

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKURA

Present : Mir Rashid Ali (WB00701),
District & Sessions Judge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 584 of 2026

CNR no. WBBK01-001495-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 995/2026

Order No.02

01.07.2026

The application u/s 482 of B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused persons namely 1. Abhijit Paramanik, 2. Ranjit Paramanik and 3. Pratima Paramanik in connection with Patrasayer P.S. Case No. 128/2026 dt. 16.05.2026 u/s. 85 / 109 of B.N.S. and 3 / 4 DP Act is taken up for hearing.

TCR is received. C.D. is also produced.

Heard the Ld. Lawyer for the petitioner/accused namely 1. Abhijit Paramanik, 2. Ranjit Paramanik and 3. Pratima Paramanik.

Heard the Ld. Public Prosecutor.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners/accused persons has submitted that no bail petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused persons swearing that no application u/s 482 of the BNSS has been filed before the Hon'ble Court.

Accused persons /petitioners by filing a petition submits that they have falsely been implicated in this case and they are not involved in the alleged incident.

They have also highlighted that the FIR as alleged by the defacto complainant is false, concocted and fictitious in nature and have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR.

They also submit that the police have falsely implicated them and they are respectable citizens of the society.

It is also submitted that they undertake to appear before the police or the court, if they are granted relief by way of anticipatory bail.

It is also submitted that Petitioner No.1 is the husband and Petitioner No.2 & 3 are the parents-in-law of the de facto complainant.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused submits that the de facto complainant is residing separately since 10.06.2024.

It is also submitted that the couple have filed an application u/s. 28 of the Special Marriage Act for annulling the marital tie.

It is also submitted that there is considerable delay in lodging the FIR, which is not properly explained.

Thus, Ld. Advocate has prayed for anticipatory bail on any terms and condition as this court may deem fit and proper.

It is also undertaken by the Ld. Advocate that the petitioner/accused will abide by the terms & conditions imposed by this court.

Ld. Public Prosecutor on the other hand opposed the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the court to the CD and other materials on the record.

Perused the written complaint, formal FIR, L.C.R. and C.D.

Considered.

It is found that on close scrutiny of the application filed by the parties u/s. 28 of the Special Marriage Act which include the de facto complainant wherein the parties intends to quit nuptial tie.

It is also submitted that the de facto complainant is living separately since 10.06.2024 and there is no chance of reconciliation in the marriage as per the parties themselves.

I am of the view that custodial detention is not required for the sake of investigation. Justice could be substantiated if the accused persons are not granted relief by way of anticipatory bail subject to some suitable terms and conditions.

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 584 of 2026

CNR no. WBBK01-001495-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 995/2026

Contd...

Order No.02

01.07.2026

Hence,

Ordered

that prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed on condition.

In the event of arrest, petitioner/accused shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 4,000/- with two registered sureties of Rs. 2,000/- each subject to the satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur, on condition that after release on bail, he shall strictly comply with the provision u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and with a condition to surrender before Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur within 15 days from the date of this order for regular bail which shall be considered by Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur, u/s 480 of B.N.S.S. and on further condition :

1. that the petitioner/accused shall cooperate with the investigation and
2. petitioner/accused shall meet the I.O. as and when called for and
3. shall not tamper the evidence or hamper the witnesses and
4. shall not threat the defacto complainant or witnesses in any manner whatsoever and
5. shall not create hindrance during investigation.

Return the C.D.

The Criminal Misc. Application is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur, at once.

Dictated and corrected by me

Sd/- Mir Rashid Ali

Sessions Judge, Bankura

PVR

Sd/- Mir Rashid Ali

Sessions Judge, Bankura