

S.C. No. 14(8)2025
Reg. No. 94 / 2025

Order No. 03 dtd. 14.11.2025

Today is fixed for evidence.

The sole accused Mithun Mandi is produced from JC through VC. He is again taken into custody and remanded to JC till 16.11.2025

Ld. Addl. PP and Ld. Defence counsel both are present. No witness has turned up.

One bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused.

Ld. Advocate for the accused has submitted that accused is in custody since 21.06.2025. It is also submitted that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and if he is enlarged on bail he will not abscond or tamper with the evidence and accordingly Ld. Advocate prays for the bail of the accused. The Ld. Defence counsel also submitted no bail petition of this accused person is pending before any Higher Forum.

Ld. PP raised strong objection to the bail prayer and submitted that the accused cannot be enlarged on bail as the nature of allegation is serious and accordingly the bail prayer should be rejected.

I have heard both the parties at length and have gone through the case record. On perusal of the case record, it appears that the VG has not yet been examined and also considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused on bail. Accordingly, his bail prayer stands rejected.

Fix – 26.11.2025 for evidence of CSW No. VG

27.11.2025 for evidence of CSW No. 10

Issue summons upon him at once.

D / C by me

Addl. Dist. Judge,
Bishnupur, Bankura.

Addl. Dist. Judge,
Bishnupur, Bankura.