

District: Purba Bardhaman.

In the Court of the Sessions Judge, Purba Bardhaman, at Burdwan.

Present: Sri Bimal Kanti Bera (WB00681)
Sessions Judge, Purba Bardhaman at Burdwan
District – Purba Bardhaman

Criminal Misc. Case No. 963 of 2026
(CNR NO. WBBD 01 002248-2026)

Sk. Ratan & 2 Ors. - versus- The State

Order no. 02 dated 16.06.2026:

The application for bail under Section 482 of B.N.S.S.(formerly 438 of Cr. P.C.), filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely 1. Sk. Ratan, 2. Sk. Kamrul Hassan @ Kamrul Hasan @ Raju, and 3. Debdip Roy @ Raja, apprehending their arrest in connection with Saktigarh P.S. Case No.148 of 2026 dated 1405.2026 under Sections 126(2)/368(4)/329(4)/351(3)/3(5) of B.N.S., is taken up for hearing.

Record of concerned G.R. Case has been received. C.D. is produced.

Heard the Learned Advocate for the petitioners and Learned P.P-in-Charge.

Perused the materials on record and C.D.

The Learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the alleged incident took place on 03.05.2026. The written complaint was made long thereafter on 16.05.2026. The local P.S. situates on the way to the house of the F.I.R.-maker from the alleged place of occurrence. The petitioners reside within the jurisdiction of this Court. There is no chance of any absconsion. The learned Advocate further submits that the petitioner Debdip Roy @ Raja runs a business and copy of partnership deed is furnished. The Learned Advocate for the petitioners further submits that no such application of these petitioners has either been moved or rejected by the Hon'ble Court or by this Court on any earlier occasion. Hence, he prays that the petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail on any condition as this Court deems fit.

The Learned P.P. raised mild objection and submits that except the statements of the witnesses made before the police, there are no other material in the C.D.

It is alleged that on 03.05.2026, the accused persons wailed the F.I.R.-maker and demanded a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- . The complainant was compelled to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- thereafter. The accused persons are still intimidating the F.I.R.-maker and members of his family. It appears from the C.D. that the I.O. of the case has examined witnesses and recorded their statements.

Considering the nature and seriousness of the offence alleged and supporting materials in the C.D., the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

Record of G.R. Case be sent back together with a copy of this order to the concerned Court for information.

C.D. be returned.

Instant Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sessions Judge,
Purba Bardhaman,

Sessions Judge,
Purba Bardhaman