

GR 1171 of 25
R. 363 of 26

Order no. 8

Order dt. 16/5/26

3 out of 5 accused, who are on Court bail, are present.

Rest are absent. One petition u/S 355 BNSS is filed which is considered and allowed.

Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor is present.

CSW1 and 2 are present. They are examined and cross-examined on doc as PW1 and PW2 and discharged.

The learned advocate for the accused has prayed for the closure of prosecution evidence, contending that no sufficient incriminating material has emerged from the testimony of the prosecution witnesses and that further continuation of the trial would be a mere wastage of judicial time.

Heard. Perused. Considered.

The accused are charged under Sections 329(4), 115(2), 351(3), 79 and 3(5) BNS. Upon considering the materials on record, the case diary, and the poor-quality evidence of the prosecution witnesses, this court finds that a reasonable doubt exists in favour of the accused. Consequently, further proceedings in this trial would serve no meaningful purpose and would only lead to an unnecessary expenditure of judicial time. Accordingly, the prosecution evidence stands closed.

Fix 22.05.2026 for examination of accused under Section 351 BNSS. Accused as before.

Sd/-

JM, Kalna