

Manoj Kumar Vs. State

BA-4-2026

Present: Ms. Jahnvi Bhatia Advocate for applicant/accused.
S. Ravneet Singh Ld. APP for the State.

An application seeking amendment in first bail application has been filed. It is submitted that the applicant/accused has come to know the fact that after a preliminary inquiry Section 317(2) of BNS was added in the present FIR No.269, dated 17.12.2025. Heard. In view of the contents mentioned in the application, the application in hand stands allowed.

Arguments heard on application under Section 480 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to applicant/accused-**Manoj Kumar**.

Learned counsel for accused/applicant-**Manoj Kumar** has argued that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present FIR No.269, dated 17.12.2025 registered under Sections 303(2) & 317(2) of BNS, PS Balongi. The applicant/accused has not committed any offence as alleged in the present FIR. The trial of the present case would take sufficient time, as such, no purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars. Therefore, applicant/accused has prayed for allowing the present application.

On the other hand, reply to the present bail application has been filed. Ld. APP for the State has contested the present bail application on the ground that the present accused was arrested in the present FIR No.269, dated 17.12.2025 registered under Sections 303(2) & 317(2) of BNS, PS Balongi. The allegations levelled against the accused are grave and serious in nature. Lastly, prayer for dismissal of the present bail application has been made.

Arguments heard on bail application. The perusal of the file shows that present FIR No.269, dated 17.12.2025 under Sections 303(2) & 317(2) of BNS, PS Balongi has been registered against applicant/accused. The conclusion of investigation would take

considerable time. Keeping in view the period spent by the applicant/accused in custody, his clean antecedents coupled with the fact that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody anymore and further that the bail is the rule and Jail is an exception, this Court is of the considered view that the present bail application is deserves to be allowed. Hence, the same stands allowed and the applicant/accused-**Manoj Kumar** is hereby admitted on bail, subject to furnishing bail bonds in sum of Rs.20,000/- along with one surety in the like amount. The applicant/accused is at liberty to furnish the surety bonds of the like amount in the shape of FDR, subject to following conditions:-

- (i). The accused shall remain present in the Court on each and every date of hearing, failing which, his bail bonds, surety bonds and bail order shall be canceled automatically.
- (ii). The accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court during trial.
- (iii). The accused shall not interfere with the investigation of the case nor shall threaten the witnesses of the prosecution, in any manner whatsoever.
- (iv). Violation of any of the above said condition shall entail cancellation of bail order automatically.

Necessary bail bonds not furnished. Hence, the present application stands disposed of and be tagged with the remand papers of present case.

Pronounced in open Court:
Dt. 07.01.2026

(Shweta Dass) PCS
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class
Kharar, UID No.PB-0512

Satnam Kaur
Judgment Writer