

Cr. Misc case No. 64 / 2026
(G R Case No. 907 / 2026)
[Nalhati PS Case No. 183 / 2026 dtd. 15.04.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Matiul Sk.

Order No. 02
Dtd. 09.07.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Matiul Sk* in connection with Nalhati PS Case No. 183 / 2026 dtd. 15.04.2026 *under Sections 103 (1) / 3 (5) of the BNS, corresponding to G R Case No. 907 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the accused person is in custody since 12.05.2026, for an incident in which he had no nexus. It is said that the injured was discharged from the hospital barely 24 hours after being admitted therein.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

In the present case, the accused person has suffered incarceration for close to 8 weeks. Some other accused persons have been granted bail, for roles no different from the present petitioner. Considering the period of detention and the materials in the CD, this Court opines that there is no valid reason to authorize further detention of the petitioner. **Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk is considered and allowed.**

Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.**

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of. Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Cr. Misc case No. 63 / 2026
(G R Case No. 907 / 2026)
[Nalhati PS Case No. 183 / 2026 dtd. 15.04.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Riyajul Momin & 03 Ors.

Order No. 02
Dtd. 09.07.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Riajul Momin, Nuralam Sk, Rocky Sk and Rohim sk @ Lalchand* in connection with Nalhati PS Case No. 183 / 2026 dtd. 15.04.2026 *under Sections 103 (1) / 3 (5) of the BNS, corresponding to G R Case No. 907 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the accused person is in custody since 12.05.2026, for an incident in which he had no nexus. It is said that the injured was discharged from the hospital barely 24 hours after being admitted therein.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

In the present case, the accused person has suffered incarceration for close to 8 weeks. Some other accused persons have been granted bail, for roles no different from the present petitioner. Considering the period of detention and the materials in the CD, this Court opines that there is no valid reason to authorize further detention of the petitioner. **Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk is considered and allowed.**

Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of. Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

XX

Spcl. (Pocso) Case No. 32 / 2026
PTN No. WBBB05-P000061-2026

Later
Dtd. 07.07.2026

The accused person is produced from JC.
The CD is produced.
A bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused person, on the ground stated therein. Perused the same as well as other materials on record.
Heard the Ld. Defense Counsel and the Ld. Spcl PP.
Investigation is at an early stage. Considering the magnitude of the allegation, the incriminating materials in the CD and the nascent stage of investigation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused person at this stage. **Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of accused, Md. Sahid is rejected. He is remanded to JC till 21.07.2026.**
Fix 21.07.2026 for production of accd. Md. Sahid and IO's report.
Return CD. Call for the same again on the date fixed.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)	
Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)	Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat, Birbhum	Rampurhat Birbhum
J. O. Code - WB00885	J. O. Code - WB00885

Later
Dtd. 07.07.2026

The accused person is produced from JC.

The IO is present with the CD.

The IO tenders unconditional apology for not sending the CD before the Court on 29.06.2026. He is condoned on this occasion, but is directed to be more vigilant in future or else such lapses will invite serious consequences.

A bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused person, on the ground stated therein. Perused the same as well as other materials on record.

Heard the Ld. Defense Counsel and the Ld. Spcl PP.

Investigation is at an early stage. Considering the magnitude of the allegation, the incriminating materials in the CD and the nascent stage of investigation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused person at this stage. **Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of accused, Tapojit Sarkar is rejected. He is remanded to JC till 21.07.2026.**

Fix 21.07.2026 for production of accd. Tapojit Sarkar and IO's report.

Return CD. Call for the same again on the date fixed.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J. O. Code - WB00885

Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum
J. O. Code - WB00885

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 62 / 2026
(G R Case No. 975 / 2026)
[Nalhati PS Case No. 195 / 2026 dtd. 25.04.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk

Order No. 02
Dtd. 04.07.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Bappaditya Bagdi* in connection with Nalhati PS Case No. 195 / 2026 dtd. 25.04.2026 *under Sections 126 (2) / 115 (2) / 117 (2) / 118 (2) / 109 / 35 of the BNS, corresponding to G R Case No. 195 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the accused person is in custody since 12.05.2026, for an incident in which he had no nexus. It is said that the injured was discharged from the hospital barely 24 hours after being admitted therein.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

In the present case, the accused person has suffered incarceration for close to 8 weeks. Some other accused persons have been granted bail, for roles no different from the present petitioner. Considering the period of detention and the materials in the CD, this Court opines that there is no valid reason to authorize further detention of the petitioner. **Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk is considered and allowed.**

Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Sabu Sk @ Tamijuddin Sk may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of. Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 61 of 2026
(G R Case No. 206 / 2026)
[Mayureswar PS Case No. 19 / 2026 dtd. 26.01.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Bappaditya Bagdi

Order No. 02
Dtd. 30.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Bappaditya Bagdi* in connection with Rampurhat PS Case No. 206 / 2026 dtd.26.01.2026 under Sections 318 (4) / 316 (2) / 3 (5) of the BNS, corresponding to G R Case No. 206 / 2026.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the accused person is in custody since 08.06.2026, for an incident in which he had no nexus. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court towards the FIR and has contended inter alia that the case was registered on the basis of an application under Sec 175 (3) of the BNSS, which suggests that the police did not, at the initial stages, feel that the same was deserving of being registered as an FIR. It is said that two other accused persons are still absconding, due to which there is no scope for trial to commence imminently. Furthermore, the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court towards the fact that maximum punishment prescribed for the offences for which the petitioner has been booked, is less than seven years, due to which it was imperative for the investigating officer to have served a notice under Sec. 35 (3) of the BNS and only thereafter, if the petitioner had not complied with the terms of the said notice, could the petitioner have been brought under arrest. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has agitated before the Court that no such notice was issued upon the present petitioner and he was brought under arrest without getting an opportunity to prove his innocence. Last but not the least, it has been contended on behalf of the petitioner that a seizure of amount, far and excess of what he has been alleged of embezzlement, has been seized from his possession and as such, there is no necessity to further detain the petitioner in custody.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person. It has been pointed out on behalf of the prosecution that an application filed by the petitioner under Sec. 482 of the BNSS was rejected by the Ld. Sessions Judge, after perusal of the CD.

Admittedly, all offences for which the petitioner has been booked are triable by a Court of Ld. Magistrate. It is also true that the petitioner is in custody since the last 22 days. The Hon'ble Courts have in the course of a plethora of decisions right from *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar*, (2014) 8 SCC 273, *Siddharth v. State of Uttar Pradesh & anr.* (2022) 1 SCC 676, to *Sateyendra Antil v. CBI*, (2022) 10 SCC 51 that the procedure surrounding arrest is not a mere administrative formality; rather it is a constitutional safeguard protecting personal liberty of an individual under 21 (A) of the Indian Constitution. In fact, the Hon'ble Court in *Satyendar Kr Antil* (Supra) fundamentally re-shaped how the Courts would handle bail, specifically to prevent arbitrary arrests, once an investigation concludes and charge sheet is filed. In the instant case, investigation is still in progress which suggests that charge sheet has not yet been submitted. However, it is undeniable that all offences invoked against the petitioner are triable by the Court of Magistrate and accordingly, issuance of notice under Sec. 35 (3) of the BNSS was sine qua non, prior to arrest. Such arrest cannot be justified by filing a checklist in routine manner under the stringent 'necessary conditions' of Sec. 35 (1) (b) of the BNSS and by recording such reasons by the Court in writing. It is worth mentioning that should the police fail to issue notice under Sec. 35 (3) of the BNSS and fail to record valid, objective reasons for the arrest, the same would be deemed to be statutory non-compliance, which would automatically entitle the accused / petitioner to bail. The burden of enforcing such safeguard rests with the court when the accused is produced before the Court and while authorizing police custody or judicial remand, the Court has to record reasons as to scrutinize the CD and verify whether notice under Sec. 35 (3) of the BNSS was issued and if such notice was not issued, whether police had recorded valid reasons under Sec. 35 (1) (b) of the BNSS that made the arrest an absolute necessity.

An argument may be advanced that while the petitioner has been implicated for commission of offence under Sec. 318 (4), which is an aggravated form of cheating, the same would come within the purview of an 'economic offence'. However, the Hon'ble Courts have explicitly warned against denying of bail by simply branding a crime as an 'economic offence'. Regardless of the prism through which we look at it, the fundamental rule of liberty cannot be given a go back. The Courts have to take into consideration, the severity of punishment and the statutory compliance made by the IO before and at the time of arrest.

In the present case, the accused person has suffered incarceration for 22 days. A substantial seizure has been made from his possession, which is indicative of disproportionate assets in his possession. That is a matter for the investigating agencies to dwell upon, but since no more than Sec. 318 (4) of the BNS has been invoked against the accused person, for the present, this Court opines that considering the foregoing discussion, there is no valid reason to authorize further detention of the petitioner. **Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Bappaditya Bagdi is considered and allowed.**

Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Bappaditya Bagdi may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of. Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Dtd. 30.06.2026

Copy forwarded to :-

1. Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum
2. OC / Mayureswar PS for information and necessary action.

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 60 of 2026
(G R Case No. 776 / 2026)
[Rampurhat PS Case No. 217 / 2026 dtd. 29.03.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Ripan Sk @ Hira Sk.

Order No. 02
Dtd. 24.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Ripan Sk @ Hira Sk* in connection with Rampurhat PS Case No. 217 / 2026 dtd. 29.03.2026 under Section 108 of the BNS, corresponding to G R Case No. 776 / 2026.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the accused person is in custody since 30.05.2026, for an incident in which he had no nexus. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court towards the FIR, wherein it has been stated that the accused person has been falsely labeled as a thief and had circulated a video in social media to bring ignominy to the deceased. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has stated that in reality, the deceased had stolen silver jewelry from the shop of the accused person and that the same was caught on camera. It is said that since the deceased had a shop close by, the accused did not initially want to inform the matter to the police, and instead confronted the deceased, by showing him the CCTV footage of his shop, from which it would be clear that the deceased did steal silver jewelry from his shop.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner showed the video footage from the two CCTV camera installed in his shop. Admittedly, there is no report of any agency regarding the genuinity of such footage. However, this Court cannot ignore the fact that there is CCTV footage available wherefrom it can be said that the deceased had in fact played foul.

Ironically, while there is mention of a viral video circulating in the social media, the IO only seized a OnePlus mobile phone, as produced by the de facto complainant. The case record and the CD reveal that no steps were taken by the police to seize the DVR and Hard Disk in respect of the CCTV cameras installed inside the shop of the accused person. In fact, even in the forwarding reports, there is no mention about the contents of the allegedly viral video, said to be circulating in social media.

Admittedly, the accused person has been in custody since 30.05.2026. The petitioner has been implicated for commission of offence punishable under Sec. 108 of the BNS, which relates to abatement of commission of suicide. Instigation for committing suicide occurs when somebody directly provokes, encourages or creates circumstances that leave the victim with no other alternative but to take their own life. The Hon'ble Apex Court has, in the course of plethora of decisions observed that everyday arguments, petulance, ordinary domestic discord or emotional disappointment do not tantamount to instigation, unless there is solid proof of malicious intent and active incitement.

In the present case, it appears from the FIR that it was alleged by the de facto complainant, being the wife of the deceased, that the accused person had circulated a video, albeit incomplete, in social media, which went viral and that the deceased due to the humiliation and ignominy that he suffered as a result, committed suicide. In other words, it is not the contention even of the de facto complainant that there was any specific instigation or incitement by the accused person, that may have led to the deceased committing suicide. Investigation is still in progress. While this Court does not intend to channelize the investigation in any specific direction, it expects the IO to exhibit fairness and in the process, enable the Court to ascertain the truth, whatever it may be.

Considering the materials in the CD, or the lack of it, coupled with the two videos, purportedly being CCTV footage of the shop of the petitioner, this Court opines that it would be improper to authorize further detention of the petitioner. **Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioners namely, Ripan Sk @ Hira Sk is considered and allowed.**

Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Ripan Sk @ Hira Sk may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.**

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of. Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Dtd. 24.06.2026

Copy forwarded to :-

1. Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum
2. IC / Rampurhat PS for information and necessary action.

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 04 of 2026
(Spcl Case No. 18 / 2026)
[Murarai PS Case No. 97 / 2026 dtd. 04.04.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Sattam Pramanick & Anr.

Order No. 02
Dtd. 15.06.2026

This is an application under Section 482 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Saptam Pramanik @ Sattam Pramanik and Ranu Pramanik* in connection with *Murarai P. S. Case No. 97 / 2026 dtd. 04.04.2026 under Section 137 (2) / 108 of the BNS & Sec. 6 of the POCSO Act corresponding to Spcl. Case No. 18 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused persons, being the parents of the principal accused in this case, have been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that charge sheet has already been submitted and custodial interrogation of the petitioners is no longer required for the purpose of investigation of this case.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

An FIR was registered against Dipak Pramanik, his brother Anup Pramanik and his parents, Sattam Pramanik @ Saptam Pramanik and Ranu Pramanik. Accd. Dipak Pramanik had allegedly kidnapped a minor girl, took him home and started residing with her. The said minor girl committed suicide in the house of Dipak Pramanik, by consuming poison. Dipak used to reside in his house along with his parents. The petitioners herein happen to be the parents of Dipak Pramanik. Admittedly, the death of the minor VG took place in the house of the present petitioner. Accordingly, they would be deemed to have special means of knowledge regarding the death of the VG. Undoubtedly, no case under the POCSO Act is made out against the present petitioners, however, charge sheet has been submitted against them under Sec. 107 of the BNSS and I find no reason to prima facie deviate from such finding, especially in the light of a series of incriminating statements against the petitioners in the CD. Considering the attending facts and circumstances, regardless of the fact that investigation has already concluded and one of the the petitioners is a female, I find no reason to grant bail to either of the two petitioners.

Accordingly, petition filed on behalf of petitioners namely, Saptam Pramanik @ Sattam Pramanik and Ranu Pramanik is considered and rejected.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Cr. Misc case No. 58 of 2026
(G. R. No. 978 / 2026)
[Rampurhat PS Case No. 275 / 2026 dtd. 25.04.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

-Versus-

Raj Kumar Konai

Order No. 02
Dtd. 15.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Raj Kumar Konai* in connection with *Rampurhat P. S. Case No. 275 / 2026 dtd. 25.04.2026 under Section 126 (2) / 115 (2) / 117 (2) / 109 (1) / 351 (2) of the BNS corresponding to G. R. Case No. 978 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2026. It is said that the petitioner had no role or involvement in the alleged offence and all offenses apart from Sec. 109 (1) of BNS are bailable.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2026. There is an allegation in the FIR that the accused person had attempted to kill the de facto complainant. The injury reports demonstrates that the injured had suffered wounds on his eye and on his forehead, for which he had required four stitches. He was admitted in the hospital on 24.04.2026 and discharged within 24 hours. Seven weeks have elapsed. Yet, there has been no deterioration in the health of the injured, as a result of the aforementioned injuries. Considering the attending facts and circumstances, the fact that the petitioner is a female, I find no reason to authorize further detention to the petitioner.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Raj Kumar Konai is considered and allowed. Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Raj Kumar Konai may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 57 of 2026
(G. R. No. 1068 / 2026)
[Murarai PS Case No. 132 / 2026 dtd. 07.05.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL
-Versus-
Tuktuki Mal

Order No. 02
Dtd. 12.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; *Tuktuki Mal* in connection with *Murarai P. S. Case No. 132 / 2026 dtd. 07.05.2026 under Section 108 of the BNS corresponding to G. R. Case No. 1068 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2026. It is said that the petitioner had no role or involvement in the alleged offence, let alone instigate the suicide of deceased Anjan Mal.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2026. The PM Report reiterates that this is a case of suicide. The PM Report or the Inquest Report do not disclose any other external injury on the body of the deceased. The accused person is a female and has been in custody for more than five weeks. Considering the attending facts and circumstances, the fact that the petitioner is a female, I find no reason to authorize further detention to the petitioner.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Tuktuki Mal is considered and allowed. Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Tuktuki Mal may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Dtd. 12.06.2026

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat for information.

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 56 of 2026
(G. R. No. 1015 / 2026)
[Nalhati PS Case No. 210 / 2026 dtd. 02.05.2026]

STATE OF WEST BENGAL
-Versus-

Sampa Mal

Order No. 02
Dtd. 11.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Sampa Mal in connection with *Nalhati P. S. Case No. 210 / 2026 dtd. 02.05.2026 under Sections 108 of the BNS corresponding to G. R. Case No. 1015 / 2026.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2026. It is said that the petitioner had no role or involvement in the alleged offence, let alone instigate the suicide of deceased Mongoldip.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2026. The PM Report reiterates that this is a case of suicide. The PM Report or the Inquest Report do not disclose any other external injury on the body of the deceased. The accused person has been in custody for close to six weeks. Considering the attending facts and circumstances and the period of detention, I find no reason to authorize further detention to the petitioner.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Sampa Mal is considered and allowed. Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Sampa Mal may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/-** subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Dtd. 11.06.2026

Let a copy of this order be sent to OC, Nalhati PS of the concerned P.S for information.

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum

XX

Cr. Misc case No. 55 of 2026
(G. R. No. 942 / 2026)
[Nalhati PS Case No. 191 / 2026 dtd. 19.04.2026]

Mahammed Hossain @ Md. Hossain & 02 ors
-Versus-
STATE OF WEST BENGAL

Order No. 02
Dtd. 05.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Mahammed Hossain @ Md. Hossasin, Abdus Sukur @ Malek Sk. And Sefali Birbi @ Aklema Bibi in connection with Nalhati P. S. Case No. 191 / 2026 dtd. 19.04.2026 under Sections 85 / 103 (1) / 3 (5) of the BNS r/w Sec. 4 D P Actcorresponding to G. R. Case No. 942 / 2026

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2026. It is said that the Ld. Court of ACJM, Rampurhat had not had the opportunity to peruse the PM report, due to which he was not considered previously. It is said that the PM Report clearly reveals that this is a case of suicide and the peitioner had been married for more than seven years at the time of the alleged incident, due to which Sec. 80 of the BNS can also not be attracted.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2026. The PM Report clearly reveals that death was caused due to hanging which is ante mortem in nature. The PM Report or the Inquest Report do not disclose any other external injury on the body of the deceased. Accordingly, this Court has not reason, not to form a prima facie opinion that the death was anything other than a suicide. The accused person has been in custody for close to six weeks. Considering the attending facts and circumstances, I find no reason to authorize further detention to the petitioner.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Mahammed Hossain @ Md. Hossasin, Abdus Sukur @ Malek Sk. And Sefali Birbi @ Aklema Bibi **is considered and allowed. Accordingly, petitioners namely; Mahammed Hossain @ Md. Hossasin, Abdus Sukur @ Malek Sk. And Sefali Birbi @ Aklema Bibi may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- each subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.**

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Cr. Misc case No. 54 of 2026
(G. R. No. 833 / 2025)
[Paikar PS Case No. 199 / 2025 dtd. 16.04.2025]

Rohit Sk & 03 ors.

-Versus-

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

Order No. 02

Dtd. 05.06.2026

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Rohit Sk., Adar Sk. @ Adar Sekh, Yeafar Sk @ Eyaffor Sk., and Babu Sk. @ Hamedul Sk in connection with *Paikar P. S. Case No. 199 / 2025 dtd. 16.04.2025 under Sections 126 (2) / 115 (2) / 117 (2) / 109 / 3 (5) of the BNS corresponding to G. R. Case No. 883 / 2025.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner had voluntarily surrendered on 01.06.2026 before the Ld. Court of ACJM, Rampurhat. It is said that the other accused persons are on bail and the injury report in respect of the victim only reveal simple injuries. It is further contended that the petitioner no nexus with the alleged offence and considering his detention, he may be granted bail.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 01.06.2026. Charge sheet has already been submitted and other FIR named accused persons are on bail. Considering the materials in the CD coupled with the fact that other co-accused with similar involvement in the offence are already on bail, I find no reason to authorize further detention of the petitioners.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Rohit Sk., Adar Sk. @ Adar Sekh, Yeafar Sk @ Eyaffor Sk., and Babu Sk. @ Hamedul Sk **are considered and allowed.** Accordingly, petitioners namely; **Rohit Sk., Adar Sk. @ Adar Sekh, Yeafar Sk @ Eyaffor Sk., and Babu Sk. @ Hamedul Sk may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- each subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled**

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

XX

Spcl. (Pocso) Case No. 10 / 2026
PTN No. WBBB05-P000019-2026

Order No. 02
Dtd. 05.03.2026

Today the record is taken up and filed fresh vokalatnama filed on behalf of the accd. Sanju Marjit.
The surrendering accused person is taken into custody.
Subsequently, a bail petition along with fresh vokalatnama and photocopies of the Aadhar cards are filed on behalf of the said accused persons.
Ld. Defense Counsel submits that the accused person has falsely been implicated in this case due to political rivalry. Therefore, he may be enlarged on bail. On the contrary, Ld. State Counsel submits that the case is in early stage of investigation, statement of the victim has not yet been recorded.
At this stage, if prayer for bail is allowed, the prosecution will be prejudiced.
Having heard both the sides and considering the nascent stage of investigation and due to non-availability of the CD, I am not inclined to allow the bail prayer today. Hence, the bail prayer is **rejected** at this stage.
To 09.03.2026 for production of the accused, Sanju Marjit.
Call for CD.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat, Birbhum
(In-Charge)

Judge, Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum
(In-Charge)

Later :
Dtd. 03.02.2026

Today the record is put up by petition filed on behalf of accd. Monosha Mal, Mohon Mal, Mousumi Mal and Mahadev Mal who surrendered before the Court.

The surrendering accused persons are taken into custody.

Subsequently, a bail petition along with fresh vokalatnama and photocopies of the Aadhar cards are filed on behalf of the said accused persons.

The Ld. Spcl. PP is present and files hazira.

Perused the bail petition, as well as the other materials on record including the CD.

Considering the nature of the allegations against the accused persons, I find no reason to authorize further detention of the accused. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of accd. Monosha Mal, Mohon Mal, Mousumi Mal and Mahadev Mal is allowed.

Accordingly, petitioners namely; Monosha Mal, Mohon Mal, Mousumi Mal and Mahadev Mal may find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- each subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that they shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

Return the CD and call for the same, again on the date fixed.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

If on bail, to 12.02.2026 for Appearance of the accused persons namely, Monosha Mal, Mohon Mal, Mousumi Mal and Mahadev Mal.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat, Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Date _____

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum

Order No. 06
Dtd. 03.02.2026

Today is fixed for production of accd. Iswar Marjit.

The Ld. Spcl PP is present and files hazira.

The accused person is produced from JC.

A bail petition is filed on his behalf on the grounds stated therein.

Perused the same as well as the other materials on record including the CD.

Considering the nature of the allegations and the period of detention, I find no reason to authorize further detention of the accused. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of accd. Iswar Marjit is allowed.

Accordingly, petitioner namely; Iswar Marjit find bail bond of Rs. 1,000/- subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, subject to further condition that he shall not threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence and shall appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing i.d their bail order may be cancelled.

Return the CD and call for the same, again on the date fixed.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

IO report has not yet been submitted.

If on bail, to 18.03.2026 for Appearance and IO's report, Id the accused person to remain JC till 17.02.2026.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat, Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S R Chowdhury
Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No. _____

Date_____

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

Spcl. Court (POCSO)
Rampurhat Birbhum

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE
BIRBHUM AT RAMPURHAT

Present : Sri Saugata Roy Chowdhury, Additional Sessions Judge
Birbhum at Rampurhat

Cr. Misc case No. 17 of 2026
(G. R. No. 2467 / 2025)
[Rampurhat PS Case No. 568 / 2025 dtd. 09.10.2025]

Sahana Parvin @ Sahanaj Parvin

.....Petitioner

-Versus-

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

02
12-09-2025

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Sahana Parvin @ Sahanaj Parvin in connection with *Rampurhat P. S. Case No. 568 / 2025 dtd. 09.10.2025 under Sections 329 (4) / 115 (2) / 117 (2) / 109 (1) of the BNS & adding Sec. 103 (1) of BNS, corresponding to G. R. Case No. 2467 / 2025.*

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner had voluntarily surrendered on 15.01.2026 before the Ld. Court of ACJM, Rampurhat. It is further contended that the petitioner no nexus with the alleged offence and considering his detention, he may be granted bail.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C. D. against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 15.01.2026. Admittedly, charge sheet has already been submitted and another FIR named accused person is on bail. However, the CD demonstrates that the petitioner is FIR named. Moreover, I find significant incriminating materials in the CD against the present petitioner. Considering the incriminating materials in the CD coupled with the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for only a fortnight, I find no reason to allow the prayer for bail of the petitioner, at this stage.

Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely, ***Sahana Parvin @ Sahanaj Parvin*** is considered and rejected.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)
Sd/- S. R. Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Sd/- S. R. Chowdhury
Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No.

Dated.

Copy forwarded to the Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum of this case, for information and taking necessary action.

Judge
Birbhum

Additional Sessions
Rampurhat,
J.O Code no. WB00885

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BIRBHUM AT RAMPURHAT
Present : Sri Saugata Roy Chowdhury, Additional Sessions Judge,
Birbhum at Rampurhat
Cr. Misc case No. 199 of 2025
C.I.S. No. 199 of 2025 (CNR No.WBBB05-000678-2025

.....Petitioner.

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Sima Bagdi in connection with Mollarpur P.S Case No. 194 of 2025, dated 07-07-2025 under Sections 103(1)/238/61(2) of the BNS, corresponding to G. R. Case No. 1659 of 2025.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said that the petitioner has been in custody since 13-07-2025. It is further contended that she is a female and as such bail may be granted.

The petitioner is in custody since 13-07-2025. The petitioner is admittedly a female. However, the P.M. Report reveals that the deceased is the father in law of the petitioner and that he has stood as an impediment to an extra marital relationship in between the petitioner and one Monoranjan Mondal (co-accused). Considering the brutal nature of the offence and other incriminating materials in the C.D. against the petitioner, I find absolutely no reason to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely; Sima Bagdi is considered and rejected.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Dated

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code no. WB00885.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BIRBHUM AT RAMPURHAT
Present : Sri Saugata Roy Chowdhury, Additional Sessions Judge,
Birbhum at Rampurhat
Cr. Misc case No. 202 of 2025
C.I.S. No. 202 of 2025 (CNR No.WBBB05-000682-2025

Monoranjana Mondal

.....Petitioner.

-Versus-

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

02
12-09-2025

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Monoranjana Mondal in connection with Mollarpur P.S Case No. 194 of 2025, dated 07-07-2025 under Sections 103(1)/238/61(2) of the BNS, corresponding to G.R.Case No. 1659 of 2025.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said

that the petitioner has been in custody since 08-07-2025. It is further contended that the petitioner no nexus with the alleged offence and considering his detention, he may be granted bail.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C.D against the present accused person.

The petitioner is in custody since 08-07-2025. The C.D. demonstrates an illicit relation in between the present petitioner and one Sima Bagdi. Considering the brutal nature of the murder, I find absolutely no reason to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely; Monoranjana Mondal considered and rejected.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No.

Dated

Copy forwarded to the Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of this case, for information and taking necessary action.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code no. WB00885.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BIRBHUM AT RAMPURHAT
Present : Sri Saugata Roy Chowdhury, Additional Sessions Judge,
Birbhum at Rampurhat
Cr. Misc case No. 193 of 2025
C.I.S. No. 193 of 2025 (CNR No.WBBB05-000653-2025

Basiruddin Sheikh @ Bashir Sheikh

.....Petitioner.

-Versus-

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

02
12-09-2025

This is an application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by or on behalf of petitioner namely; Basiruddin Sheikh @ Bashir Sheikh in connection with Murarai P.S. Case No. 213 of 2025, dated 09-06-2025 under Sections 329(9)/109(2)/117(2)/118(2)/125/126(2)/3/5 of the BNS, added Section 103 BNS corresponding to G.R.Case No. 1364 of 2025.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P-in-charge.

Learned Lawyer for the petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and will not abscond from the process of Court, if granted bail. It is said

that the petitioner has been in custody since 08-07-2025. It is further contended that the period of detention may be considered and bail be granted to the petitioner.

The Ld. Counsel for the prosecution opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there are strong incriminating materials in the C.D against the present accused person.

The de facto complainant by filing vokalatnama and files written objection against the bail petition.

The petitioner is in custody since 08-07-2025. Considering the incriminating materials in the C.D. against the petitioner and the brutal nature of murder, I find absolutely no reason to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner namely; Basiruddin Sheikh @ Bashir Sheikh considered and rejected.

The Criminal Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Return the CD and the LCR.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of the concerned P.S for information.

(Dictated & Corrected by me)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Additional Sessions Judge
Rampurhat Birbhum,
J.O Code WB00885

Memo. No.

Dated

Copy forwarded to the Ld. ACJM, Rampurhat, Birbhum and I.O. of this case, for information and taking necessary action.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Rampurhat, Birbhum
J.O Code no. WB00885.