadulla Shah. Plaintiff no.3 & 4 and proforma defendant no.10 to 12 are the legal heirs and successors of Ahamadulla Shah. Defendant no.1 to 8 are the legal heirs of Serajuddin Shah, the another of Ekamat Shah who jointly possessed the 50 per cent share of 'A' schedule property.

It has been alleged by plaintiffs that defendant no.1 to 8 are raising illegal construction on the valuable portion of the suit plot in order to deprive plaintiffs' share in the suit plot. Thus, by filing this application, plaintiffs have prayed for

passing an order of temporary injunction against defendant no. 1 to 8 from raising illegal construction over suit plot and also from transferring suit property by way of sale, mortgage, lease, exchange, gift or any other mode during the pendency of the suit to avoid multiplicity of suits.

On the other hand, defendant no.1 to 8 denied and disputed all material allegation as raised by the plaintiffs in their plaint except the matter of records. Although defendant no.1 to 8 did not file written objection but stated that the suit property was amicable partitioned between the predecessor of the plaintiffs and defendants about sixty years ago. Thereafter, the defendants started to possess the front portion of the suit property and similarly the plaintiffs and proforma defendants started to possess the back portion of the suit property as per their amicable verbal settlement. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 8 also submitted the order-sheets of Misc. case filed by the plaintiffs under Section 163 of the BNSS before the Executive Magistrate. He also submitted that the BL & LRO, Bishnupur, submitted one report that plaintiffs are possessing the back side of the suit property and defendants are possessing the front side of the suit property. By submitting this report Ld. Counsel for the defendants prayed for rejection of the injunction application with heavy costs.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs in the course of his submission, have produced certified copies of R.S. R-o-R, the original deed of gift and current L.R. R-o-R. Documents of plaintiffs give clear inference that plaintiffs are co-sharers of the suit property and have good *prima facie* case to prefer this application before this Court having right, title, interest and possession in the suit property.

It is a well-settled principle that the valid partition can only be done either by registered instrument or by decree of a Court. Though defendants contended that they are possessing the front position of the suit property for more than 60 years, but failed to file any document in regard to the same. Moreover, the report of the BL & LRO does not clearly state that for how many years the defendants are possessing the front portion of the suit property. Even, defendants failed to file a single chit of document showing the fact of verbal partition.

There are triable issues which makes a *prima facie* case in favour of plaintiffs. Plaintiffs also able to prove a *prima facie* case in their favour on the basis of the documents as advanced by them. The balance of convenience or

Contd.....

Title Suit No. 131 of 2025

CIS No. 131 of 2025

Contd. Order no. 05

Dated 06-11-2025

inconvenience also tilts in favour of the plaintiffs on the basis of the series of documents and records. The plaintiffs will also suffer irreparable loss and injury, if the nature and character of the suit property has been changed by the act of defendant no.1 to 8 prior to proper partition of the suit property because there is ample opportunity for defendant no.1 to 8 to grab the cream portion of the undivided suit property. Considering the nature of dispute and to avoid future complications which may give rise to multiplicity of proceeding, I am inclined to grant an order of injunction because all basic principles tilts in favour of the plaintiffs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED,

that injunction application preferred by the plaintiffs under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of the CPC read with Section 151 of the CPC is allowed on contest without any order as to costs.

Accordingly, the parties are directed to maintain '*status quo*' in regard to their respective possession as mentioned in this order over the suit property till the disposal of the suit. They are also hereby restrained from creating any third party interest in respect of the suit property till the disposal of the suit.

Defendants also filed one application under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC.

The copy of application was served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs prayed time for filing W.O. against the application.

Considering the rule of natural justice, the prayer is allowed.

Fix **05-12-2025** for W.S. by defendant no.1 to 8 and SR & AD in respect of defendant no.9 to 12 as well as hearing of application filed by the defendant no.1 to 8 under Order 39 Rule 7 of CPC.

W.O. by the plaintiffs, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 56 of 2025

CIS No. 56 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000095-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant no.1 & SR & AD in respect of defendant no.2 to 27.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.2 to 27.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are at liberty to take steps in respect of defendant no.2 to 27.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.1 filed written statement and the same is kept with the record.

The copy of W.S. was served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Defendant no.1 also filed written objection against the injunction application filed by the plaintiff.

The copy of W.O. was also served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1 filed one application under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to accept the W.O. & W.S. as those has not been filed within stipulated period of time.

Defendant no.1 also filed show-cause mentioning the reason for not filing the W.O. & W.S. within stipulated period of time.

Perused the show-cause and it is found quite satisfactory.

Accordingly, the W.S. and W.O. are provisionally accepted, subject to the payment of cost of Rs.500/- to be paid to the plaintiff.

Thus, the application filed under Section 151 of the CPC by the defendant no.1 for acceptance of W.O. & W.S. is disposed of.

Defendant no.1 also filed one application under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

The copy of said application was again served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs and he received the same by putting his endorsement. Thereafter, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs prayed for time to file W.O. against the said application.

Considering the rule of natural justice, prayer for time is allowed.

Fix **20-01-2026** for payment of cost, acceptance of W.S. & W.O., hearing of temporary injunction application as well as W.O. by the plaintiffs against the application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC and SR in respect of defendant no.2 to 27.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 72 of 2020

CIS No. 33 of 2020

CNR No. WBBK07-000091-2019

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 31

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for show-cause by the plaintiff.

It is pertinent to mention here that plaintiff was absent on previous date also and accordingly direction was given to the plaintiff to file show-cause.

Today, plaintiff neither file any steps today, nor file any reply to show-cause.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiffs, it appears to me that plaintiff became reluctant to proceed the suit further.

Hence, it is,

Ordered,

that the instant suit is dismissed for default.

Thus, the suit is disposed of without any contest.

Note in the CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 25 of 2025

CIS No. 25 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000035-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 07

Dated 14-08-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant no.1, 2, 3 & 5 as well as SR & AD in respect of defendant no.4.

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1, 2, 3 & 5.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.4.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.4 in the meantime.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.1, 2, 3 & 5 filed written statement and the same is kept with the record.

The W.S. filed by the defendants is accepted.

The copy of W.S. was served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

One written objection filed by the plaintiffs against the application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

The copy of written objection was also served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1.

Fix **06-11-2025** for hearing of temporary injunction application as well as application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC and SR in respect of defendant no.4.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Misc. Appeal No. 05 of 2025

CIS No. 05 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000199-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 02

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for admissions hearing.

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the appellants.

Heard Ld. Counsel for the appellants.

It appears from the record that the instant appeal has been instituted beyond statutory period and accordingly, appellants filed one application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

However, without hearing the respondent the application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act cannot be allowed, but the appeal provisionally accepted.

Appellants are directed to file requisites at once.

Call for TCR.

Suit Clerk to do the needful.

Fix **13-01-2026** for SR, call for TCR and hearing of application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 46 of 2015

CIS No. 75 of 2016

CNR No. WBBK07-000075-2015

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 69

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for tendering affidavit-in-chief as ex parte.

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the plaintiffs.

Plaintiff no.1 Manik Dewan was examined as PW-1 as *ex parte* on a separate form and the same is kept with the record.

Witness identified some documents and those are marked as Exhibits and mentioned in one separate Exhibit list and the same is also kept with the record.

Fix **10-12-2025** for *ex parte* argument.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. 77 of 2025
(CIS 77 of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-000136-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04
Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for *ex parte* hearing.
Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiffs.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time.
The prayer is considered and allowed.
Fix **10-12-2025** for *ex parte* hearing of the suit.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 43 of 2018
CIS No. 80 of 2018
CNR No. WBBK07-000100-2018
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 63
Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for awaiting Commissioner's Report.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1 & 2.
No report has been received yet from Learned Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner.
Accordingly, case is hereby adjourned.
Fix **27-11-2025** for awaiting Commissioner's Report.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 97 of 2023
CIS No. 97 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000210-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 20
Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of injunction application and application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and the defendant no.1, 2 & 4.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one adjournment application on the ground stated therein.

The prayer is considered and allowed as a last chance.

Fix **23-12-2025** for haring of injunction application and application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date after considering the application filed by the plaintiffs.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 04 of 2018
CIS No. 04 of 2018
CNR No. WBBK07-000005-2018
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 70
Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for further cross-examination of PW-1.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and the defendants.

PW-1 was fully cross-examined on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff verbally prays for closure of PWs.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Fix **23-12-2025** for DW.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 53 of 2023
CIS No. 53 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000140-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 10

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for framing of issues.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 & 2.

The case record is taken up for framing of issues.

Perused the pleadings of the parties.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Accordingly, issues are framed on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.

Fix **22-12-2025** for P.H.

Discovery and inspection, if any, in the meantime.

Plaintiffs are directed to supply the copy of affidavit-in-chief along with all supported documents to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants at least seven days ago from the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

In the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 53 of 2023

CIS No. 53 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000140-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Partha Singha Dev & Ors. -----Plaintiffs

---- Vs. ---

Kartick Ch. Mondal & Ors. -----Defendants

Upon the pleading of both sides the following issues are framed this day on 06-11-2025 :-

1. Is the suit maintainable in its present form, prayer and Act?
2. Have the plaintiffs any cause of action to file the suit ?
3. Whether the suit is barred by rule of *res judicata*?
4. Is the suit barred by law of limitation ?
5. Whether the suit is barred by estoppel, acquiescence and waiver ?
6. Is the suit bad for defect of parties?
7. Are the plaintiffs co-owners of the schedule property ?
8. Are the defendants co-owners of the schedule property ?
9. Are the plaintiffs entitled to get the decree as prayed for?
10. To what other relief/reliefs, if any, plaintiffs are entitled?

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 131 of 2025

CIS No. 131 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000218-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.9 to 12, as well as hearing of temporary injunction application and W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.1 to 8.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the

No report has been received yet from the Nazir.

Accordingly, the case is adjourned for today.

Fix **08-01-2026** for report and necessary order.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 24 of 2022

CIS No. 24 of 2022

CNR No. WBBK07-000109-2022

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 27

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of injunction petition and petition filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1. through their respective Ld. Counsels.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed two separate applications under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC along with application under Order 22 Rule 4 of the CPC.

The copies of those applications were served to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1.

However, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff not-pressed those applications.

Accordingly, the application filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC along with application under Order 22 Rule 4 of the CPC are hereby disposed of being not-pressed.

Be it necessary to mention here that those applications were filed pertaining to the death of defendant no.2.

Fix **09-12-2025** for hearing of injunction petition and petition filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

Ad interim order of injunction is extended upto the next date.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 25 of 2025

CIS No. 25 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000035-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of temporary injunction application and application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC as well as SR in respect of defendant no.4.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1, 2, 3 & 5.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs submitted that defendant no.4 Swapan Lohar had expired.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file steps pertaining to death of defendant no.4 in the meantime.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed two separate applications under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC pertaining to death of plaintiff no.2 & 5 respectively.

The copies of those applications were served to the Ld. Counsel for defendants.

Now, the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC is taken up for hearing pertaining to death of plaintiff no.2.

The crux of the application is that plaintiff no.2, namely, Mrityunjay Lohar had expired on 26-05-2025 leaving behind his legal heirs and successors as Sadhana Lohar (wife), Soumen Lohar (son), Piyali Lohar (daughter) & Lakkhi Lohar (mother) as per Hindu Succession Act and plaintiffs intend to substitute the legal heirs of deceased plaintiff no.2 in this instant suit.

Be it necessary to mention here that fresh *vakalatnama* has been filed in respect of the legal heirs of deceased plaintiff no.2.

It is pertinent to mention here that the instant suit has been filed for partition and declaration.

Accordingly, the legal heirs of plaintiff no.2 are necessary parties in this suit, because their civil right is connected with this instant suit and right to sue survives.

Considering the above noted circumstances, I do not find any impediment to allow the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC in respect of deceased defendant no.2.

Secondly, the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC is taken up for hearing pertaining to death of plaintiff no.5.

The crux of the application is that plaintiff no.5, namely, Gurupada Lohar had expired on 13-07-2025 leaving behind his legal heir and successor as Lakkhi Lohar (mother) as per Hindu Succession Act and plaintiffs intend to substitute the legal heirs of deceased plaintiff no.5 in this instant suit.

Be it necessary to mention here that fresh *vakalatnama* has been filed in respect of the legal heir of deceased plaintiff no.5.

It is pertinent to mention here that the instant suit has been filed for partition and declaration.

Accordingly, the legal heir of plaintiff no.5 is necessary party in this suit for proper adjudication of the suit.

Considering the above noted circumstances, I do not find any impediment to allow the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC in respect of deceased defendant no.5.

Hence, it is,

Ordered,

that both the applications filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC is hereby allowed on contest, but without any order as to costs.

Let the legal heirs of plaintiff no.2 & 5 are hereby substituted.

Suit Clerk is directed to incorporate the facts in the cause title of the plaint as per schedule as mentioned in the applications.

Plaintiffs are again directed to file substitute copy of plaint in the meantime.

Fix **03-02-2026** for filing substituted copy of plaint and steps for deceased defendant no.4, hearing of temporary injunction application and application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

J.Misc. Case No. 03 of 2025

CIS No. 03 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000087-2025

(Arising out of J.Misc. Case no.10 of 2023)

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 06-11-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the petitioner through Ld. Counsel.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner filed one adjournment application on the ground stated therein.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that requisites has not been filed by the petitioner for the respondents/opposite parties.

Accordingly, petitioner is directed to file requisites at once.

Fix **06-02-2026** for SR in respect of all O.P.s.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Execution No. 01 of 2025

CIS No. 01 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000085-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04

Dated 20-09-2025

Today is fixed for report and necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the decree-holder.

No report has been received yet from the Nazir.

Accordingly, the case is adjourned for today.

Fix **08-01-2026** for report and necessary order.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Execution No. 01 of 2025

CIS No. 01 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000085-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05

Dated 06-11-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by the decree-holder.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the decree-holder *inter alia* stating that the report has already been submitted by the Nazir.

Perused the report.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the decree-holder also filed one application under Section 151 of CPC *inter alia* stating that the judgment debtor has vacated the suit property and peacefully handed over the possession of the suit property to the decree-holder.

The report of Nazir is also corroborated the fact of the prosecution filed by the decree-holder.

Thus, the instant execution case is dropped and disposed of after full satisfaction.

The record is not required to be put up on the date fixed.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 07 of 2022
CIS No. 07 of 2022
CNR No. WBBK07-000027-2022
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 18
Dated 31-10-2025

The record is placed as it was misplaced on the last occasion.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendants through their respective Ld. Counsels.

Cost has been paid in terms of order no.26 dated 28-08-2025 in connection with J.Misc. Case no.09/2023.

The money receipt has been filed to this effect and the same is kept with the record.

Now, the case record is taken up for framing of issues.

Perused the pleadings of the parties.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Accordingly, issues are framed on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.

Fix **10-11-2025** for P.H.

Discovery and inspection, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

In the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bishnupur
Title Suit No. 07 of 2022
CIS No. 07 of 2022
CNR No. WBBK07-000027-2022
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Anisur Mondal -----Plaintiff

---- Vs. ---

Rafiq Hossain Mondal & Ors. -----Defendants

Upon the pleading of both sides the following issues are framed this day on 31-10-2025 :-

11. Is the suit maintainable in its present form, prayer and Act?
12. Has the plaintiff any cause of action to file the suit ?
13. Is the suit barred by law of limitation ?
14. Whether the suit is barred by estoppel, acquiescence and waiver ?
15. Is the suit bad for defect of parties?
16. Is the plaintiff co-owner of the schedule property ?
17. Are the defendants co-owners of the schedule property ?
18. Is the plaintiff entitled to get the decree as prayed for?
19. To what other relief/reliefs, if any, plaintiff is entitled?

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Fix **27-11-2025** for

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 13 of 2001
CIS No. 318 of 2014
CNR No. WBBK07-000004-2001
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 89
Dated 04-11-2025

Today is fixed for fresh steps by the plaintiff.
Plaintiff is absent without any step.
None appears on behalf of plaintiff inspite of repeated calls.
Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the instant suit shall not be dismissed for default.

Fix **03-12-2026** for show-cause by plaintiff.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 57 of 2025
CIS No. 57 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000096-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 04-11-2025

Today is fixed for SR in respect of defendants.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.
Plaintiff filed one application under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of the CPC.
Plaintiff Giribala Biswas on being examined on oath has expressed her unequivocal volition in withdrawing the suit. The plaintiff has stated in the application that there are several technical defects in the suit. This Court does not find any procedural or substantive impediment in granting the application under determination. There is no necessity to deliver any separate order as to costs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 23 Rule 1(3)(b) of CPC preferred by the plaintiff be and the same is granted without any order as to costs. Title Suit no.57 of 2025 filed by the plaintiff Giribala Biswas against Supravat Pal and Ors. be and the same is disposed on withdrawal with a liberty to file afresh.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Original documents, if any, be returned to the plaintiff after proper verification and inspection.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to update germane registers and update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 01 of 2025
CIS No. 01 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000005-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 04-11-2025

Today is fixed for show-cause by defendant.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and the defendant.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant filed adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing reply to show-cause.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Fix **21-02-2026** for show-cause by defendant as last chance.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 126 of 2023
CIS No. 126 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000261-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 10
Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for report from Sheristadar and submission of deficit Court fees by the plaintiff.

Report from Sheristadar is received.

Plaintiff is absent without any step.

None appears on behalf of plaintiff inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the instant suit shall not be dismissed for default.

Fix **19-01-2026** for show-cause by plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 08 of 2015
CIS No. 15 of 2015
CNR No. WBBK07-000012-2015
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 108
Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for argument.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one intimation stating that defendant no.4 had expired.

Accordingly, plaintiff is directed to take appropriate step in respect of deceased defendant no.4 in the meantime.

Fix **15-12-2025** for steps by the plaintiff in respect of deceased defendant no.4.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 52 of 2021
CIS No. 52 of 2021
CNR No. WBBK07-000099-2021
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 22
Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for SR in respect of defendant no.1 to 9, 11, 12, 14 & 15, as well as hearing of applications filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC & under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.17 & 18.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs submitted that defendant no.4 & 7 had expired during the pendency of the suit.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file proper steps in respect of deceased defendant no.4 & 7 in the meantime.

Fix **17-02-2026** for SR in respect of defendant no.1 to 9, 11, 12, 14 & 15, hearing of applications filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC & under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC as well as steps by the plaintiffs in respect of deceased defendant no.4 & 7.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 13 of 2023

CIS No. 13 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000294-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and the defendant.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant filed adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.

It is pertinent to mention that defendant appeared on 12-03-2025, but no W.S. has been filed yet.

So, it is clear to this Court that defendant failed to file any W.S. within the stipulated period of time as prescribed under Order 8 Rule 1 of the CPC.

Considering the above noted circumstances the time petition filed by defendant is rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by defendant as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against him.

Fix **09-01-2026** for show-cause by defendant.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 129 of 2025

CIS No. 129 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000217-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04

Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for filing W.O. & W.S. by defendant no.1 to 4.

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.

Defendants prayed for time for filing W.S. & W.O.

The prayer for time is considered and allowed.

Fix **02-01-2026** for hearing of temporary injunction application as well as W.O. & W.S. by defendants.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 132 of 2023

CIS No. 132 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000273-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 03-11-2025

Today is fixed for show-cause by defendant no.1 to 5 & 12, SR & AD in respect of defendant no. 6 to 11 and hearing of petition filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.

Defendant no.1 to 5 & 12 are absent without any steps.

None appears on their behalf inspite of repeated calls.

Even on the last occasion they were absent without any step.

So, I find that defendant no.1 to 5 & 12 have lost their interest to contest the instant suit.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.1 to 5 & 12.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.6 to 11.

Now the case record is taken up for hearing the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

The crux of the application is that some clerical mistakes was done by the plaintiffs at the time of drafting of the plaint. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs categorically submitted that father of defendant no.10 & 11 was wrongly mentioned as 'Akinchan Pal' instead of 'Prafulla Pal'.

In this context this Court wants to take the help of a famous observation held in *Cropper Vs. Smith* case and it has been observed as "*I think it is a well - establish principle that the object of courts is to reside the rights of the parties and not to punish them for mistakes they make in the conduct of their case by deciding other wise than in accordance with their rights*".

Considering the above noted circumstances and considering the observation, I do not find any legal impediment to allow the application preferred by the plaintiffs because it will not affect the nature and character of the suit and it is a merely clerical mistake.

Accordingly, prayer of the plaintiffs is allowed.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application preferred by the plaintiffs be and the same is allowed as *ex parte*.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to give effect to the said amendment as mentioned in the schedule of the application under determination.

However, plaintiffs are directed to file revised copy of plaint along with requisites in respect of defendant no. 6 to 11 in the meantime.

Fix **17-02-2026** for SR & AD in respect of defendant no. 6 to 11.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Title Suit No. 03 of 2021

CIS No. 03 of 2021

CNR No. WBBK07-000016-2021

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 43

Dated 31-10-2025

This date is fixed for necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiff and defendant no.1, 3(a) to 3(c) & 4 to 6.

At the very outset of this order it is pertinent to mention here that one application under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC was allowed in respect of defendant no.2 and on the earlier occasion plaintiff filed the compliance, i.e., paper publication, but as one month has not been completed from the date of paper publication no specific order was passed against defendant no.2.

Today, defendant no.2 is absent without any step inspite of paper publication. None appears on his behalf inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.2.

Plaintiffs also filed revised/substituted copy of plaint.

Let the same be kept with the record.

The substituted copy of plaint is found fit and correct.

Thus it has been accepted.

Fix **19-11-2025** for hearing of temporary injunction application and hearing of application filed under Section 151 of the CPC for police protection as directed by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 99 of 2024

CIS No. 101 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000232-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 31-10-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of temporary injunction application and application for police help as well as SR & AD in respect of defendant no.10 to 12 and 15 to 17 as well as W.O. by plaintiffs against application filed by defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 9.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed original postal receipt along with postal track consignment report in respect of defendant no. 10 to 12 and 15 to 17.

It appears from the reports that summons were duly served upon defendant no. 10 to 12 and 15 to 17.

Even after receiving summons, no step was taken by defendant no. 10 to 12 and 15 to 17. None appears on their behalf also.

Accordingly, the suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no. 10 to 12 and 15 to 17.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed written objection against the application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Let the same be kept with the record.

The copy of written objection was served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs also filed two separate applications under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC and under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

The copies of those application were served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs averred that he will file W.O.s against those applications.

Accordingly, the case is adjourned for today.

Fix **17-01-2026** for hearing of temporary injunction application, application for police help and hearing of application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC and under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

W.O.s by the defendants in the meantime.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended till the next date on the basis of application filed by the plaintiffs.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 18 of 2025

CIS No. 18 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000026-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 31-10-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant no.1 & 2 and appearance of defendant no.12 as well as SR & AD in respect of defendant no.3 to 11 & 13.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 & 2, Defendant no.12 is absent without any steps.

None appears on behalf of defendant no.12 inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.12.

It is pertinent to mention here that plaintiffs were directed to file requisites in respect of defendant no.3 to 11 & 13 in the meantime, but plaintiffs failed to file the requisites.

Accordingly, plaintiffs filed adjournment application in this regard.

The application is considered and allowed as last chance.

Thus, plaintiffs are strictly directed to file requisites in respect of defendant no.3 to 11 & 13 in the meantime.

Today, defendant no.2 filed W.S. along with one application for acceptance of W.S. as the W.S. has not been filed within stipulated period of time.

However, considering the rule of natural justice, especially when the defendant no.2 is trying to contest the suit by filing W.S., the prayer for defendant no.2 is allowed, but subject to payment of cost of Rs.500/- to be paid to the plaintiffs.

Accordingly, the W.S. filed by the defendant no.2 is provisionally accepted subject to the condition precedent.

Contd.....

Title Suit No. 18 of 2025

CIS No. 18 of 2025

Contd. Order no. 03

Dated 31-10-2025

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 filed adjournment application on the ground stated therein for filing W.S.

In this context, it is apposite to mention here that summons was duly served upon defendant no.1 on 20-05-2025, but defendant no.1 did not file any W.S. yet.

So, it is very clear to this Court that defendant no.1 failed to file W.S. within the stipulated period of time.

Thus, the prayer for time as prayed by defendant no.1 is hereby rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by defendant no.1 as to why his defence shall not be struck out in this instant suit.

Fix **19-02-2026** for show-cause by defendant no.1, payment of cost by defendant no.2 and acceptance of W.S. filed by defendant no.2 as well as SR & AD in respect of defendant no.3 to 11 & 13.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 46 of 2025

CIS No. 47 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000078-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11

Dated 31-10-2025

Today is fixed for P.H.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendants.

Netai Bairagya, the power-of-attorney holder is present for the plaintiff and he was examined as PW-1 on a separate form and the same is kept with the record.

Witness identified some documents and those have been marked as Exhibits and the same are also noted one separate exhibit list and the same is kept with the record.

He was fully cross-examined.

Evidence for the side of the plaintiff is closed on the basis of the prayer of the Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff.

Further, Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants verbally submits that he will not adduce any DW and accordingly the evidence of DW also stand closed.

Fix **19-11-2025** for argument.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 51 of 2019

CIS No. 52 of 2019

CNR No. WBBK07-0000246-2019

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 43

Dated 31-10-2025

Today is fixed for hearing the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

Memorandum of attendance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 4 & 6.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed W.O. against the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

The copy of W.O. was served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

In this context, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs submitted that he just received the W.O. and without going through the W.O. the hearing cannot be possible for him in this day.

Considering the rule of natural justice, prayer for time is allowed.

Fix **21-01-2026** for for hearing the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

Uptate CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 102 of 2024

CIS No. 104 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000235-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 09

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.7, appearance of defendant no.1 to 5, hearing of applications filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC and Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.6.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.7.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.7 in the meantime.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed written objection against the application filed by the defendant under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Let the same be kept with the record.

Plaintiffs are also directed to serve the copy of written objection to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.6 in the meantime.

Defendant no.1 to 5 are absent without any step today.

None appears on behalf of them inspite of repeated calls.

It is pertinent to mention here that on the earlier occasion they were also absent without any step.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.1 to 5.

Fix to date **28-01-2026** for SR in respect of defendant no.7, hearing of temporary injunction application as well as application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC and hearing of applications filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC and under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC.

W.O. by defendant no.6, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 64 of 2025

CIS No. 64 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000112-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04

Dated 25-09-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by the plaintiffs.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs filed one application under Section 39 Rule 1 & 2 of the CPC.

The copy of application was served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants.

Fix to date (09-01-2026) for hearing of temporary injunction application.

W.O., by the defendants, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 64 of 2025
CIS No. 64 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000112-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 29-10-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by the plaintiffs.
The put up petition is considered and allowed.
Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs filed one application under Section 151 of the CPC with a prayer to prepone for hearing of temporary injunction application.
Now, the case record is taken up for hearing the application filed with a prayer for prepone the case.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs submitted that the instant case is fixed on 09-01-2026 and for exigency the said application is necessary for early hearing and disposal.
In this context, it is also apposite to mention here that defendant no.1, 2, 4, 5 & 6 have already appeared in this suit. Accordingly, without hearing the defendants, the temporary injunction application cannot be disposed of. Be it also necessary to mention here that plaintiffs prayed an order of injunction against defendant no.4, 5 & 6 who have already appeared in this suit.
However, it is pertinent to mention here that speedy disposal is a fundamental right granted by the Constitution of India.
Accordingly, I have no impediment to allow the prayer of plaintiffs.
Hence, I am with a considered view to fix the instant case on 28-11-2025 for hearing the said temporary injunction application filed by plaintiffs.
Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to communicate the order pertaining to the fact of preponing the case with a further direction to submit an **affidavit-of-service** showing service to the defendant no.1, 2, 4, 5 & 6 regarding the fact of preponing.
Thus, the application filed under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer for preponing of the suit is hereby disposed of.
Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 120 of 2023
CIS No. 120 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000249-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 14
Dated 29-10-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up application.
The put up application is considered and allowed.
Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs filed application under Order 21 Rule 22 read with Section 151 of the CPC for restoration of the instant suit *inter alia*, stating that the preliminary decree of the suit has already been drawn and for final decree the restoration of the suit is necessary.
Considering the above noted circumstances, I have no other alternative but to restore this suit.
Therefore, the application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 21 Rule 22 read with Section 151 of the CPC is hereby allowed.
Thus, the instant suit is restored.
Suit Clerk is directed to make necessary entries in the CIS.

Now, the case record is taken up for hearing the application filed by plaintiffs pertaining to appointment of Ld. Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner.

Heard Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

Perused the petition and also gone through the preliminary decree which has been drawn on 23-07-2025. I find that this Court had decreed the suit in preliminary form declaring shares of the plaintiffs and defendants in the suit pertaining to the suit property mentioned in the schedule. It further appears that parties have failed to reach to a consensus and effect the partition by metes and bounds amicably within the period given by the Court so plaintiffs have prayed for partition of the decreed property by appointment of Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner.

Thus, in the given facts and circumstances, I do not find any legal impediment in allowing the application under determination and appoint a pleader commissioner to hold survey commission on the basis of the preliminary decree.

Let **Mr. Susovan Nandi**, the Learned Survey Passed Advocate be appointed as Commissioner for holding the survey commission in terms of the preliminary decree. Plaintiffs are directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** towards the provisional cost of commission work at once. Writ will be issued after payment of provisional cost. Learned Advocate Commissioner is requested to serve notice upon both the parties before holding survey commission. He is also requested to prepare his report taking into consideration the compactness of the present & actual possession of the parties on the basis of equitable principles relating to partition as far as practicable & furnish the same by the next date.

Plaintiffs are directed to furnish the relevant papers and documents to the Ld. Advocate Commissioner at once.

Fix **20-12-2025** for awaiting Commissioner's Report.

Writ in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Extract Copy of Order

Title Suit No. 85 of 2024

CIS No. 87 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000193-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 15
Dated 29-10-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up application filed by the plaintiffs.

The put up application is considered and allowed.

Today Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff filed one application under Section 151 of the CPC.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Considering the Order no.14 dated 17-09-2025, the O.C., Patrasayer PS is directed to remove any remaining particles of the pandals or idols, if any, at once from the property in question.

O.C., Patrasayer PS is further directed to submit a report on the next date positively.

Let a copy of this order be circulated to O.C., Patrasayer PS for his information and necessary action.

Fix to date **(04-12-2025)** for hearing of application filed under Order 7 Rule 11 of the CPC and report of O.C., Patrasayer PS.

W.O. by the plaintiff, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

*Sd/-
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur*

*Sd/-
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur*

OFFICE OF THE CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION), BISHNUPUR,
BANKURA

Memo No.

Dated 29-10-2025

- *Copy forwarded to O.C., Patrasayer PS*

Title Suit No. 51 of 2023

CIS No. 51 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000137-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 19

Dated 29-10-2025

Today is fixed for show-cause by defendant no.9 & 11.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1.

Defendant no.9 & 11 are absent without any step.

None appears on behalf of them inspite of repeated calls. Even on the last occasion they were absent without any steps.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.9 & 11.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one application under Section 151 of the CPC with a prayer to get back the original documents which were filed at the time of temporary injunction hearing.

Heard both sides.

Considered.

Let the original documents be returned to the plaintiff after taking photocopies of those documents.

Suit Clerk to do the needful.

Now, the case record is taken up for framing of issues for speedy disposal of the suit.

Perused the pleadings of the parties.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Accordingly, issues are framed on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.

Fix **12-12-2025** for P.H.

Discovery and inspection, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

In the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 51 of 2023

CIS No. 51 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000137-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Sk. Mujibar -----Plaintiff

---- Vs. ---

Sk. Aliya @ Aliya Begam & Ors. -----Defendants

Upon the pleading of both sides the following issues are framed this day on 29-10-2025 :-

20. Is the suit maintainable in its present form, prayer and Act?
21. Has the plaintiff any cause of action to file the suit ?
22. Is the suit barred by law of limitation ?
23. Whether the suit is barred by estoppel, acquiescence and waiver ?
24. Is the suit bad for defect of parties?
25. Is the plaintiff co-owner of the schedule property ?

26. Are the defendants co-owners of the schedule property ?
27. Is the plaintiff entitled to get the decree as prayed for?
28. To what other relief/reliefs, if any, plaintiff is entitled?

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 76 of 2023

CIS No. 76 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000181-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 21
Dated 29-10-2025

Today is fixed for P.H.

Memorandum of attendance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 4.

Plaintiff no.1 was examined as PW-1 on a separate form and the same is kept with the record.

Witness identified some documents and those are marked as Exhibits and mentioned in one separate Exhibit list and the same is also kept with the record.

Witness was partly cross-examined and cross-examination of PW-1 was deferred on prayer of Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1

Fix **06-12-2025** for further cross-examination of PW-1.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 103 of 2023

CIS No. 103 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000224-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 12

Dated 29-10-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant filed W.S. along with one application under Section 151 of the CPC with a prayer to accept the W.S. as it has not been filed within the stipulated period of time.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Considering the rule of natural justice the application filed by the defendant under Section 151 of CPC is hereby allowed on contest, but without any order as to costs.

Thus the W.S. filed by the defendant is accpeted.

The copy of W.S. was served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Now, the case record is taken up for framing of issues for speedy disposal of the suit.

Perused the pleadings of the parties.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Accordingly, issues are framed on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.

Fix **11-11-2025** for P.H.

Discovery and inspection, if any, in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

In the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 103 of 2023

CIS No. 103 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000224-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Ananta Gour -----Plaintiff

---- Vs. ---

Nepal Ch. Gope -----Defendant

Upon the pleading of both sides the following issues are framed this day on 29-10-2025 :-

29. Is the suit maintainable in its present form, prayer and Act?

30. Has the plaintiff any cause of action to file the suit ?

31. Is the suit barred by law of limitation ?

32. Whether the suit is barred by estoppel, acquiescence and waiver ?

33. Is the suit bad for defect of parties?

34. Is the plaintiff co-owner of the schedule property ?
35. Is the defendant co-owner of the schedule property ?
36. Is the plaintiff entitled to get the decree as prayed for?
37. To what other relief/reliefs, if any, plaintiff is entitled?

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 116 of 2025

CIS No. 116 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000430-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05

Dated 29-10-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.2, hearing of temporary injunction application, SR in respect of proforma defendant no.1 & 2 as well as hearing of the application filed by defendant no.1 & 2 under Order 7 Rule 11 (a) to (d) of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and the defendant no.1 & 2.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1 & 2 filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.S. & W.O.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

In the similar manner Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. against the application filed by the defendant no.1 & 2 under Order 7 Rule 11(a) to (d) of the CPC.

The prayer is also considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the respective parties to the suit submitted that at first the application filed by defendant no.1 & 2 under Order 7 Rule 11(a) to (d) of CPC should be disposed of on prior basis.

Thus, the temporary injunction application be kept in abeyance till the disposal of the application filed by defendant no.1 & 2 under Order 7 Rule 11(a) to (d) of CPC.

Today, Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed two applications under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC and under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

Contd.....

Title Suit No. 116 of 2025

CIS No. 116 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000430-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Contd. Order no. 05

Dated 29-10-2025

The copies of those applications were served to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 & 2 and he verbally prayed for time for filing W.O.s against those applications.

Considering the rule of natural justice, the prayer for time for filing W.O.s is allowed.

Today Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs also filed amended copy of plaint, but that cannot be considered at this juncture prior to disposal of the applications filed by the plaintiffs today.

Hence, the amended copy of plaint is kept with the record.

Fix **10-12-2025** for hearing of application filed by the defendant no.1 & 2 under Order 7 Rule 11(a) to (d) of CPC and W.O. by the plaintiffs at least seven days ago from the next date and hearing of applications filed by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC and under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC and W.O.s by the defendants against the applications filed by the plaintiffs at least seven days ago from the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 16 of 2025
CIS No. 16 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000024-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. by defendant no.2.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.2 filed one application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.
The prayer is considered and allowed as last chance.
Fix **11-11-2025** for W.S. by defendant no.2.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Execution No. 02 of 2023
CIS No. 02 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000268-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 09
Dated 28-10-2025

This date is fixed for report and necessary order.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the decree-holder.
Report from Nazir is received and the same is kept with the record.

Report clearly reflects that the *khas* possession of the suit property was handed over to the decree-holder.

Accordingly, the instant execution proceeding is dropped and disposed of after full satisfaction.

Note in CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. 75 of 2024

(CIS 77 of 2024)

CNR No. WBBK07-000172-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 09
Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for payment of cost, acceptance of W.S. filed by defendant no.1 and steps by the plaintiff in respect of deceased defendant no.2.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed money receipt.

Let the money receipt be kept with the record.

Accordingly, the W.S. filed by defendant no.1 is hereby accepted.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of plaintiff filed one application under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC with prayer to add the wife of deceased defendant no.2 as a necessary party in this instant suit.

Ld. Counsel further submitted that the other legal heirs of deceased defendant no.2 were already on record.

However, Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.1 prayed for time for filing W.O. against the application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Plaintiff is directed to serve the copy of application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 in the meantime positively.

Fix **07-01-2026** for hearing of application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC and W.O. by the defendant no.1.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 106 of 2024

CIS No. 108 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000252-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 09

Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for payment of cost by defendant no.5 to 7 and acceptance of W.S. and W.O.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.5 to 7.

Cost has been paid by defendant no.5 to 7 and one money receipt has been filed by the plaintiff to this effect.

Let the money receipt be kept with the record.

Accordingly, W.S. filed by defendant no.5 to 7 is accepted.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one written objection against the stay petition filed by defendant no.5 to 7.

It is pertinent to mention here that the said petition was already disposed of on 04-08-2025.

Accordingly, the written objection filed by the plaintiffs cannot be considered.

Plaintiffs also filed written objection against the application filed by defendant no.5 to 7 under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

The copy of written objection was served to the Ld. Counsel for the defeating no.5 to 7.

Fix **19-11-2025** for hearing of temporary injunction application and application filed by defendant no.5 to 7 under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 51 of 2018

CIS No. 87 of 2018

CNR No. WBBK07-000115-2018

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 61

Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for hearing petition filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and the defendant no.2 to 7.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no. 2 to 7 filed written objection against the petition filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC and the same is kept with the record.

The copy of the W.O. is served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

In this context Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that today he just received the W.O. and it is not possible for him to go through the total W.O. and to argue in respect of the application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

Accordingly, to this effect Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.

Considering the rule of natural justice, adjournment application is allowed.
Fix **22-07-2024** for hearing petition filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 49 of 2019

CIS No. 50 of 2019

CNR No. WBBK07-000244-2019

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 41

Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for SR in respect of defendant no.2(a) and 13 as well as W.S. by defendant no.14.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and the defendant no.14.

Plaintiff filed fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.2(a) & 13 including one application under Section 151 of CPC.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff inter alia submitted that direction was given to the plaintiff to file requisites in the meantime, but due to unavoidable circumstances the requisites was not filed.

Considering the rule of natural justice, the prayer of the plaintiff is allowed.

Let the requisites be issued at once.

Suit Clerk is directed to do the needful.

Accordingly, the application filed under Section 151 of CPC is disposed of.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.14 filed one application prying for time for filing W.S.

It appears from the record that defendant no.14 appeared on 14-07-2025, but he has not filed any W.S. till today.

So, it is clear to this Court that defendant no.14 failed to file W.S. within the stipulated period of time.

Accordingly, prayer for time for filing W.S. filed by defendant no.14 is hereby rejected.

Thus, show-cause by defendant no.14 as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against him.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendant no.14 also filed one application for defendant no.9 & 10 which is considered and rejected because the suit is already proceeding as ex parte against defendant no.9 & 10.

Fix **30-01-2026** for show-cause by defendant no.14, SR & AD in respect of defendant no.2(a) & 13.

Plaintiff is directed to file fresh revised copy of plaint for proper adjudication of the suit.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 27 of 2020

CIS No. 27 of 2020

CNR No. WBBK07-000102-2020

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 38

Dated 28-10-2025

This day is fixed for show-cause by the plaintiffs.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed reply to show-cause supported by an affidavit.

Perused the reply to show-cause and heard Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

The reply is quite satisfactory and it is accepted.

Today Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed two applications under Order 22 Rule 4(4) of the CPC inter alia stating the defendant no.8 & 9 had expired leaving behind no legal heir or successor and accordingly prayed to strike out their names from the cause title of the suit.

It is pertinent to mention here that the suit was already proceeding as ex parte against defendant no.8 & 9.

Accordingly, I do not find any legal impediment to allow the prayer of the plaintiffs.

Thus, the applications filed by the plaintiffs are allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs also filed another application under Section 151 of CPC inter alia stating that defendant no.4 also expired leaving behind his two sons and two daughters who are already parties to the suit and their names have been mentioned as defendant no.5 to 8 respectively. He also submitted that out of them, defendant no.7 had expired during pendency of the suit and accordingly plaintiffs filed one application under Order 1 Rule 10 (2) of the CPC with a prayer to make the legal heirs of the defendant no.7 as parties to the suit.

The instant suit has been filed for declaration and partition and accordingly the right to sue survives in respect of legal heirs of deceased defendant no.7.

Thus, the legal heirs of deceased defendant no.7 as mentioned in the schedule of the application are made as defendant no.7(ka) to 7(gha) respectively.

Accordingly, the applications filed under Section 151 of the CPC and under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC are hereby allowed.

In the same manner plaintiffs also filed one application under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC pertaining to deceased defendant no.10.

It has already been mentioned that the instant suit has been filed for declaration and partition and accordingly the right to sue survives in respect of legal heirs of deceased defendant no.10.

Thus, the legal heirs of deceased defendant no.10 as mentioned in the schedule of the application are made as defendant no.10(ka) to 10(gha) respectively.

Accordingly, the application filed under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the CPC pertaining to deceased defendant no.10 is also hereby allowed.

It is apposite to mention here that plaintiffs already filed substituted copy of plaint along with requisites in respect of substituted defendants along with defendant no.3, 11 & 12.

To **03-02-2025** for SR in respect of defendant no.3, 11, 12, 7(ka) to 7(gha) & 10(ka) to 10(gha).

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 61 of 2017
CIS No. 07 of 2018
CNR No. WBBK07-000008-2018
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 75
Dated 28-10-2025

Today is fixed for further cross-examination of DW-1.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one adjournment application on the ground stated therein.
The prayer is considered and allowed as a last chance.
Fix **11-11-2025** for further cross-examination of DW-1 as last chance.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 25 of 2008
CIS No. 292 of 2014
CNR No. WBBK07-000014-2008
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 186
Dated 27-10-2025

This day is fixed for DW as last and special chance.
Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiffs and defendant no.1 (kha) to 1 (gha) & 2 to 4.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one information that defendant no.2, namely, Dharani Shaw had expired.
Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to take proper steps in respect of deceased defendant no.2 in the meantime.
Fix **19-11-2025** for steps by the plaintiffs.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Execution No. 01 of 2025

CIS No. 01 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000242-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 02
Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for SR & necessary order.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the decree-holder.
SR received, but service is not satisfactory.
Accordingly, decree-holder is directed to file fresh requisites in respect of judgment debtor at once.
Fix **11-11-2025** for SR and necessary order.
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Extract Copy of Order

Title Execution No. 01 of 2023
CIS No. 01 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000214-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 17
Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for compliance by the plaintiff/decreed-holder.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the decree-holder.
The cost of police assistance has been paid by the decree-holder amounting Rs.10,977/- and receipt of challan has been filed to this effect.
Let the same be kept with the record.
Accordingly, I.C., Bishnupur PS is directed to depute two lady constables, two male constables and one Assistant Sub-Inspector with arms for smooth execution of the decree on **20-11-2025** and it has been further directed that they will report to the Nazir Office of this Court on 20-11-2025 at 11:00 A.M.
Let a copy of this order be sent to the I.C., Bishnupur PS for his information and necessary action.
Accordingly, decree-holder is directed to submit a fresh requisites for the execution.
Nazir of this Court is also directed to deliver the khas and vacant possession of schedule property in favour of the decree-holder with the help of the police.
A copy of this order be also sent to the Nazir along with the requisites for his information and necessary action.
Fix **25-11-2025** for report by Nazir.
Update CIS.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Sd/- T.Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Sd/- T.Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

OFFICE OF THE CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION), BISHNUPUR,
BANKURA

Memo No.

Dated 27-10-2025

- Copy forwarded to I.C., Bishnupur PS
- Copy forwarded to Nazir, Bishnupur Court

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 71 of 1997
CIS No. 405 of 2014
CNR No. WBBK07-000004-1995
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 325
Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for steps by the plaintiffs pertaining to death of defendant no.15 and filing substituted copy of plaint.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiffs ad defendant no. 1 to 4.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed one adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing revised copy of plaint.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of plaintiffs filed one application under Order 22 Rule 4(4) of the CPC in respect of defendant no.16, namely, Menoka Rani Ghosh.

The crux of the application is that defendant no.16 had expired on 30-11-2014 during the pendency of the suit and plaintiffs prayed to exempt them from substituting the legal heirs of defendant no.16, *inter alia*, stating that the instant suit is proceeding as ex parte against defendant no.16.

Considering the provision as laid down under Order 22 Rule 4(4) of the CPC, I find that exemption can be granted to the plaintiffs because it will delay the delivery of the judgment especially when the instant suit is pending for more than 25 years.

Hence, it is,

Ordered,

that the application preferred by the plaintiff under Order 22 Rule 4(4) of the CPC is hereby allowed without any order as to costs.

Thus, plaintiffs are given exemption for substituting the legal representatives of defendant no.16.

Fix **27-01-2026** for argument as well as filing revised copy of plaint along with written notes of argument.

Update CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 03 of 2025
CIS No. 03 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000003-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08
Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.6 to 9, W.O. & W.S. by defendant no.1 to 5 as well as hearing of injunction application.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1.

Defendant no.2 to 5 are absent today.

None appears on behalf of them inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly, show-cause by defendant no.2 to 5 as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against them.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.1 filed W.O. & W.S. along with one application under Section 151 of the CPC for acceptance of W.S. including fresh vakalatnama.

Let the same be kept with the record.

The copy of W.S. & W.O. were served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs raised no objection against the prayer of defendant no.1.

Thus, the W.S. & W.O. are accepted.

The application filed by defendant no.1 under Section 151 of CPC is hereby disposed of.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.1 also filed one application under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

The copy of such application was also served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs verbally prayed for time for filing W.O. against the application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC.

The prayer is also considered and allowed.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.6 to 9.

However, plaintiffs are strictly directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.6 to 9 positively in the meantime.

Ld. Advocate for the plaintiffs filed two separate applications with prayer for extension of ad interim injunction order as well as extension of police help order.

Ad interim injunction order is extended till the next date on the basis of the application filed by the plaintiffs.

Police help order is also extended till the next date after considering the prayer of the plaintiffs.

Fix **27-01-2026** for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.6 to 9, show-cause by defendant no.2 to 5 as well as hearing of injunction hearing and W.O. by the plaintiffs against the application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

J.Misc. Case No. 02 of 2025

CIS No. 02 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000074-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04

Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for SR & appearance.

No SR has been received yet.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the petitioners.

Fix **27-01-2026** for SR & appearance.

Requisites in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Execution Case No. 01 of 2018

CIS No. 01 of 2018

CNR No. WBBK07-000134-2019

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 48

Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for awaiting further order from Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the decree holder and judgment debtor.

The judgment debtor/appellant failed to show any document pertaining to the compliance of order of Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta passed on 28-04-2025 particularly the direction as mentioned in paragraphs no.13 to 16 of the said order.

Accordingly, judgment debtor is directed to show document on the next date pertaining to compliance as directed by Hon'ble Court.

Fix **29-01-2026** for compliance and awaiting from Hon'ble Court.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 52 of 2017

CIS No. 89 of 2018

CNR No. WBBK07-000028-2021

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 57

Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for filing substituted plaint by the plaintiffs and awaiting SR & AD in respect of defendant no. 1(ka) to 1(gha).

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.2, 3, 5 & 6.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs filed substituted plaint and the same is kept with the record.

The copy of substituted plaint was served to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2, 3, 5 & 6.

Perused the substituted plaint and it is found fit and proper.

Let the same be accepted.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed requisites in respect of defendant no.1 (ka) to 1(gha) along with one application under Section 151 of the CPC.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs inter alia submitted that direction was given to the plaintiffs to file requisites in the meantime, but due to unavoidable circumstances the requisites was not filed.

Considering the rule of natural justice, the prayer of the plaintiffs is allowed.

Let the requisites be issued at once.

Suit Clerk is directed to do the needful.

Accordingly, the application filed under Section 151 of CPC is disposed of.

Fix **07-02-2026** for awaiting SR & AD in respect of defendant no.1(ka) to 1(gha).

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Title Suit No. 58 of 2022

CIS No. 58 of 2022

CNR No. WBBK07-000204-2022

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 16

Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no. 8 & 9.

Memorandum of attendance has been filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 to 7.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1 to 7 submitted that plaintiffs have filed one injunction application along with the suit, but no copy of such injunction application has been served to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 7. Moreover, the injunction application has not been moved by the plaintiffs yet.

However, for the rule of natural justice, plaintiffs are directed to supply the copy of injunction application to the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 7 in the meantime.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it also appears that plaintiffs have not filed any requisites in respect of the defendant no.8 & 9 inspite of couple of direction.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are strictly directed to file requisites in respect of defendant no.8 & 9 positively in the meantime, in default, necessary order shall be passed against them.

Fix **28-01-2026** for awaiting SR & AD in respect of defendant no.8 & 9.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 47 of 2020
CIS No. 50 of 2020
CNR No. WBBK07-000136-2020
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 38
Dated 27-10-2025

Today is fixed for further examination of PW-1.
Memorandum of attendance filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.1 to 7.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application on the ground stated therein.
The prayer is considered and allowed as a last chance.
Fix **19-12-2025** for further examination of PW-1 as last chance.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 16 of 2017

CIS No. 22 of 2017

CNR No. WBBK07-000026-2017

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 64

Dated 26-09-2025

Today is fixed for acceptance of Commissioner's report and hearing of injunction application.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.2 to 5, 6(ga) and 7.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Perused the Commissioner's report.

The report of the Commissioner is hereby accepted.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that the substituted copy of plaint has not been submitted by the plaintiffs yet.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file substituted copy of plaint for smooth proceeding of this suit.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.2 to 5, 6(ga) and 7 filed adjournment application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. against the temporary injunction application.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

However, Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs also filed adjournment application.

The prayer is considered and allowed as last chance.

Fix **20-01-2026** for filing of substituted copy of plaint and hearing of temporary injunction application.

W.O. by the defendants in the meantime.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 64 of 2023
CIS No. 64 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000165-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 18
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for hearing of temporary injunction application as well as application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC, SR & AD in respect of defendant no.4, 6 & 7 as well as filing revised copy of plaint.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant no.8.

No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.4, 6 & 7.

Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.4, 6 & 7 in the meantime positively.

It is pertinent to mention here that ad interim order of injunction is prevailing against defendant no.8 and he is contesting the suit.

Accordingly the case record is taken up for hearing the temporary injunction application without any pause for complete service in respect of all the defendants in this suit.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Perused the temporary injunction application as well as W.O. filed by defendants.

I have also perused the application filed under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC and W.O. against such application.

Now, the case record is taken up for passing necessary order.

The brief facts of the plaintiffs' case is that that the 'A' schedule property originally belonged to Amulya Mondal had two annas share thirteen gandas one kora one kanti share, Biswanath Mondal who had two annas thirteen gondas one kora one kanti share, Mayarani Das had two annas thirteen gondas one kora one kanti share, Nilu Mondal had two annas thirteen gondas one kora one kanti share and Bhushanbala Dasi had one anna fifteen gondas two kora thirteen share, Rampada Mondal had one anna fifteen gondas two kora thirteen till share, Ramsaran Mondal had one anna fifteen gondas two kora fourteen till share and their names were duly recorded in LRROR being khatian no. 51 and 35 of Mouza-Rahilakone. That Amulya Mondal had two annas thirteen gandas one kora one kanti share in the 'A' schedule property and his share was duly devolved upon defendants nos. 1 to 4 and the share of Biswanath Mondal, i.e., two annas thirteen gandas one kora one kanti share devolved upon defendant nos. 5 to 9 and accordingly, defendants have 1/3rd, i.e., five annas six gandas two koras two kanti share in the 'A' schedule property jointly.

It is also stated by plaintiffs that LRROR wrongly prepared and the said LRROR prepared without any basis and without any foundation by the violation of principal of natural justice and as such those LRROR in respect of the 'A' schedule property not binding upon the plaintiffs but defendants are jointly threatened the plaintiffs on the basis of wrongly prepared LRROR to dispossess them forcibly and illegally on 19.03.2023 and plaintiff no. 15 to 18 on behalf of all other plaintiffs requested the defendant nos.1, 2, 5 and 6 to partition the 'A' schedule property by metes and bounds on 22.04.23 but they refused to partition the 'A' schedule property and as such plaintiffs have no other alternative but to institute this suit for declaration and partition by metes and bounds.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 8 denied and disputed the material allegations as alleged by the plaintiffs except the matter of record. However, no specific case has been raised by the defendant no.8.

However, on perusal of application, materials on record and documents filed by plaintiffs apparently it appears that plaintiffs and defendants except defendant no.9 to 12 are co-sharer in respect of the suit property. There are triable issues which

Contd.....

Title Suit No. 64 of 2023

CIS No. 64 of 2023

Cond. Order no. 18

Dated 25-09-2025

makes a *prima facie* case in favour of plaintiffs. In view of the allegations raised by plaintiffs, I am of considered opinion that the balance of convenience and inconvenience is tilted in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the documents filed by the plaintiffs at the time of hearing of the ad interim injunction application, viz., C.S. R-o-R, L.R. R-o-R. So, prima facie it is clear that the plaintiffs are one of the co-sharers of the suit property and defendant no. 9 to 12 are completely outsiders.

In this context, it is also pertinent to mention here that if defendant no.8 manage to change the nature and character of the suit property, the plaintiffs will suffer irreparable loss of injury. Considering the nature of dispute and to avoid future complications which may give rise to multiplicity of proceeding, I am inclined to grant an order of injunction.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED,

that injunction application preferred by the plaintiffs under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of the CPC is allowed on contest without any order as to costs.

Accordingly, defendant No.8, their men, agents and associates are hereby restrained from changing the nature and character of the suit property or any portion thereof in any manner whatsoever till the disposal of the suit.

However, both parties are also directed to maintain '*status quo*' in regard to their respective possession over the suit property as mentioned in the body of this order, till the disposal of the suit.

In sequel of this order, the petition filed under order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC is hereby rejected, but without any order as to costs.

Fix **24-01-2025** for SR in respect of defendant no.4, 6 & 7.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 02 of 2020
CIS No. 02 of 2020
CNR No. WBBK07-000005-2020
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 46
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for payment of D.C.F. and necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one application under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to take up the amendment application filed by the plaintiff dated 13-10-2023.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Accordingly, the application filed under Section 151 of CPC is hereby disposed of.

Thus, the application filed by the plaintiff under Order 6 Rule 17 of the CPC is hereby taken up for hearing.

Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants submitted that just to avoid the payment of Court fees, the instant amendment application has been filed.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff relied upon one decision passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in connection with Civil Appeal no.1323 of 2015 and reiterated that Court has ample power to amend the valuation of suit as shown in the plaint.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants verbally submitted that this is a pure question of law and it should be dealt tactfully. Therefore, he prayed for time to rely recent decision of Hon'ble Apex Court.

The prayer is allowd.

Fix **26-11-2025** for hearing of amendment application filed by the plaintiff **on law point.**

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 127 of 2025

CIS No. 127 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000214-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 12

Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for awaiting instruction of Ld. District Judge, Bankura.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiff and the defendants.

Report is received from Sheristadar and it appears that the Court fees filed by the defendant in respect of counter-claim is sufficient and accordingly it is accepted.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed fresh *vakalatnama* and the same is kept with the record. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants also filed one adjournment application *inter alia* stating that they preferred one application under Section 24 of the CPC for transferring the case record from this Court to any other Civil Court within Bankura District and accordingly, prayed for adjournment.

The copy of the application filed under Section 24 of the CPC is annexed with the adjournment application.

I have carefully gone through the copy of application filed under Section 24 of the CPC and it appears that one serious question was raised by the defendants regarding the neutrality of this Court by raising finger pertaining to the integrity of P.O. Therefore, this Court recuse itself from hearing further in this instant matter.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that except the order of ad interim injunction and order of police protection, no adverse order was passed against the defendants.

Accordingly, it is not clear to this Court how such question was raised by the defendants regarding integrity and partiality of this Court.

However, when such serious allegation was raised by the defendants, I have no other alternative but to be reluctant to proceed the suit further.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. District Judge, Bankura for his kind information and with a request to transfer the instant suit in any other Court as His Honour deems fit and correct for proper adjudication of the matter and for the satisfaction of the petitioners/defendants so that the justice can be seen to be done.

Fix to date (25-09-2025) for awaiting instruction of Ld. District Judge, Bankura.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.
Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Later, Order no. 11

Dated 23-09-2025

It is 05:00 P.M. now. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants appeared and filed one affidavit stating that Ld. District Judge, Bankura was pleased to pass an order of stay for further proceeding of this suit.

Let the affidavit be kept with the record.

To date.

Dictated & Corrected by me.
Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 43 of 2023
CIS No. 43 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000130-2023
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR in respect of defendant no.4 & 5.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.
SR has been received in respect of defendant no.4 & 5, but service is not satisfactory.
Accordingly, plaintiff is directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.4 & 5 in the meantime.
Fix **26-02-2026** for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.4. & 5.
Update CIS.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 101 of 2024
CIS No. 105 of 2024
CNR No. WBBK07-000234-2024
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.1 & W.S. by defendant no.2 & 3.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Defendant no.2 & 3 are absent without any step. None appears on their behalf inspite of repeated calls.

However, it appears to me that defendant no.2 & 3 appeared in this instant suit on 21-02-2025, but they did not file any W.S. yet.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.2 & 3.

Plaintiffs are directed to file fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.1 & 4 in the meantime.

Fix **23-03-2026** for SR & AD in respect of defendant no.1 & 4.

Update CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 52 of 2019
CIS No. 53 of 2019
CNR No. WBBK07-000247-2019
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 38
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR in respect of defendant no.4.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.
No SR has been received yet in respect of defendant no.4.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed fresh requisites in respect of defendant no.4.
Let the same be issued at once. Suit Clerk is directed to take prompt action in this regard.
Fix **26-03-2026** for SR in respect of defendant no.4.
Update CIS.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 17 of 2021
CIS No. 17 of 2021
CNR No. WBBK07-000051-2021
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 25
Dated 12-02-2025

Today is fixed for SR & appearance.
No SR has been received yet.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.
Fix **22-04-2025** for SR & appearance.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 17 of 2021
CIS No. 17 of 2021
CNR No. WBBK07-000051-2021
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 26
Dated 22-04-2025

Today is fixed for SR & appearance.
No SR has been received yet.
Plaintiff is absent without any step.
However, in view of resolution adopted by local Bar Association, Bishnupur, no adverse order is passed against the plaintiff.
Fix **20-09-2025** for SR & appearance.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 17 of 2021
CIS No. 17 of 2021
CNR No. WBBK07-000051-2021
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 27
Dated 20-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR & appearance.
No SR has been received yet.
Plaintiff is absent without any step.

However, in view of resolution adopted by local Bar Association, Bishnupur, no adverse order is passed against the plaintiff.

Fix **12-02-2026** for SR & appearance.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 44 of 2025

CIS No. 44 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000071-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 07

Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.1 & 2.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed two separate application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. & W.S.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that defendants appeared on 17-05-2025 but they failed to file any W.S. yet.

So, it is clear that defendants failed to file W.S. within the stipulated period of time.

Accordingly, show-cause by the defendants as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against them.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants also filed one application under Section 89 of CPC.

However, plaintiffs are reluctant to sit for mediation.

Accordingly, there is no scope to this Court to send this instant suit for mediation.

Accordingly, the application filed by the defendants under Section 89 of CPC is hereby rejected on contest, but without any order as to costs.

Fix **17-11-2025** for show-cause by the defendants.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 12 of 2025
CIS No. 12 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000019-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 26-09-2025

Today is fixed for W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.1 to 5 and hearing of temporary injunction application.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed two separate application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. & W.S.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant no.1 to 5 also filed one application under Section 89 of CPC.

However, plaintiffs are reluctant to sit for mediation. Moreover, service in respect of other defendants is not completed yet.

Accordingly, there is no scope to this Court to send this instant suit for mediation.

Accordingly, the application filed by the defendant no.1 to 5 under Section 89 of CPC is hereby rejected on contest, but without any order as to costs.

Fix **25-03-2026** for SR in respect of defendant no.6 to 39 and W.S. & W.O. by defendant no.1 to 5.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 124 of 2025
CIS No. 124 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000211-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 04
Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR & AD as well as appearance of the defendants.

SR has been received after due service and kept with the record.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.

Defendant no.1 to 3 & 5 appeared today. Ld. Counsel on their behalf filed *vakalatnama* and the same is kept with the record.

Defendant no.1 to 3 & 5 filed two separate application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. & W.S.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Defendant no.4 is absent without any steps. None appears on behalf of the defendant no.4 inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly, the instant suit shall be proceeded as ex parte against defendant no.4.

Fix **03-12-2025** for W.O. & W.S. by defendant no.1 to 3 & 5 and hearing of injunction application.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 17 of 2021

CIS No. 17 of 2021

CNR No. WBBK07-000051-2021

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 26

Dated 25-09-2025

Today is fixed for SR & appearance.

No SR has been received yet.

Plaintiff is absent without any steps. None appears on behalf of the plaintiff inspite of repeated calls.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the instant suit shall not be dismissed for default.

Fix **17-11-2025** for show-cause by plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 06 of 2024

CIS No. 08 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000012-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11

Dated 24-09-2025

The record is put up today on the strength of a put up petition filed by the plaintiff.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Plaintiff filed one application under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of the CPC.

Plaintiff on being examined on oath has expressed his unequivocal volition in withdrawing the suit. The plaintiff has stated in the application that there are several technical defects in the suit. This Court does not find any procedural or substantive impediment in granting the application under determination. There is no necessity to deliver any separate order as to costs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 23 Rule 1(3)(b) of CPC preferred by the plaintiff be and the same is granted without any order as to costs. Title Suit no.06 of 2024 filed by the plaintiff Baldeb Ray against Bishwadeb Ray & 04 Ors. be and the same is disposed on withdrawal with a liberty to file afresh.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Original documents, if any, be returned to the plaintiff after proper verification and inspection.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to update germane registers and update CIS forthwith.

The record is not required to be put up on the date fixed.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 05 of 2025

CIS No. 05 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000031-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for report from Ld. Mediator and necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendants.

No report is received from Ld. Mediator yet. However, Ld. Counsels on behalf of the respective parties to the suit averred that the dispute has not been settled in mediation.

Accordingly, I have no impediment to proceed the suit further.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendants filed one application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.

It appears that defendants appeared on 27-02-2025 in this instant suit but W.S. has not been filed yet.

So, stipulated period of time for filing W.S. by defendants is already over.

Accordingly, show-cause by defendants as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against them.

Fix **02-02-2026** for show-cause by defendants.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 28 of 2019

CIS No. 28 of 2019

CNR No. WBBK07-000090-2019

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11

Dated 24-09-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by the plaintiffs.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs filed the stamp duty in respect of the valuation for preparation of final decree.

I find that the stamp duty paid by the plaintiffs /petitioners is sufficient.

Accordingly, Suit Clerk is directed to prepare the final decree at once.

Suit Clerk is also directed to submit a report by 26-09-2025 in this regard.

To date (26-09-2025) for report by Suit Clerk and for necessary order.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 06 of 2024

CIS No. 08 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000012-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11

Dated 24-09-2025

The record is put up today on the strength of a put up petition filed by the plaintiff.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Plaintiff filed one application under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of the CPC.

Plaintiff on being examined on oath has expressed his unequivocal volition in withdrawing the suit. The plaintiff has stated in the application that there are several technical defects in the suit. This Court does not find any procedural or substantive impediment in granting the application under determination. There is no necessity to deliver any separate order as to costs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 23 Rule 1(3)(b) of CPC preferred by the plaintiff be and the same is granted without any order as to costs. Title Suit no.06 of 2024 filed by the plaintiff Baldeb Ray against Bishwadeb Ray & 04 Ors. be and the same is disposed on withdrawal with a liberty to file afresh.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Original documents, if any, be returned to the plaintiff after proper verification and inspection.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to update germane registers and update CIS forthwith.

The record is not required to be put up on the date fixed.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 05 of 2025

CIS No. 05 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000031-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 08

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for report from Ld. Mediator and necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendants.

No report is received from Ld. Mediator yet. However, Ld. Counsels on behalf of the respective parties to the suit averred that the dispute has not been settled in mediation.

Accordingly, I have no impediment to proceed the suit further.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of defendants filed one application with a prayer for time for filing W.S.

It appears that defendants appeared on 27-02-2025 in this instant suit but W.S. has not been filed yet.

So, stipulated period of time for filing W.S. by defendants is already over.

Accordingly, show-cause by defendants as to why the instant suit shall not be proceeded as ex parte against them.

Fix **02-02-2026** for show-cause by defendants.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 76 of 2025
CIS No. 76 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000135-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 07
Dated 24-09-2025

This day is fixed for hearing of application filed by the defendants under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiff and defendants.

Today defendants filed W.O. against application filed by the plaintiff under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC.

The copy of W.O. is served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

The W.O. is kept with the record.

Now, the case record is taken up for hearing the petition filed under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC. In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the report shall give the exact scenario of the locale and it will be helpful for this Court to proceed the suit.

Hence, it is,

Ordered,

that the application filed under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC is hereby allowed on contest, but without any order as to costs.

Accordingly, **Ld. Advocate Soma Maji** is hereby appointed as an Advocate Commissioner in this suit with a request to submit her report by the next date pertaining to the following questions.

1. *The latest, present and local features of the suit schedule property.*
2. *Whether any temporary shed is there upon the suit property or not.*

A consolidated fees is fixed **Rs. 5,000/-** for the Advocate Commissioner.

Steps by the defendants in the meantime.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that W.S. by defendant no.1 & 2 has been filed within stipulated period of time, but it has not been accepted yet.

Let the W.S. filed by defendants is hereby accepted.

Fix to date (**11-12-2025**) for Commissioner's report and for necessary order.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 126 of 2025
CIS No. 126 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000213-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for W.O. by defendant.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendant filed one adjourned application with a prayer for time for filing W.O. & W.S.

The prayer is considered and allowed.

Fix **21-11-2025** for W.S. & W.O. by the defendant.

Update CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 56 of 2024
CIS No. 58 of 2024
CNR No. WBBK07-000135-2024
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 18
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for awaiting mediation report and for necessary order.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant.
No report from Ld. Mediator is received yet.
Accordingly, call for report from Ld. Mediator.
Fix **08-12-2025** for report by Ld. Mediator and for necessary order.
Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date.
Update CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 20 of 2017
CIS No. 28 of 2017
CNR No. WBBK07-000034-2017
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 54
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for Commissioner's report.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.
On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that instant final decree proceeding is pending for more than **five years** for Commissioner's report.
In this context it is pertinent to mention here that Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta was pleased to appoint a fresh Ld. Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner for Bishnupur jurisdiction.
Considering such above noted circumstances, the plaintiff is directed to take appropriate steps in this regard, i.d., necessary order shall be passed against the plaintiff.

Fix **29-01-2026** for steps by the plaintiff.
Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 134 of 2023
CIS No. 134 of 2023
CNR No. WBBK07-000275-2023

Order no. 08
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for ex parte hearing of the suit.

Plaintiff is absent without any step today.

However, recorded Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff unequivocally submitted that the plaintiff has lost his interest to pursue the suit further and he also failed to contact with the plaintiff. Be it necessary to mention here the plaintiff was also absent on previous occasion.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiff, it appears to me that plaintiff became reluctant to proceed the suit further.

Hence, it is

Ordered,

that the instant suit is dismissed for default.

Thus, the suit is disposed of without any contest

Note in the CIS.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 91 of 2022

CIS No. 91 of 2022

CNR No. WBBK07-000355-2022

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 21
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for P.H.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff and defendant.
Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.
The prayer is considered and allowed as a last chance.
Fix **08-01-2026** for P.H. as last chance.
Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 90 of 2023

CIS No. 90 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000199-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 25
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for framing of issues.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.
On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that the property value was shown by the plaintiffs of Rs.8,00,000/-, but only Court fees has been paid Rs.180/-.
In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the instant suit has been initiated for declaration, permanent injunction as well as for mandatory injunction. So, it is clear to this Court fees paid by the plaintiffs is not sufficient.
Accordingly, plaintiffs are directed to file DCF within next date.
Fix **17-01-2026** for filing DCF amounting Rs.22,800/- by the plaintiffs.
Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 80 of 2025
CIS No. 80 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000138-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 05
Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for framing of issues.
Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendant.
Now, the case record is taken up for framing of issues.
Perused the pleadings of the parties.
Heard Ld. Counsels for both sides at length.
Accordingly, issues are framed on a separate sheet and the same is kept with the record.
Fix **07-02-2026** for P.H.
Discovery and inspection, if any, in the meantime.
Update CIS forthwith.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

In the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bishnupur
Title Suit No. 80 of 2025
CIS No. 80 of 2025
CNR No. WBBK07-000138-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Nemai Pal & Ors. -----Plaintiffs

---- Vs. ---

Joydeb Pal -----Defendant

Upon the pleading of both sides the following issues are framed this day on 24-09-2025 :-

38. Is the suit maintainable in its present form, prayer and Act?
39. Have the plaintiffs any cause of action to file the suit ?

40. Is the suit barred by law of limitation ?
41. Whether the suit is barred by estoppel, acquiescence and waiver ?
42. Is the suit bad for defect of parties?
43. Whether the suit is bad for partial partition ?
44. Are the plaintiffs co-owners of the schedule property ?
45. Is the defendant co-owner of the schedule property ?
46. Are the plaintiffs entitled to get the decree as prayed for?
47. To what other relief/reliefs, if any, plaintiffs are entitled?

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 127 of 2023

CIS No. 127 of 2023

CNR No. WBBK07-000263-2023

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 19

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for D.W.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed one adjournment application.

The prayer is considered and allowed as a last and special chance, i.d., closure of D.W.

Fix **05-12-2025** for D.W. as last and special chance, i.d., closure of D.W.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 28 of 2020

CIS No. 314 of 2014

CNR No. WBBK07-000001-2020

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 197

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for Commissioner's report.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs.

On careful scrutiny of the case record it appears that instant final decree proceeding is pending since last **six years** for Commissioner's report.

In this context it is pertinent to mention here that Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta was pleased to appoint a fresh Ld. Survey Passed Advocate Commissioner for Bishnupur jurisdiction.

Considering such above noted circumstances, the plaintiffs are directed to take appropriate steps in this regard, I.d., necessary order shall be passed against the plaintiffs.

Fix **28-01-2026** for steps by the plaintiffs.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 42 of 2024

CIS No. 42 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000329-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for filing DCF by the plaintiff.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the suit has been instituted in the year of 2024 and since for the last one year the plaintiff is taking time for filing DCF. It is nothing but killing of valuable precious time of this Court.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiff, the prayer for time is rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the suit shall not be dismissed for non-compliance of the Court's order.

Fix **20-12-2025** for show-cause by the plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 41 of 2024

CIS No. 41 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000327-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for filing DCF by the plaintiff.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the suit has been instituted in the year of 2024 and since for the last one year the plaintiff is taking time for filing DCF. It is nothing but killing of valuable precious time of this Court.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiff, the prayer for time is rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the suit shall not be dismissed for non-compliance of the Court's order.

Fix **20-01-2026** for show-cause by the plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 39 of 2024

CIS No. 39 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000325-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for filing DCF by the plaintiff.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the suit has been instituted in the year of 2024 and since for the last one year the plaintiff is taking time for filing DCF. It is nothing but killing of valuable precious time of this Court.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiff, the prayer for time is rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the suit shall not be dismissed for non-compliance of the Court's order.

Fix **08-01-2026** for show-cause by the plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Money Suit No. 38 of 2024

CIS No. 38 of 2024

CNR No. WBBK07-000321-2024

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 03

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for filing DCF by the plaintiff.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that the suit has been instituted in the year of 2024 and since for the last one year the plaintiff is taking time for filing DCF. It is nothing but killing of valuable precious time of this Court.

Considering such conduct of the plaintiff, the prayer for time is rejected.

Accordingly, show-cause by the plaintiff as to why the suit shall not be dismissed for non-compliance of the Court's order.

Fix **18-12-2025** for show-cause by the plaintiff.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur
S.D.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. 24 of 2020

(CIS 25 of 2020)

CNR No. WBBK07-000100-2020

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 34

Dated 09-09-2025

Today is fixed for ex parte argument and final order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Heard argument in part.

Fix **24-09-2025** for further ex parte argument and final order.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. 24 of 2020

(CIS 25 of 2020)

CNR No. WBBK07-000100-2020

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 35

Dated 24-09-2025

Today is fixed for further ex parte argument and final order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed one adjournment application inter alia praying for time to adduce judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court for reliance of his case in this instant suit.

Thus, the case is adjourned for today.

Fix **17-11-2025** for further ex parte argument and final order.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 127 of 2025

CIS No. 127 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000214-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 10

Dated 22-09-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by the plaintiff.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff filed written objection against the injunction application filed by defendant no.1 and written objection against the application filed under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC.

The copies of written objections were served to the Ld. Counsel for the defendants and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Let the written objections are kept with the record.

To date (23-09-2025) for hearing application filed by the plaintiff for restoration of injunction order and hearing of application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 127 of 2025

CIS No. 127 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000214-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 11
Dated 23-09-2025

Today is fixed for hearing application filed by the plaintiff for restoration of injunction order and hearing of application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC as well as report from Sheristadar.

Memorandum of appearance filed by plaintiff and the defendants.

Report is received from Sheristadar and it appears that the Court fees filed by the defendant in respect of counter-claim is sufficient and accordingly it is accepted.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed fresh *vakalatnama* and the same is kept with the record. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants also filed one adjournment application *inter alia* stating that they preferred one application under Section 24 of the CPC for transferring the case record from this Court to any other Civil Court within Bankura District and accordingly, prayed for adjournment.

The copy of the application filed under Section 24 of the CPC is annexed with the adjournment application.

I have carefully gone through the copy of application filed under Section 24 of the CPC and it appears that one serious question was raised by the defendants regarding the neutrality of this Court by raising finger pertaining to the integrity of P.O. Therefore, this Court recuse itself from hearing further in this instant matter.

In this context, it is pertinent to mention here that except the order of ad interim injunction and order of police protection, no adverse order was passed against the defendants.

Accordingly, it is not clear to this Court how such question was raised by the defendants regarding integrity and partiality of this Court.

However, when such serious allegation was raised by the defendants, I have no other alternative but to be reluctant to proceed the suit further.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. District Judge, Bankura for his kind information and with a request to transfer the instant suit in any other Court as His Honour deems fit and correct for proper adjudication of the matter and for the satisfaction of the petitioners/defendants so that the justice can be seen to be done.

Fix to date (25-09-2025) for awaiting instruction of Ld. District Judge, Bankura.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.
Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Later, Order no. 11
Dated 23-09-2025

It is 05:00 P.M. now. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants appeared and filed one affidavit stating that Ld. District Judge, Bankura was pleased to pass an order of stay for further proceeding of this suit.

Let the affidavit be kept with the record.

To date.

Dictated & Corrected by me.
Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Sd/- Tanumoy Karmakar
Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 98 of 2022

CIS No. 98 of 2022

CNR No. WBBK07-000384-2022

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 24

Dated 23-09-2025

Today is fixed for awaiting order of Hon'ble Court and for necessary order.

Memorandum of appearance filed by the plaintiffs and defendants.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the defendants filed one application inter alia stating that they preferred one revision against the order no.22 dated 16-05-2025 before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta and that has been pending for adjudication.

In the above noted circumstances, another chance is given to the defendants for bringing the stay order within the next date, i.d., the suit shall be proceeded in accordance with the law.

Fix **17-12-2025** for awaiting order of Hon'ble Court and for necessary order.

Ad interim order of injunction is hereby extended upto the next date on the basis of application filed by the plaintiffs.

Update CIS accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 127 of 2025

CIS No. 127 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000214-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 09

Dated 20-09-2025

The record is put up today by way of a put up petition filed by defendant no.1.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Today, defendant no.1 filed W.S. along with W.O. against the injunction application filed by the plaintiff.

The copies of W.S. & W.O. were served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and he received the same by putting his endorsement.

Defendant no.1 also filed application under Order 39 Rule 4 of the CPC.

The copy of the same was also served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Defendant no.2 to 6 appeared today. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 to 6 filed vakalatnama and the same is kept with the record.

Defendant no.2 to 6 filed one application under Section 151 of the CPC with a prayer to adopt the written objection filed by defendant no.1.

The prayer is considered and allowed. Accordingly the application filed under Section 151 of the CPC is hereby disposed of.

Thus, the W.O. filed by defendant no.1 be treated as W.O. by all defendants.

Defendant no.1 filed one counter-claim.

Let the counter-claim be kept with the record.

Sheristadar of this Court is directed to note pertaining to the Court fees paid by defendant no.1 in regard to counter-claim by defendant no.1.

Let the same be placed before Sheristadar for his information and necessary action.

Suit Clerk is directed to comply this Court at once.

Defendant no.1 also filed one application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 of the CPC.

The copy of the same was again served to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Fix to date (23-09-2025) hearing of application filed by the plaintiff for restoration of injunction order and hearing of application filed by defendant no.1 under Order 39 Rule 7 of the CPC as well as report from Sheristadar.

Update CIS forthwith.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit No. 93 of 2025

CIS No. 93 of 2025

CNR No. WBBK07-000154-2025

Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. 02
Dated 20-09-2025

The record is put up today on the strength of a put up petition filed by the plaintiff.

The put up petition is considered and allowed.

Plaintiff filed one application under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of the CPC.

Plaintiff on being examined on oath has expressed his unequivocal volition in withdrawing the suit. The plaintiff has stated in the application that there are several technical defects in the suit. This Court does not find any procedural or substantive impediment in granting the application under determination. There is no necessity to deliver any separate order as to costs.

Hence, it is,

ORDERED

that the application under Order 23 Rule 1(3)(b) of CPC preferred by the plaintiff be and the same is granted without any order as to costs. Title Suit no.93 of 2025 filed by the plaintiff Abbas Khan against Irfan Ali Mondal & Anr. be and the same is disposed on withdrawal with a liberty to file afresh.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Original documents, if any, be returned to the plaintiff after proper verification and inspection.

Dealing Assistant is hereby directed to update germane registers and update CIS forthwith.

The record is not required to be put up on the date fixed.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. ** of 2025
(CIS ** of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-0000**-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. **
Dated **-**-2025

Today is fixed for
Fix **0*****-2025** for
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. ** of 2025
(CIS ** of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-0000**-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. **
Dated **-**-2025

Today is fixed for
Fix **0*****-2025** for
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. ** of 2025
(CIS ** of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-0000**-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. **
Dated **-**-2025

Today is fixed for
Fix **0*****-2025** for
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. ** of 2025
(CIS ** of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-0000**-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. **
Dated **-**-2025

Today is fixed for
Fix **0*****-2025** for
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Title Suit no. ** of 2025
(CIS ** of 2025)
CNR No. WBBK07-0000**-2025
Present : Sri Tanumoy Karmakar (J.O. Code: WB01209)

Order no. **
Dated **-**-2025

Today is fixed for
Fix **0*****-2025** for
Update CIS accordingly.
Dictated & Corrected by me.

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur