

4 Bail Matters 1624/2026

STATE Vs. AMIT BHANDARI

FIR No. 129/2024

PS EOW SOUTH

U/S-316(4),318(4),336(3),61(2) BNS & 65,66 IT Act

CNR- DLST01-008813-2026

(Bail Roster)

01.08.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

Ms. Rachna Maheshwari, Advocate for the complainant.

Advocate for applicant [Appearance not given].

1. The applicant, Amit Bhandari has moved a regular bail application in relation to FIR number 129 of 2024 registered at Police Station EOW South under Section 316, 318, 336 of BNS and Section 65, 66 of IT Act. The applicant has been in custody since 16.05.2025.

2. At the outset, Ms. Maheshwari learned counsel for the complainant has furnished a copy of judgment dated 10.03.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Amit Bhandari v. State of NCT of Delhi – 2026 DHC 1954***, wherein the bail application has been dismissed.

3. On a query raised by the Court, the learned counsel for the applicant submitted that this is the first bail application post filing of supplementary charge sheet. The learned counsel further submitted that an affidavit of disclosure in compliance with Practice Direction No. 178/Rules/DHC dated 18.03.2026 has been filed by the applicant.

4. Case record perused. There is no averment about the aforesaid judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the bail application. The applicant has also failed to file the copy of the judgment dated 10.03.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Amit Bhandari v. State of NCT of Delhi – 2026 DHC 1954***.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application.

6. This Court deems fit to decline the request of the applicant to withdraw the bail application, as finds *prima facie* that there is suppression of fact and the copy of the judgment dated 10.03.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Amit Bhandari versus State of NCT of Delhi - 2026 DHC 1954*** ought to have been filed by the applicant in compliance with the directions passed by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Kusha Duruka v. The State of Odisha – 2024 INSC 46***.

7. In view of the above said observations, the application is dismissed. Any observation made here-in-above for the purpose of dealing with the contentions raised during the hearing of the bail application shall not be deemed to be an expression on the merits of the case. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the Trial Court, the applicant, Amit Bhandari through the concerned Jail Superintendent. Let a copy of the order also be given *dasti* to the applicant through counsel. Further, let a copy of the order be transmitted to the

concerned IO/SHO, police station EOW, South for information and necessary compliance, if any.

8. File be consigned to the Record Room only after due compliances, necessary action, if any, as per applicable rules.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/01.08.2026

nk