

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKURA

Present : Susangeet Kumar Das (WB00973),
District & Sessions Judge-in-charge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 190/2026

CNR no. WBBK01-000515-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 335/2026

Order No. 02

17.03.2026

The application u/s 482 of B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the petitioners/accused, namely, 1. Bhairab Gord @ Bairao Gor, 2. Sandhya Gord @ Sandhyarani Gor, 3. Chandana Gord, 4. Mousumi Ghosh and 5. Antu Ghosh in connection with Joypur P.S. Case No. 61/2026 dt. 25.01.2026 u/s 85/155(2)/117(2)/76/109(1)/3(5) of B.N.S. and Section 3/ 4 D.P. Act is taken up for hearing.

TCR is received. C.D. is also produced.

Heard the Ld. Lawyer for the petitioners/accused namely 1. Bhairab Gord @ Bairao Gor, 2. Sandhya Gord @ Sandhyarani Gor, 3. Chandana Gord, 4. Mousumi Ghosh and 5. Antu Ghosh.

Heard the Ld. Public Prosecutor.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners/accused has submitted that no bail petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioners/accused swearing that no application u/s 482 of the BNSS has been filed before the Hon'ble Court.

It is found upon perusal of the affidavit, duly furnished on behalf of the petitioners/accused, that no case is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in connection with the present case.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners/accused has submitted that the petitioners/accused are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that both the petitioners no. 1 and 2 are aged persons and petitioner no. 3, 4 and 5 live in a separate mess. Thus, Ld. Advocate has prayed for anticipatory bail on any terms and condition as this court may deem fit and proper.

Ld. Public Prosecutor raised objection.

Ld. Advocate for the de facto complainant raised objection.

Perused the materials in CD as well as injury report.

Considered.

On careful perusal of the entire record as well as materials on CD, it prima facie appears that incident took place mainly in two phases and the main allegations are against husband and brother-in-law who are not present petitioners. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed against five petitioners excluding husband and brother-in-law. After considering the nature of allegation and the ages of the petitioners no. 1 and 2 of the present application and the fact that the petitioners no. 2, 3 and 4 are all ladies and there is quite considerable delay in lodging FIR without proper and justified explanation, this court is of the view that anticipatory bail application may be granted in favour of all the five petitioners.

Contd...

Present : Susangeet Kumar Das (WB00973),
District & Sessions Judge-in-charge, Bankura

Reg. no. Criminal Misc Application 190/2026

CNR no. WBBK01-000515-2026

Filing No. Cr. Misc 335/2026

Continuing...

Order No. 02

17.03.2026

Hence,

Ordered

that prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed on condition.

In the event of arrest, petitioners/accused shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 4,000/- each with two registered sureties of Rs. 2,000/- each subject to the satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur, on condition that after release on bail, they shall strictly comply with the provision u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and with a condition to surrender before Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur within 15 days from the date of this order for regular bail which shall be considered by Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur u/s 480 of B.N.S.S.

Return the C.D.

The Criminal Misc. Application is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Bishnupur at once.

Dictated and corrected by me

Sd/- S. K. Das

District & Sessions Judge-in-charge,
Bankura

Sd/- S. K. Das

District & Sessions Judge-in-charge,
Bankura