

MACT NO.....
AJIT KUMAR THAKUR vs. GAJENDER SINGH & ORS.
FIR NO.487/2023
PS: MADHU VIHAR
U/S 279/338 IPC

27.09.2025

Fresh DAR has been received by way of assignment. It be checked & registered.

Present : IO/HC Gajender in person.
 Petitioner/injured in person.
 Respondent no.1 in person.

This Detailed Accident Report (DAR) is treated as petition in view of section 166 of the M.V. Act.

Copy of DAR has been supplied to the petitioner as well as to respondent no.1.

Petitioner is directed to remain present in person on next date alongwith his original Aadhaar card and PAN card and she shall file photocopies thereof, if not filed.

Further, petitioner is directed to get open his saving bank account near the place of his residence and shall produce the original bank passbooks on next date and shall file photocopies thereof. Further, for the protection of award amount, the Bank Manager of petitioner's bank is directed as under:-

- (i). No Cheque Book and ATM/Debit Card be issued to the claimant/petitioner without permission of the Court. However, in case the ATM/Debit Card and/or Cheque Book have already been issued bank shall cancel the same before the disbursement of the amount.
- (ii). The Bank shall not permit any joint name(s) to be added in the savings bank account or fixed deposit accounts of the claimant.
- (iii). However, money may be released by means of withdrawal slip.

The Bank Manager of petitioner's bank is also directed to make endorsement regarding compliance of aforesaid directions on the passbook.

Issue notice to respondents no.2&3 to be served through IO.

The FIR is dated 16.09.2023. As per Rule 17 of Annexure XIII of Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 DAR has to be filed within 90 days of the FIR.

IO submits that the case was marked to him about one year ago and delay in filing the DAR was primarily due to non-production of vehicles by the driver of offending vehicle as well as injured.

The injured submits that he had received the call in March, 2025 regarding his vehicle and he produced his vehicle in April, 2025.

IO further submits that the delay was also caused as he was busy in election duty and was clearing the pendency. Despite what IO has stated he was obligated as per Rule 17 of Annexure XIII of Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 to seek extension of time which was not sought by the IO.

Let copy of order be sent to the concerned SHO for information.

Put up for further proceedings on **10.12.2025**.

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi
27.09.2025

At 1.10 p.m.

At this stage Adv. Sh. Praveen Kumar Shahi, proxy counsel for respondent no.3/insurance co. has appeared and filed memo of appearance. Copy of DAR has been supplied to proxy counsel for respondent/insurance co. He has been apprised with the NDOH.

The notice issued to respondent/insurance co. in the morning is recalled.

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi