

**THE COURT OF THE XII ADDL.SESIONS JUDGE FOR TRIAL OF PRO-
TECTION OF CHILDREN FROM SEXUAL OFFENCES (POCSO) ACT
CASES, HYDERABAD.**

PRESENT: **SMT.T.ANITHA,**
XII ADDL.SESIONS JUDGE,
FOR TRAIL OF POCSO CASES
HYDERABAD.

Dated this the 6th day of August 2025

Crl.M.P.No.3988/2025

In

Crime No.280/2025

(PS: Falaknuma)

Between :

Sahil Khan, S/o Hamid Khan,
Aged 21 years, Occ: Scrap Business,
R/o H.No.19-3-263/A/106/8/B,
Achi Reddy Nagar,
Falaknuma, Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
through PS: Falaknuma,
Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition filed U/Sec:483 of BNSS praying that for the reasons stated therein, the petitioner who is accused in the above case may be enlarged on bail.

This petition is coming on for hearing before me on this day in the presence of M/s.G.Chandra Mohan, Advocates and Associates for the petitioner/Accused and of Sri.A.Rami Reddy, Special Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/State and having heard the matter and stood over for consideration till this day, this court has made the following:

:: O R D E R ::

1. This is First bail Application filed by the petitioner/Accused under Section 483 of BNSS seeking to enlarge him on bail in the above case for the alleged offences under Sections 65(1), 64(2)(m), 137 (2) of BNS and Sec 5(1) r/w.Sec.6 of POCSO Act.

2. Notice given to Learned Special Public Prosecutor and the learned Special Public Prosecutor has filed the counter opposing the bail to the petitioner/accused.

3. The averments of the petition are that the petitioner/accused is remanded to judicial custody on 21-07-2025 and since then he is in judicial custody. The petitioner/accused is innocent he has not committed any offences, he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is nothing to do with the alleged offences. The petitioner/accused is law abiding citizen and having permanent residence at the mentioned address and that the question of his absconding does not arise. Most of the investigation is completed by examination of all the material witnesses, except filing of formal charge sheet. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions and ready to furnish the sureties to the satisfaction of this Hon'ble court. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail.

4. The learned Special Public Prosecutor has filed the counter and opposed the bail petition of petitioner/accused and stated that if the petitioner/accused enlarged on bail, he will threaten the victim girl and tamper the evidence of witnesses and may absconding from the proceedings of this court and may difficult to secure his presence. Hence, he prayed this Hon'ble court to dismiss the bail petition of accused.

5. Heard both the petitioner counsel and learned Public Prosecutor.

6. Now the point for determination is:-

“Whether the petitioner/ Accused is entitled to enlarge him on bail as prayed for?”

POINT:

7. Perused the record.

8. The facts of the case are that the 18-07-2025 at 18:00 hours on the complaint lodged by defacto complainant, in which he stated that the accused committed repeated aggravated penetrative sexual assault, rape on the victim girl who is under 16 years of age by kidnapping her from the lawful guardianship of her parents.

9. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submitted that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 21-07-2025 and since then he is languishing in jail. The learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner never committed the above offences and he was falsely implicated in this case and nothing to do with the alleged offences. Material part of the investigation is completed. On the other hand the learned public prosecutor submitted that if the petitioner/accused is enlarged on bail there is every likelihood of escaping from the bail and securing his presence is very difficult. As per the remand report the investigation officer examined 16 material witnesses. It shows that the material part of the investigation is completed. **The defacto complainant filed an Affidavit for no objection to grant bail to the petitioner/accused.** On considering the stage of the investigation and as there is no apprehension that the accused fled away from the jurisdiction of court and in view of affidavit filed by defacto complainant, this court felt that it is a fit and proper case to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail. The point is answered accordingly.

10. In the result, the petition is allowed enlarging the petitioner/accused on bail on his executing a personal bond for **Rs.10,000/- (Rupee Ten Thousands Only)** with two sureties for the like sum each. Further the petitioner shall attend the court proceedings on every date

of hearing till the end of the case. The petitioner/accused further directed not to threaten the witnesses and shall cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required.

Typed to my dictation by Stenographer Grade-I of this court, corrected, and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 6th day of August 2025.

SD/-
XII ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
FOR TRIAL OF POCSO ACT CASES,
HYDERABAD