

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKURA

Present : Susangeet Kumar Das (WB00973),  
District & Sessions Judge-in-charge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 200/2026

CNR no. WBBK01-000541-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 346/2026

Order No. 02

17.03.2026

The application u/s 482 of B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the petitioners/accused, namely, 1. Ranjit Ghosh, 2. Mantu Ghosh, 3. Nila Ghosh and 4. Rinku Ghosh in connection with Onda P.S. Case No. 55/2026 dt. 05.03.2026 u/s 126(2)/329(3)/115(2)/117(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of B.N.S. is taken up for hearing.

TCR is received. C.D. is also produced.

Heard the Ld. Lawyer for the petitioners/accused namely 1. Ranjit Ghosh, 2. Mantu Ghosh, 3. Nila Ghosh and 4. Rinku Ghosh.

Heard the Ld. Public Prosecutor.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners/accused has submitted that no bail petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioners/accused swearing that no application u/s 482 of the BNSS has been filed before the Hon'ble Court.

It is found upon perusal of the affidavit, duly furnished on behalf of the petitioners/accused, that no case is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in connection with the present case.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners/accused has submitted that the petitioners/accused are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that there is a case of Section 144 Cr.P.C. between the de facto complainant and the present petitioners/accused. Thus, Ld. Advocate has prayed for anticipatory bail on any terms and condition as this court may deem fit and proper.

Ld. Public Prosecutor raised objection.

Ld. Advocate for the de facto complainant also raised objection.

Perused the record and C.D. Considered.

On careful perusal of the entire record as well as materials in CD and also documents submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the accused persons/petitioners, it prima facie appears that there are some incriminating materials against all the four petitioners in the CD. After considering such materials and the manner of involvement of petitioners no. 1 and 2 in the incident, this court is of the view that protection of anticipatory bail should not be given to these petitioners.

**Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail for the petitioners no. 1 and 2 is rejected.**

However, petitioners no. 3 and 4 are ladies and considering their involvement in the entire incident and also fact that the present case arose out of previous land dispute, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no. 3 and 4 is allowed on following conditions:

In the event of arrest, petitioners/accused (**3. Nila Ghosh and 4. Rinku Ghosh**) shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 4,000/- each with two

Contd...

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKURA

Present : Susangeet Kumar Das (WB00973),  
District & Sessions Judge-in-charge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 200/2026

CNR no. WBBK01-000541-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 346/2026

Continuing...

Order No. 02

17.03.2026

registered sureties of Rs. 2,000/- each subject to the satisfaction of Ld. CJM, Bankura, on condition that after release on bail, they shall strictly comply with the provision u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and with a condition to surrender before Ld. CJM, Bankura within 15 days from the date of this order for regular bail which shall be considered by Ld. CJM, Bankura u/s 480 of B.N.S.S.

Return the C.D.

The Criminal Misc. Application is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent to the Ld. CJM, Bankura at once.

Dictated and corrected by me

Sd/- S. K. Das

District & Sessions Judge-in-charge,  
Bankura

Sd/- S. K. Das

District & Sessions Judge-in-charge,  
Bankura