

T. S. 11 / 22 (Reg. No. 11 / 22)
Present : Smt. Jyotsna Roy (J. O. Code WB00933)

Order no. 12 Dated 06.06.23

Today is fixed for filing W/O and W/S by defendant nos. 1 and 2.

Plaintiff namely, Sukumar Dutta files a petition by himself praying for extension of the ad-interim injunction order dated 22.03.22, supported by an affidavit.

Perused. Heard.

Prayer for extension of the ad-interim injunction order is considered and allowed.

Defendants take no step owing to a resolution of the Local Bar dated 19.05.23, but we have been circulated with the *notification dated 05.03.2022 vide Memo no. 1282 – RG of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta, where from it appears that physical mode of hearing as was prevalent when the situation was normal with the exception that the virtual mode shall also be available to the senior lawyers with co-morbidities or other problems.*

In terms of the said notification of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta, and in terms of *AIR 2020 SUPREME COURT 1412 : AIROnline 2020 SC 263* headed by Hon'ble Justice Arun Mishra and M.R. Shah with the observation of the said decisions *Advocates Act (25 of 1961), S. 48 – Constitution of India, Arts. 19 (1) (a), 14, 21- Strikes by advocates- Freedom of speech- To go on strike or boycott courts by Advocates cannot be justified under guise of right of freedom of speech and expression under Art.19 (1)(a) as said right cannot be exercised at the cost of litigants and Justice Delivery System as a whole- Such boycotts tantamount to contempt of Court* and in terms of the recent verdict of the Hon'ble Apex Court, headed by Justice MR. Shah and Ahsanuddin Amanulla, that the the Lawyers

Cont'd.....

T. S. 11 / 22 (Reg. No. 11 / 22)
Present : Smt. Jyotsna Roy (J. O. Code WB00933)

cannot go on strike or abstain from work. In spite of the said verdict of the Apex Court, Ld. Lawyers have abstained themselves from work. So, the resolution dated 19.05.23 is not considered.

Moreover, cease work in this court was going on for more than one month and the poor litigants are coming and going and this court has been stopped from rendering justice to the litigants by the Resolution of the Local Bar dated 19.05.23. As the suffering of the litigant people is the paramount consideration of this court, so, the abstinence of the Ld. Advocates from work is not considered.

In terms of the above notification and decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court and AIR 2020 Supreme Court 1412 : AIROnline 2020 SC 263, defendant is hereby directed to file a show cause as to why the suit shall not be proceeded ex-parte against them.

Fixing 11.07.23 for filing show cause by defendant.

Ad – interim injunction order is extended till next date.

Dictated & Corrected by me

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur

Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bishnupur