

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKURA

Present : Mir Rashid Ali (WB00701),
District & Sessions Judge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 431/2026

CNR no. WBBK01-001446-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 962/2026

Order No. 3

18.06.2026

The application u/s 482 of B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused, namely, Bikash Dhibar in connection with Simlapal P.S. Case No. 52/2026 dt. 24.05.2026 u/s. 329 (4)/11592/74/308(5)/351(3)/3(5) of BNS & section 25/27 of Arms Act is taken up for hearing.

TCR is received. C.D. is also produced.

Heard the Ld. Lawyer for the petitioner/accused namely, Bikash Dhibar.

Heard the Ld. Public Prosecutor.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused has submitted that no bail petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused swearing that no application u/s 482 of the BNSS has been filed before the Hon'ble Court.

It is found upon perusal of the affidavit, duly furnished on behalf of the petitioner/accused, that no case is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in connection with the present case.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused has submitted that the petitioner/accused is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case.

It is submitted that the amount mentioned in the written complaint is absurd and whimsical.

It is also submitted that there is no specific date of the alleged incident and the case has been filed due to political rivalry with the intention to harass the accused/petitioner.

Thus, Ld. Advocate has prayed for anticipatory bail on any terms and condition as this court may deem fit and proper.

I.O. is present with the C.D.

Ld. Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the accused is FIR named accused and there are sufficient materials in the C.D. which includes the statement recorded under section 183 BNSS.

Perused the written complaint, formal FIR, L.C.R. and C.D. including the statement recorded under section 183 BNSS.

It is also submitted the Ld. P.P. that some of amount has been changed hands among the accused and the defacto complainant.

Considered.

It is found that there are sufficient materials in the C.D. against the petitioner/accused.

I am of the view that to unearth the truth, investigating agency should be given fair hand and prayer for bail is thus considered and rejected.

Hence,

Ordered

that prayer for anticipatory bail is considered and rejected.

Return the C.D.

The Criminal Misc. Application is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Khatra, at once.

Dictated and corrected by me

Sd/- Mir Rashid Ali

Sessions Judge, Bankura

R

Sd/- Mir Rashid Ali

Sessions Judge, Bankura