

MHCC020122722026



**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS FOR GREATER BOMBAY
AT MUMBAI
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1529 OF 2026
IN
C.R. NO. 290/2026**

Abdul Sattar Munna Qureshi	]	
Age : 69 years, -	]	
R/at : Flat No.6C/03, Bombay Taximens	]	
Co.Op. Society, L.B.S. Marg,	]	
Kurla(West), Mumbai – 400 070.	]	...Applicant/accused

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,	]	
(At the instance of Kurla Pol. Stn.)	]	...Respondent/State

Appearance :

Ld. Adv. Mr. Sayyed Mohd. Aijaz Gani for Applicant/accused.

Ld. APP Mr. Iqbal Solkar for Respondent/State.

**CORAM : HHJ S.K. UPADHYAY
Additional Sessions Judge,
C.R. No.34.**

DATED : 25.08.2026

ORDER

1. Applicant-accused has moved this application under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 for grant of Anticipatory Bail.
2. The respondent-state objected the application by filing its say at Exh.2.
3. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Sayyed Mohd. Aijaz Gani on behalf of the applicant-accused and learned APP Mr. Iqbal Solkar on behalf of the state at length.
4. It is alleged by informant in FIR that the applicant-accused is one of the Managing Committee Members and he has made forged signatures for him and his wife Mrs. Sharifunnisa. It is also alleged that applicant-accused has forged the signatures of deceased person and the persons who were not present in the meeting. And on the basis of forged signatures Managing Committee Members/applicant-accused achieved the required attendance numbers and completed the quorum of the meeting. On the basis of forged signatures Managing Committee Members/applicant-accused appointed Architect Mr. Sanghvi as a Project Management Consultant for redevelopment of their housing society. It is also alleged by the informant that to get monetary gain Managing Committee/applicant-accused has cheated all the members of their Housing Society. Hence, the FIR.
5. As per the report Crime No.290/2026 is registered at Kurla police station under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 against the applicant-accused.

6. Learned Advocate on behalf of applicant-accused submits that applicant-accused has been falsely implicated in this crime. Applicant-accused himself has pointed out the misdeed. Applicant-accused is ready to co-operate with the investigation agency as and when required. He will comply with all the terms and conditions, if any impose upon him by this Court. Accused is permanent residents of Mumbai. With this, it is prayed to allow the application.

7. Per contra Ld. APP for State submits that accused is not arrayed as an accused. The investigation is in progress. There is no apprehension to the applicant-accused. With this, it is prayed to reject the application.

8. Having heard submissions of both parties it prima facie appears that the applicant-accused is one of the member of the Managing Committee of the said society. He has made complaint to Managing Committee as well as the Deputy Registrar of L Ward against the irregularities and forged signature done in meeting dated 22.09.2024. The copies of complaint dated 11.01.2025 and 22.01.2025 addressed to Managing Committee of Taximen's Co-Op. Society and the Deputy Registrar, Co-Op. Society. He bring in their notice that some of the members of the Managing Committee with an intention to give favour to some Developers are doing irregularities in conducting the AGM and SGM of the Housing Society and also making forged signatures of persons not present in the meeting. It is also brought in the notice of Managing Committee and Kurla Police that some of the Members of the Managing Committee have signed before the names of the members who was died in the past.

9. Thus, it is clear that accused himself has pointed about the misdeed. Thus the intention of the accused as to cheat and create forge documents will be matter of trial. Prima facie the complaint lodged by the applicant-accused shows his bonafied. Hence, considering the facts of the case as the accused is not yet arrayed as an accused, his detention is not warranted. The I.O. has not made out any case for custodial interrogation. Nothing alleged to be recovered or discovered from the applicant-accused. Under such circumstances, accused has made out case for grant of anticipatory bail. The accused is permanent resident of Mumbai. Hence, there is no chance of his fleeing away. The investigation is based on documentary evidence. Hence, arrest of accused is not warranted nor sought by I.O. in the say.

10. Thus considering the nature of dispute between the parties, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail as the applicant has satisfied the parameters for anticipatory bail. Hence, I proceed to pass following order :-

ORDER

1. Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1529 of 2026 is allowed.
2. In the event of arrest of applicant-accused **Abdul Sattar Munna Qureshi** in crime No. 290/2026 registered at Kurla police station for offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, he be released on bail on furnishing of his PB of Rs.50,000/- and SB of like amount.
3. Applicant-accused shall attend the concerned police station as and when required by I.O. for investigation.

4. Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1529/2026 is disposed off accordingly.



Date: 25.08.2026

(S.K. UPADHYAY)
Additional Sessions Judge
Gr. Bombay

Directly typed on : 25.08.2026

Signed by HHJ on : 25.08.2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER.”

UPLOAD DATE AND TIME
25.08.2026 at 5.50 p.m.

NAME OF STENOGRAPHER
Mrs. Prajwala V. Phodkar

Name of the Judge (Court room no.)	S. K. UPADHYAY (Court Room No.34)
Date of Pronouncement of JUDGMENT/ORDER	25.08.2026
JUDGMENT/ORDER signed by P.O. on	25.08.2026
JUDGMENT/ORDER uploaded on	25.08.2026