

**Sangeeta Rani and others Versus Jashanpreet Singh and others**

Present: Ms. Richa Sharma, Advocate, for claimants no.1 to 3.  
Shri Ramit Pathak, Advocate, for claimant no.4.  
Shri Lovepreet Singh Walia, Advocate, for respondent no.1.  
Shri G.S.Sibia, Advocate, for respondent no.3.  
Respondents no.2 and 4 exparte.

Ms. Richa Sharma, Advocate has filed fresh power of attorney on behalf of claimants no.1 to 3 as Free Legal Aid Counsel.

Report from Mediation Centre, Sangrur has been received, wherein it was averred that despite best efforts, matter between the parties could not be settled.

Reply to application under Section 170 of Motor Vehicle Act has not been filed by the claimants and respondent no.1, rather they raised no objection in allowing this application. Heard on application under Section 170 of Motor Vehicles Act filed by respondent no.3-insurance company. None of the parties raised any objection in allowing this application. Otherwise also, interest of justice and rule of prudence, require that this application should be allowed. Accordingly, application under Section 170 of Motor Vehicles Act filed by respondent no.3-insurance company stands allowed. Respondent no.3-insurance company is allowed to take all the defenses available to the driver and owner of offending vehicle.

Neither any documents have been produced, nor reply to application for production of documents by respondent no.1, has been filed. Accordingly, respondent no.1 is directed either to file reply or to produce the documents, on the next date.

Written statements on behalf of respondents no.1 and 3 have already been filed. From the pleading of the parties, following issues are framed:-

1. Whether Shanti Kumar son of Manohar Lal died in a motor vehicular accident on 26.01.2024, in the area of near Patiala Gate, Sangrur, Police Station City Sangrur, caused by respondent no.2 while driving I-20 Car bearing registration No.PB-08-BM-3577 in a rash and negligent manner? OPP
2. Whether the claimants are entitled to compensation. If so, to what amount and from whom? OPP
3. Whether the petition is not maintainable in the present form? OPR
4. Whether respondent no.2 was not holding valid and effective driving licence at the time of alleged accident? OPR3
5. Whether the offending vehicle was being driven in violation of terms and conditions of insurance policy, if so, its effect? OPR3
6. Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed for. Now, case is adjourned to 15.10.2025 for evidence of claimants. List of witnesses, PF/DM be filed within 05 days by the claimants.

September 15, 2025

(Narinder Kumar)  
Stenographer-I/EA

(Munish Singal)  
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,  
Sangrur  
(Unique Identification No.PB0053)