

**In the Court of Nitin Raj,
Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul. UID No.HR0287**

I

Bail application No.652 of 2026

Date of Institution: 20.07.2026

Date of Decision: 28.07.2026

CIS No.BA-652- 2026

CNR No.HRNR01-003489-2026

Rahul Kumar son of Sh. Randhir Singh resident of village Rattan Kalan,
Tehsil Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

...Accused/ applicant

Versus

State of Haryana.

...Respondent

II

Bail application No.654 of 2026

Date of Institution: 20.07.2026

Date of Decision: 28.07.2026

CIS No.BA-654- 2026

CNR No.HRNR01-003492-2026

Narender Saini son of Raghbir resident of 24 Shekh Pura, PO Barkoda,
District Mahendergarh, presently posted as Asstt. Office of Transport
Commissioner, Chandigarh.

...Accused/ applicant

Versus

State of Haryana.

...Respondent

Case FIR No. 22 dated 24.06.2026

Under Sections:166,201,420,120B IPC &

Nitin Raj
ASJ Narnaul 28.07.2026

13 (1), (2) PC Act

Police Station: SV ACB Gurugram

Applications for anticipatory bail u/s 482 BNSS

Argued by: Shri Parmod Takhar, Advocate for the applicant Rahul
Shri Dixit Saini, Advocate for applicant Narender Saini
Shri Dilawar Singh Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State

Order:

By this order the court proposes to dispose of two applications for anticipatory bail filed by applicants under section 482 BNSS.

2. Brief facts, as per police reply, are that one complaint bearing no. 3 dated 10.07.2024 was filed against the employees of State Transport Authority, Narnaul for alleged corruption. That during the investigation, it was found that the officials of the said authority has shown additional tonnage on the commercial vehicle i.e. truck, trolly, dumper, as compared to authorised tonnage in the year 2021-22, in collusion with the touts on receiving bribe. That as per the company Tata a truck having 22 tyres model no. 5530 should weigh 55000 kg after loading the material. Similarly Tata dumper having 18 tyres model no. 4825 should weigh 47500 kg and Tata dumper having 12 tyres model no. 3523 should weigh 35000 kg, however the officials of the aforesaid authority has shown Tata dumper model 4825 to be 52500 kg and extended unauthorised benefit to the vehicle owner. That vehicle bearing Registration no. HR-66B-2855, HR-66B-0398, HR-66B-1623 and HR-

66B-2507 have been shown to be 52500 kg whereas vehicle bearing registration no. RJ-32GC-6193 and vehicle bearing registration no. HR-47E-0141 having same model i.e. 4825 has been shown as 47500kg, thereby causing loss to the tune of Rs.28,000/- on 5 ton to the aforesaid authority, which could have been recovered by way of fine. That during the initial investigation it was found that commercial vehicle bearing registration no. HR-66B-0398, HR-66B-1960, HR-66B-1623 and HR-66B-2507 have been issued registration certificate by ignoring the guidelines issued by the Government of Haryana from time to time regarding the tonnage of the commercial vehicle by the officials of the aforesaid authority. Thus, on the said complaint FIR was lodged.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant Rahul argued that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case, who has every apprehension that he might get arrested by the police on false ground. It has been further argued that the applicant did not have any power to alter the weight of the vehicle as the applicant was reverted back to his parent department on 25.10.2021. It has been further argued that mobile phone which has been allegedly used by the applicant did not belong to the applicant. That the prosecution has also failed to produce CDR of said mobile phone in which the alleged OTP was sent while changing the weight of the vehicle. Therefore, bail application be allowed.

4. Whereas ld. Counsel for applicant Narender Saini argued that applicant has also been falsely implicated in the present case who has no

concern with the said offence. It has been further argued that the applicant was not assigned any duty regarding the change of weight of commercial vehicles. It has been further argued that the scope of duties of the applicant was confined to the renewal of the DL, work related to RC as well as NOCs, the court cases. That the applicant was also assigned different duties from time to time in the said department, thus from 30.05.2022 to 04.07.2022 the applicant worked on different seats, therefore, the alteration of weight of vehicles cannot be attributed to the applicant.

5. Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently argued that applicants were actively involved in the commission of said offence. That custodial interrogation of the the applicants is required so as to further the investigation. That in case the applications are allowed, investigation shall be adversely hampered. Therefore, bail applications be dismissed.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the case file carefully.

7. It transpires that sections 166,201,420,120B IPC & 13 (1), (2) PC Act have been invoked against the applicants. It has been submitted by ld. APP for the State that applicant Rahul played active role in changing the weight of the vehicle bearing registration no. HR-66B-7152, as the mobile phone bearing no. 7015522385, in which the said OTP was received, was in the possession of the applicant Rahul. That the

fact that the CDR qua the aforesaid mobile phone is still pending, the same does not tilt the weighing scale of justice in favour of the applicant. That applicant Narender Saini is involved in changing the weight of 17 vehicles out of which OTP qua 5 vehicles was received on mobile phone no. 7988414371 which was in the use of the applicant at the impugned time, whereas the weight of the rest of the trial vehicles was also altered during the tenure of the applicant. It has been further submitted that not less than 119 vehicles are involved in the aforesaid offence, whose weight has been changed by violating the instructions issued by the Govt. of Haryana from time to time, therefore, custody of the applicants is required in order to ascertain the root of the offence, which is not possible in protective custody.

8. In view of the aforesaid submission, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the court is of the considered opinion that applicants are not entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present applications moved by applicants for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 BNSS are hereby dismissed. Files be consigned to the record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:
Dated: 28.07.2026
Meena SG-I

Nitin Raj
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287

Nitin Raj
ASJ Narnaul 28.07.2026

Argued by: Shri Parmod Takhar, Advocate for the applicant Rahul
Shri Dixit Saini, Advocate for applicant Narender Saini
Shri Dilawar Singh Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State

Reply filed. Copy supplied to ld. Defence counsel. As per
Ahlmad report this is the first bail application. No bail application has
been filed before Hon'ble High Court.

Arguments heard. Order Pronounced. Vide my separate
detailed order of even date, the bail applications of the applicants have
been dismissed. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:
Dated:28.07.2026
(Meena SG-I)

Nitin Raj
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287

Nitin Raj
ASJ Narnaul 28.07.2026

Argued by: Shri Dixit Saini, Advocate for applicant Narender Saini
Shri Dilawar Singh Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State

Reply filed. Copy supplied to ld. Defence counsel. As per Ahlmad report this is the first bail application. No bail application has been filed before Hon'ble High Court.

Arguments heard. Order Pronounced. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, passed in bail application no. 652 of 2026, the instant bail application of the applicant Narender Saini has also been dismissed. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:
Dated:28.07.2026
(Meena SG-I)

Nitin Raj
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287

Nitin Raj
ASJ Narnaul 28.07.2026