

GR 1647 of 15
R. 966 of 22

Order no. 25
Order dt. 6/6/26

All 4 accused, who are on Court bail, are present.

Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor is present.

CSW1, 5 and Purnima Sarkar are present. They are examined and cross-examined on doc as PW 1 to PW 3 and discharged.

The signature of PW1 in the written complaint is marked as Exhibit P1/1.

The learned advocate for the accused has prayed for the closure of prosecution evidence, contending that no sufficient incriminating material has emerged from the testimony of the prosecution witnesses and that further continuation of the trial would be a mere wastage of judicial time.

Heard. Perused. Considered.

The accused are charged under Sections 448, 323, 324, 326, 354, 506 IPC. Upon considering the materials on record, the case diary, and the poor-quality evidence of the prosecution witnesses, this court finds that a reasonable doubt exists in favour of the accused. Consequently, further proceedings in this trial would serve no meaningful purpose and would only lead to an unnecessary expenditure of judicial time. Accordingly, the prosecution evidence stands closed.

Fix 10.06.2026 for examination of accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C. Accused as before.

Sd/-
JM, Kalna