

6 SC 57/2026
STATE OF DELHI Vs. TAHSEEN
QURESHI
FIR NO. 378/2025 (Chandni
Mahal)

16.04.2026

Present: Sh.Deepak Kumar Jha, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Anil Sharma, Id. Counsel for the complainant
company.
IO/ ASI Laxmi Narayan.

File is perused with the assistance of Ld. PP and the IO. The same is containing 28 columns and query for column no. 19 to 28 is not answered.

Complaint was given against the accused Tahseen Qureshi. IO stated that during investigation it was found that accused Tahseen Qureshi has died. No date of death of Tahseen is disclosed in the report nor death certificate is made part of the final report. IO has pointed out towards the document at page no. 42 and claims that same is the receipt of Kabristan where Tahseen was buried after her death. The document is photocopy of one document written in Urdu language and translation of said document in court language is not made part of the final report. Sixteen photographs are made part of the final report but no witness is examined by whom the photographs were taken and were developed/printed out. It is stated that photographs of the inspection proceedings were taken by the videographer whose statement has been recorded but in the statement of the said witness there is no whisper about developing /printing of the

contd.....2

photographs which are filed with the report. No witness is examined identifying the CD which is made part of the report and authenticating its contents.

ACP by whom the investigations were supervised be summoned for **28.05.2026** to clarify the said aspects and assist the court to take the cognizance of the offence.

(Sunil Chaudhary)
Special Judge, Electricity-02,
Central, Tis Hazari Courts
Delhi/16.04.2026