

C.R.134/2020

Order Dated: 24.02.2020

Today is fixed for production.

The accused is produced from J.C and remanded to J.C till 07/03/2020.

Bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused.

C.D is produced.

Heard the bail petition for the accused and learned APP, who raises objection.

Nothing transpires from the submissions of the learned advocate for the accused or from the record that any case under section 439 of the Cr PC is pending or has been rejected by any upper forum as against the accused. Considered.

Perused the case record. Had the detention been necessary then the investigating agency should have or rather could have prayed for taking the accused to PC. Absence thereof convinces the Court that there is no point in keeping the accused behind bars, more so when the Case is to be tried by this Court. The search and seizure is over and the amount seized is not magnanimous. The CD is found to be stagnant on perusal revealing no need of detention for the purpose of investigation. The Court is in favour of enlarging the accused on bail after sufficient detention.

Accordingly the accused person Netai Bagdi may find bail of Rs.1000/- with one surety of like amount and on condition to meet the I.O once a week for next two months, in default to judicial custody.

If on bail, to 02/07/2020 for appearance & P.R.

Return the CD.

Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Rampurhat, Birbhum

Dictated by me:

Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Rampurhat, Birbhum