

21.05.2026

Present: Sh. Jitender Shankar, AR of the complainant.

Accused Ranjeet with Ld. Counsel, Sh. A. A. Qureshi.

Accused Sunita with Ld. Counsel, Sh. Anil Kumar
Kamboj.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for accused Ranjeet that matter was settled between the parties and accused is ready to pay the balance settlement amount.

AR of complainant stated that payment was not made in terms of settlement and the settlement is having no effect on the criminal prosecution.

Submissions heard on the point of notice.

AR of complainant is stating that there is sufficient material to proceed further against the accused and to frame the notice against them.

It is stated by accused that they have been falsely implicated and are having no relation with the alleged theft.

Considering the complaint, documents annexed with it, I am of view that there are sufficient grounds to proceed further against accused Ranjeet for the offence punishable under Section 135 of Electricity Act, 2003 and against accused Sunita for the

..2..

offence punishable under Section 135 read with 150 of Electricity Act, 2003. Notice of accusation has been explained to the accused persons for the respective offences mentioned above to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Put up for complainant evidence on **08.09.2026**.

(Sunil Chaudhary)
Special Judge, Electricity-02,
Central/THC/Delhi/21.05.2026