

Order No. 21  
dated 29.11.24

The accused persons namely, Pintu Ruidas, Bisweswar Ruidas, Maheswar Ruidas, Amrit Ruidas, and Namita Ruidas are produced from j/c.

Ld advocate for the prosecution and the ld defence counsels are present. One witness namely Sandipan Chowdhury is present and he is examined, cross examined in full as PW 20 and discharged.

The documentary evidence are marked as Ext.P-47 and P-47/1. The signature of the witness on the pendrive is marked as Mat Ext XXXV/3, and the video clippings of 33 seconds is marked as Mat Ext.XXXV/4.

The bail petition filed on behalf of accused Amrit Ruidas is taken up for hearing. Ld advocate for the accused Amrit Ruidas submitted that admittedly the accused was not at the scene of occurrence and he has been implicated in this case as a conspirator. It is next argued that there is no tangible evidence against the accused Amrit Ruidas for detaining him in custody and also he has hearth and home and only the earning member of his family. It is further argued that during his detention in custody his mother had died and he has minor children and wife and there is no other person to look after them and if the accused is released on bail he will appear on each and every date of hearing.

Ld advocate for the prosecution vehemently objected against the bail prayer and submitted that there is sufficient materials against this accused and if he is released on bail there is every chance of his abscondance. It is further argued that the accused has fled away after the occurrence and he was arrested from outside of the jurisdiction of Bankura PS.

Ld advocate for the defacto complainant argued that the accused is the perpetrator of the offence in which two victims had succumbed to their injuries and though he was not in the scene of the occurrence but as per his instruction the offence was committed. It is next argued that there is chance of his absconding if he is released on bail.

Heard both sides. Perused the materials on record and it appears that 20 numbers of witnesses have been examined by the prosecution. The act of the accused is revealed from the evidence of material witnesses. Considering the materials on record and gravity of the offence, the bail prayer of the accused is hereby rejected.

To **20.12.24, 21.12.24 and 23.12.24** for evidence of remaining witnesses.

To date/s for production of the accused persons.

Dictated & Corrected