

**In the Court of Nitin Raj,
Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul. UID No.HR0287**

Bail application No.637 of 2026

Date of Institution:16.07.2026

Date of Decision:23.07.2026

CIS No.BA-637-2026

CNR No.HRNR01-003440-2026

Deepanshu Khatri @ Gogi son of Sh. Phool Singh resident of Mohalla
Pull Bazar, Meeraji Narnaul Tehsil Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

...Accused/ applicant

Versus

State of Haryana.

...Respondent

FIR No.189 dated 02.06.2026

U/ss: 115 (2), 190, 191 (3), 308 (5), 324 (4),
331 (5), 351 (3) of BNS

Police Station: City Narnaul

Application for anticipatory bail u/s 482 BNSS

Argued by: Shri Sajeet Yadav, Advocate for the applicant
Shri Dilawer Singh APP for the State

Order:

By this order the court proposes to dispose of an application for anticipatory bail filed by afore-said applicant, under section 482 BNSS in this case.

2. The facts in brief of the prosecution case are that complaint has been filed by the complainant Nikhil stating therein that he has a shop in Gandhi Bazar, Narnaul. That on 01.06.2026, at around 6:00 pm Dipanshu Soni alongwith one person appeared on a motorcycle and asked

Nitin Raj
ASJ Narnaul 23.07.2026

to pay Rs.5,00,000/-, upon failure, to face consequences and left the place.

That on 02.06.2026 at around 10:00 am when he and his father Nand Kishore, were sitting in the shop, 10-12 persons appeared having rod in their hands and assaulted his father. On raising hue and cry, Depak Prem and Mukesh appeared to save them, however, they were also assaulted. Complainant further stated that he could identify Rupesh, Mandeep, Dipanshu Soni and Annu. That assailants fled away from the scene after extending threat. On the said complaint, FIR was lodged.

3. It has been argued by learned counsel for applicant that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. That applicant was already granted bail in the said case on 16.06.2026 by Id. CJM, Narnaul. That lateron investigating agency invoked sections 310 (1) and 117 (2) BNS. That the bail cancellation application moved by the investigating agency is pending before Id. CJM, Narnaul for 31.07.2026. It has been further argued that applicant has joined the investigation and cooperated throughout the investigation. That nothing is to be recovered from the applicant, therefore, custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required. That the applicant is ready to join the investigation. Therefore, bail application be allowed.

4. On the other hand, Id. APP for the State vehemently argued that applicant was actively involved in the commission of said offence. That in case applicant-accused is enlarged on bail, the investigation shall be adversely affected. Therefore, bail application be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State and have gone through the case file carefully.

6. It is worthwhile to mention that the CCTV footage was duly played before the court wherein the applicant was duly identified by the IO who alongwith other person was seen entering into the shop of the complainant having dandas in their hands. Argument of ld. Counsel for the applicant that custodial interrogation is not required of the applicant, therefore, bail application be allowed, is not tenable as it is settled law that in case custodial interrogation is not required or necessitated then by itself it cannot be a ground to grant anticipatory bail. Reliance placed upon (name of the petitioner masked Vs. Arun Kumar C.K. and another) 2022 Live Law (SC) 870. Further criminal antecedents of the applicant also does not inspire confidence as one FIR is already lodged against the applicant.

7. In view of the aforesaid submission, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the court is of the considered opinion that applicant is not entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present application moved by applicant for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 BNSS is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to the record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:

Dated:23.07.2026

Meena SG-I

Nitin Raj

Addl. Sessions Judge,
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287

Nitin Raj

ASJ Narnaul 23.07.2026

Argued by: Shri Sajeet Yadav, Advocate for the applicant
Shri Dilawer Singh APP for the State

Reply filed. Copy of the same has been supplied to learned defence counsel. Ahlmad report received and as per Ahlmad report this is the first bail application. No bail application has been filed before Hon'ble High Court.

Arguments heard. Order pronounced. Vide my separate order of even date, the present application moved by applicant for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 BNSS is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:
Dated: 23.07.2026
Meena SG-I

Nitin Raj
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287