

District: Purba Bardhaman.

In the Court of the Sessions Judge, Purba Bardhaman, at Burdwan.

Present: Shri Syama Prasad Chattopadhyay,

Sessions Judge In-charge, Purba Bardhaman.

Criminal Misc.- Case No. 269 of 2026

(CNR NO. WBBD 01 000648 2026)

Abu Sattar Sk. & 5 others

- versus-

The State

Order no. 04 dated 11.03.2026:

The application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely (1) Abu Sattar Sk., (2) Sakila Bibi, (3) Sadikur Sk. @ Sadikul Sk., (4) Bakkar Sk. @ Abu Bakkar Sk., (5) Saharuk Sk., and (6) Santosh Sk. @ Mahibul Sk. who apprehends arrest in connection with Ketugram P.S. Case No. 67 of 2026 dated 09.02.2026 U/Ss 318(4)/316(2)/338/336(3)/340(2)/3(5) of B.N.S. is taken up for hearing.

T.C.R. received. C.D. is also produced.

Heard the Ld. Advocate for the petitioners and Ld. P.P. In-charge.

It is submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioners that these accused persons did not commit any serious offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case out of grudge, and nature of allegation is as such, custodial detention of the petitioners is not required. It is further submitted that this case is filed as a counter blast to the case filed by the petitioner no.2 against the complainant party. It is the further submission of the Ld. Advocate that no such application of these petitioners has either been moved before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court or by this Court on any earlier occasion. Hence, Ld. Advocate prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners on any condition as this Court deems fit.

Ld. P.P. in-charge raises vehement objection and submits that there are sufficient materials in the C.D. to show that petitioners are involved in the alleged crime and it is not a fit case where benefit of pre arrest bail may be granted to the petitioners.

Considered the submissions placed. Perused the materials available on record and in the C.D. including the documents seized.

It is evident that these accused persons squeezed huge amount of money from different persons in the name of giving government job and also issued fake appointment letters.

Considering the materials available in the C.D., nature and gravity of the offence, their involvement in the alleged crime and facts and circumstances, I am of the view that it is not a fit case where benefit of pre- arrest bail can be granted to the petitioners. Accordingly, their prayer u/s 482 B.N.S.S. is rejected.

Let a copy of this order along with the T.C.R. be transmitted to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

C.D. be returned.

Instant Criminal Misc.- Case is thus disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me.

S.J. In-charge.

Sessions Judge In-charge, Purba Bardhaman.