

SC- 45 of 2024
Sessions Trial- 35(6) of 2025

Order No.04 dtd. 19.09.2025

The case record is taken up by way of put up petition in which the petitioner accd. prayed for bail on the ground stated therein.

Copy of the petition served upon the Ld. PP in-charge.

Regular PO is on leave. A. Ray, ASJ, FTC, Kalna is in-charge.

Heard both sides at length.

The submission of the Ld. Adv. for the accd. Ramen Barui (in Judicial Custody) is that since 17/05/2024 he has been detained behind the bar.

The trial is yet to be completed and charge-sheet has already been submitted long back.

There is no chance of tampering of evidence as well.

In view of the above circumstances the accused should be released on bail.

Ld. Adv. for the State came down heavily upon the submission of the Ld. Defense Counsel.

As per his submission the CD reflects that the accused has committed heinous crime and is prima facie charged with an offence u/s.302 of IPC corresponding to 103 BNS and he thus vehemently opposed the bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and regard been had to the nature and gravity of the offence I am of the view that releasing the accused on bail prior to completion of evidence of PW1 is detrimental to the prosecution.

Considering that the prayed of bail is heard, considered and rejected at this stage.

To 27.10.2025 for cross-examination of PW1 and production of the accused Ramen Barui from J/C.

Return CD if any.

Dict. & corr.

SD/Anirban Ray

Addl. Sessions Judge, I/C Kalna

SD/Anirban Ray

Addl. Sessions Judge, I/C Kalna