

13 CC NI ACT / 880/2026

GANPATI GARTEX P. LTD. Vs. JAHANPANA CLOTHING P. LTD.

(Kirti Nagar)

05.08.2026

Present: Ms. Khushboo, Ld. Counsel for complainant alongwith AR of complainant.
Sh. Lokendra Dixit, Ld. Counsel for all the accused persons.
Accused no. 1 is a company.
Accused no. 2 and 3 are present through VC.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of all the accused.

Taken on record.

Bailable warrants issued against the accused received back unexecuted with report that the accused have left the address one year back.

Upon enquiry, the accused persons have confirmed their address on the memo of parties to be correct.

The Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that the accused have not appeared physically as they were not able to arrange the travel tickets and surety.

The accused had a notice of almost 3 months for the court date today and the reason given by the Ld. Counsel for the accused is not found to be satisfactory.

A cost of Rs.2,500/- is already pending upon accused no. 2 and 3 and for the delay caused today, a further cost of

Rs.2,500/- is being imposed on both of them.

Both the accused are directed to appear physically on the NDOH, failing which cost of Rs.10,000/- shall be imposed upon each accused alongwith coercive steps.

List for furnishing of bail bonds and for framing of notice on 26.09.2026.

(TANMAY BATHAM)
JMFC (NI ACT)-03 (West)
THC, Delhi /05.08.2026