



Anil Kumar Vs. State BA-658-2026

Present: Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate for the applicant-accused
 Sh. Anuj Malik, APP for the State with IO ASI Rajesh Kumar
 Sh. Tarun Singh, Advocate for the complainant

O R D E R:

1. Heard on the 1st bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed by applicant-accused Anil Kumar son of Mahender Singh, resident of village Sarupgarh, PS-Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri, in FIR No.347 dated 13.12.2025, registered under Sections 115, 118, 190, 191(2), 324(4), 351(3), 61, 109 of BNS at Police Station-Sadar, Mahendergarh.
2. The brief facts, as emanating from the FIR, are that the complainant, who is the husband of the applicant-accused, alleged that on 10.12.2025, at about 7:30 AM, his wife was talking to an unknown person and, upon being questioned, she abused him and left the house along with the children. It is further alleged that thereafter he received abusive calls and threats through WhatsApp. It is further alleged that at about 9:45 AM, when the complainant was present at the gate of his house, certain persons including relatives of the applicant-accused arrived in a vehicle and assaulted him with iron rod, rebar and a sharp-edged weapon, while others gave fist and kick blows, causing injuries to him.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant accused has submitted that the applicant-accused has been falsely implicated in the present case,

whereas, he has no concern with the alleged offences and is in custody since 03.02.2026. The applicant-accused is the brother-in-law of the complainant and the complainant after due deliberation and consultation and got registered this false FIR on the basis of wrong facts.

4. It has been submitted that the sister of the complainant is the legally wedded wife of the complainant and has been subjected to harassment and physical abuse at his hands, on account of which a Panchayat was convened wherein the complainant admitted his conduct and assured that the same would not be repeated. It is further submitted that on the day of occurrence, there was a minor altercation between the complainant and his sister, as such, on asking of his sister Renu, the applicant-accused being the brother of co-accused Renu alongwith his family members and other respectable of their village went to pacify the situation.
5. It has been submitted that during the course of the incident, the complainant himself became aggressive and, in the ensuing scuffle, fell down and sustained injuries. Investigation is complete and challan has been filed before the Court. All recovery effected from the applicant-accused are planted one and now applicant-accused is not required for custodial interrogation. Co-accused persons Renu and Yashwant have been granted concession of bail by the present Court and Hon'ble High Court respectively. Trial is likely to take considerable time so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping

the applicant-accused behind the bars. As such the applicant-accused be given the benefit of regular bail.

6. Per contra, learned APP for the State opposed the bail application, submitting that the applicant-accused is very much involved in the commission of offences, who alongwith his family members caused serious and multiple injuries to the complainant and that the applicant-accused is named in the present FIR. It has been submitted that one iron pipe and Maruti Car No.HR-195-9478, used in the commission of crime by the applicant-accused were recovered from him. Thus, dismissal of the bail application was prayed for.
7. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State and have perused the record.
8. As per the prosecution version, the applicant-accused along with co-accused persons, armed with swords and axes, entered the house of the complainant and inflicted multiple injuries upon him. A specific role has been attributed to the applicant-accused of causing injury on the face of the complainant with iron rod.
9. Learned counsel for the applicant has raised the plea of false implication on account of dispute with his sister and has further contended that the complainant sustained injuries due to an accidental fall. However, these submissions relate to disputed questions of fact, which cannot be examined in detail at this stage.
10. Further, this Court is of the opinion that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 03.02.2026. The investigation stands

completed and the police report has already been presented before the competent Court. Consequently, the custodial interrogation of the applicant is no longer required. Further, co-accused Renu and Yashwant have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court and the Hon'ble High Court, respectively. The prosecution has not pointed out any distinguishing circumstance warranting denial of bail to the present applicant. The trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude and the continued incarceration of the applicant during the pendency of trial would serve no useful purpose.

11. At this stage, this Court refrains from expressing any opinion on the merits of the rival contentions, including the allegations of false implication or the defence sought to be raised by the applicant, as the same shall be adjudicated during the course of trial on the basis of evidence led by the parties.

12. Consequently, the present application is allowed. The applicant-accused Anil Kumar son of Mahender Singh is ordered to be released on regular bail in FIR No.347 dated 13.12.2025 registered under Sections 115, 118, 190, 191(2), 324(4), 351(3), 61 and 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Sadar, Mahendergarh, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of this Court.

13. It is, however, clarified that nothing observed herein shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The applicant

shall abide by the conditions contemplated under Section 483(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and shall neither directly nor indirectly induce, threaten or influence any prosecution witness nor tamper with the prosecution evidence. In the event of misuse of the concession of bail, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in accordance with law.

14. File be tagged with main case file.

Pronounced in open Court
30.07.2026

Vandna Yadav SG-I

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul
(UID No. HR-0200)

Certified that all the pages of this order have been checked and signed by the undersigned.

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul
(UID No. HR-0200)

HRNR010035042026



Anil Kumar Vs. State BA-658-2026

Present: Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate for the applicant-accused
Sh. Anuj Malik, APP for the State with IO ASI Rajesh Kumar
Sh. Tarun Singh, Advocate for the complainant

Power of attorney on behalf of the complainant filed. Orders pronounced. Vide separate order of even date, bail application filed by applicant-accused stands allowed. File be tagged with main case file.

Pronounced in open Court
30.07.2026

Vandna Yadav, SG-I

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul
(UID No. HR-0200)