

BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, CHENNAI

Present :Tmt.E.Sasikala., M.L., P.G.Dip., in Cyber Law,
II Judge, Court of Small Causes, Chennai.

Monday, the 21st of October 2024.

M.C.O.P. No.4971/2022 and M.C.O.P.No.4972/2022

M.C.O.P. No.4971/2022 in CNR NO.: TNCH09-010617-2022

1.	Name and Address of the Claimant(s)	:	1) S.Rajeshkumar 2) Padma Jothi Daniel 3) Arhalushanth (Minor) 4) Miruthula (Minor) (The 3 rd and 4 th minor petitioners are rep. by their Next friend and Guardian the P.Shyamchander. Since the Parents of the 3 rd and 4 th petitioners died in the same accident and hence the elder brother of their father Shyamchander is acting as a Guardian) <u>All are residing at:</u> No.24, Nandi Sunhine, Yemaluru, Yemalaluru Govt. School, Doddanekkundi, Bengalore, Karnataka 560 037
2.	Name and address of Respondent(s)	:	The Managing Director, Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation, Vazhuthareddy Villupuram, Having Office at CMBT Koyambedu, Chennai 107.
3.	Date of filing of the claim petition	:	14-11-2022
4.	Date of Award	:	21.10.2024
5.	Amount of Award	:	Rs. 1,05,500/-
6.	Costs allowed	:	Rs.5,562.50/-
7.	Court Fee for award amount	:	Rs.427.50/-
8.	Court Fee paid	:	Rs.373/-
9.	Balance of Court fee to be paid	:	Rs.54.50/-

10.	ORDER	:	As per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court Madras in Tr.CMP.Nos.264 to 281 of 2020 M/s.Cholamandalam MS Genl.Ins.Co.Ltd., Vs. Mr.Ayyannar S. and others dated 11.05.2020, no separate decreetal order will be drafted.
-----	-------	---	---

and

M.C.O.P.No.4972/2022 in CNR NO.: TNCH09-010618-2022

1.	Name and Address of the Claimant(s)	:	1) S.Rajeshkumar 2) Padma Jothi Daniel 3) Arhalushanth (Minor) 4) Miruthula (Minor) (The 3 rd and 4 th minor petitioners are rep. by their Next friend and Guardian the P.Shyamchander. Since the Parents of the 3 rd and 4 th petitioners died in the same accident and hence the elder brother of their father Shyamchander is acting as a Guardian) <u>All are residing at:</u> No.24, Nandi Sunhine, Yemaluru, Yemalaluru Govt. School, Doddanekkundi, Bengalore, Karnataka 560 037
2.	Name and address of Respondent(s)	:	The Managing Director, Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation, Vazhuthareddy Villupuram, Having Office at CMBT Koyambedu, Chennai 107.
3.	Date of filing of the claim petition	:	14-11-2022
4.	Date of Award	:	21.10.2024
5.	Amount of Award	:	Rs.1,05,500/-
6.	Costs allowed	:	Rs.5,562.50/-
7.	Court Fee for award amount	:	Rs.427.50/-
8.	Court Fee paid	:	Rs.373/-
9.	Balance of Court fee to be paid	:	Rs.54.50/-

10.	ORDER	:	As per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court Madras in Tr.CMP.Nos.264 to 281 of 2020 M/s.Cholamandalam MS Genl.Ins.Co.Ltd., Vs. Mr.Ayyannar S. and others dated 11.05.2020, no separate decreetal order will be drafted.
-----	-------	---	---

These claim petitions are coming before me for final hearing on 19.09.2024 in the presence of M/s.K.Premkumar counsel for the petitioners and M/s.S.T.Rajan Counsel for the respondent, and upon perusal of entire case records, and both side arguments and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:-

COMMON ORDER

1.M.C.O.P. No.4971/2022

The claim petition had been filed by the deceased Son, Daughter and minor grand son and Minor grand daughter Under Sec.166 of M.V.Act claiming compensation of Rs.45,00,000/- (Rupees Forty Five Lakhs only) from the respondents for fatal injuries caused to the deceased, due to road accident that took place on 14.02.2021.

2.M.C.O.P. No.4972/2022

The claim petition had been filed by the deceased Son, Daughter and minor grand son and Minor grand daughter Under Sec.166 of M.V.Act claiming compensation of Rs.45,00,000/- (Rupees Forty Five Lakhs only) from the respondents for fatal injuries caused to the deceased, due to road accident that took place on 14.02.2021.

3. THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE PETITION IN MCOP No.4971/2022:-

On 14.02.2021 at about 12.30 noon the deceased was travelling

the Maruthi Alto Car bearing Registration Number TN.10.AB.6978 along with his family from Retteri Chennai towards Vengikkal Thiruvannamalai on the extreme left side of the Thiruvannamalai to Vellore Road, Usambadi Village near Arumugam's Land. While so the respondent's bus bearing Registration No.TN.25.N.0273 which was proceeding from Thiruvannamalai on the opposite direction was driven by its driver in a rash and negligent manner with high speed and hit against the Car in which the deceased was traveling and over run the car and then the bus got capsized in the road side ditch. The said accident was caused only by the rash and negligent act of the driver of the respondent TNSSTC Bus bearing Registration No.TN 25 N 0273. If the driver of the respondent had driven the vehicle with caution and due regard to the Traffic rules and regulations the accident would not have occurred and the deceased would not have sustained the said fatal injuries. The Tiruvannamalai police Station, Tiruvannamalai District have registered a case against the driver of the TNSSTC Bus in Cr.No.88/2021 Under Sec.279, 337, 304(A) of IPC. Hence this petition.

4. THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE PETITION IN MCOP No.4972/2022:-

On 14.02.2021 at about 12.30 noon the deceased was travelling the Maruthi Alto Car bearing Registration Number TN.10.AB.6978 along with his family from Retteri Chennai towards Vengikkal Thiruvannamalai on the extreme left side of the Thiruvannamalai to Vellore Road, Usambadi Village near Arumugam's Land. While so the respondent's bus bearing Registration No.TN.25.N.0273 which was proceeding from Thiruvannamalai on the opposite direction was driven by its driver in a rash and negligent manner with high speed and hit

against the Car in which the deceased was traveling and over run the car and then the bus got capsized in the road side ditch. The said accident was caused only by the rash and negligent act of the driver of the respondent TNSC Bus bearing Registration No.TN 25 N 0273. If the driver of the respondent had driven the vehicle with caution and due regard to the Traffic rules and regulations the accident would not have occurred and the deceased would not have sustained the said fatal injuries. The Tiruvannamalai police Station, Tiruvannamalai District have registered a case against the driver of the TNSC Bus in Cr.No.88/2021 Under Sec.279, 337, 304(A) of IPC. Hence this petition.

5. THE COMMON ALLEGATIONS IN THE COUNTER FILED BY THE RESPONDENT:

The respondent denies the allegation that the driver of this respondent was rash and negligent at the time of accident and caused the accident. The driver of this respondent drove the vehicle with due care and caution observing traffic rules. He was not rash and negligent at the time of accident. The manner of accident as alleged by the petitioner is not correct.

6. POINTS FOR DETERMINATION:

1. Whether the accident had happened due to the negligent act of the driver of TNSC Bus bearing Reg.No.TN 25 N 0273 ?
2. Whether the respondents are liable to pay compensation?
3. What is quantum of compensation, the petitioner in MCOP No.4971/2022 is entitled for ?
4. What is quantum of compensation, the petitioner in MCOP No.4972/2022 is entitled for ?

7. On the side of the petitioners, Petitioners were examined as PW1 to PW3 and Ex.P1 to Ex.P31 were marked. On the side of the respondent, Conductor of the respondent's vehicle was examined as RW1 and no exhibits were marked.

8. POINT NO.(i) :-

a) The respondent is the owner of the TNSTC Bus bearing Registration No.TN 25 N 0273.

b) In order to prove their claim, the 1st petitioner had examined himself as PW1. The affidavit filed by him was treated as examination in chief of PW1 and the same as recorded. On perusal of the same it is found that the contents of the petitioner in claim petition is stated in it as it are in the claim petition and the documents were marked as Ex.P1 to Ex.P31.

c) The PW1 was cross examined on behalf of the respondent. The respondent claimed that the alleged accident had happened as narrated in the FIR and not occurred as stated in the petition. The accident is not occurred due to rash, careless negligent of the driver of the TNSTC Bus bearing Reg.No.TN 25 N 0273. This accident is occurred due to the negligence of the petitioner. To support their contention the respondent examined the conductor of the respondent as RW1.

d) The Hon'ble High Court of Madras has laid down in the case of Muthu Vs. The Managing Director, TNSTC, reported in 2014(1) TNMAC 156 that the Tribunal is bound to determine whose negligence is the cause of the accident and that the same may be determined on the basis of preponderance of probabilities and that the issue does not require proof beyond reasonable doubt as in the case of criminal cases. This Tribunal is therefore bound to ascertain, on the basis of the principle

of preponderance of probabilities, whose negligence was the cause of the accident.

e) Moreover the Hon'ble Apex court has laid down in the case of Meeradevi and another Vs. HRTC and others reported in 2014(1) TNMAC 456 (SC) that when the respondent attributes negligence on the part of the petitioner, the respondent is bound to prove the same by substantial evidence.

f) In this case, the petitioner had deposed as PW1 and he had deposed about the manner of the accident and had clearly attributed negligence on the part of the respondent and marked FIR as Ex.P1 also filed against the driver of the respondent. On the other hand, the respondent has denied the manner of the accident as spoken by the petitioners.

g) On perusal of the Ex.P1 FIR has been reveals that the Ex.P1 FIR has been filed as against the driver of the respondent's vehicle in respect of the occurrence. The Ex.P1 corroborates the evidence of PW1. The respondent was not examined any eye witness to establish the contention of the respondent and version of the accident. Hence above said averments of the respondent cannot be taken into for consideration.

h) In view of Ex.P1 FIR, it is held that the accident had occurred only due to the negligence act of the driver of the respondent's vehicle who is driving the TNSTC Bus bearing Reg.No.TN 25 N 0273. The point is answered accordingly.

9.POINT NO. (ii) :-

a) The PW1 to PW3 have produced Ex.P1 to Ex.P31 in support of their claim. The Ex.P1 FIR reveals that the driver of the respondent's TNSTC Bus bearing Reg.No.TN 25 N 0273 was involved in the

occurrence. The Ex.P2 death report, the Ex.P3 postmortem certificate and the Ex.P4 death certificate of the deceased in MCOP No.4971/2022 reveals that the deceased was died in that road accident. The Ex.P27 death report, the Ex.P28 postmortem certificate and the Ex.P29 death certificate of the deceased in MCOP No.4972/2022 reveals that the deceased was died in that road accident. It has been already found that the negligence of the driver of the respondent's vehicle was caused the accident. As the owner of the vehicle, the respondent is vicariously liable to compensate the petitioners for the fatal injuries sustained by the deceased in the accident.

b) Hence the respondent has the statutory liability in respect of the compensation payable to the petitioner by the respondent. This point is answered accordingly.

10.POINT NO.(iii) in MCOP No.4971/2022:-

a) The petitioner claims that the deceased was 67 years old at the time of occurrence. As per the deceased Aadhar card his date of birth is 06.07.1953. Hence it is found that on the date of occurrence, viz., 14.02.2021 the age of deceased was 67 years.

b) The accident occurred on 14.02.2021. The deceased was sustained fatal injuries and died on the spot. The Ex.P2 death report, the Ex.P3 postmortem certificate and the Ex.P4 death certificate of the deceased reveals that the deceased was died in that road accident. As per the Ex.P5 Legal heir-ship certificate, the 1st petitioner is the Son of the deceased, the 2nd petitioner is the daughter of the deceased and the 3rd and 4th petitioners are the grand son and grand daughter of the deceased.

c) In this case the petitioners claimed that due to injuries sustained in the road accident only, the deceased was died on 14.02.2021. At the

time of accident, the age of the deceased was 67 years and the age of the petitioners 1 and 2 were more than 30 years. Hence they are not dependents of the deceased. The petitioners claimed that the 3rd and 4th petitioners grand son and grand daughter of the deceased also legal heirs of the deceased. It is settled position of law that the grand son and grand daughter are second class legal heirs and not a first class legal heirs. The second class legal heirs of the deceased are not his dependents. Hence the petitioners are not entitled for compensation towards loss of dependency.

d)The Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the principles for computing the compensation under the conventional the 1st and 2nd petitioners are entitled to Rs.44,000/-each, towards Loss of Consortium and Rs.16,500/- towards Funeral expenses and Rs.1,000/- towards Loss of estate.

11. The total compensation for the petitioner under various heads as discussed above is as follows:

Sl.No.	Compensation	:	Amount
1	Loss of parental consortium for the 1 st and 2 nd petitioners	:	Rs. 88,000/-
2	Funeral Expenses	:	Rs. 16,500/-
3	Loss of Estate	:	Rs. 1,000/-
	Total	:	Rs. 1,05,500/-

Therefore, the 1 and 2 petitioners are entitled for compensation is
Rs.1,05,500/-

APPORTIONMENT:

The 1st and 2nd petitioners are the Son and Daughter of the deceased and they are entitled a sum of **Rs.52,750/- each** along with respective apportionate interest.

12.POINT NO.(iv) in MCOP No.4972/2022:-

a) The petitioner claims that the deceased was 57 years old at the time of occurrence. As per the Ex.P28 post mortem certificate. The Ex.P27 Death report of the deceased, her age is noted as 60 years. Hence it is found that on the date of occurrence, viz., 14.02.2021 the age of deceased was 60 years.

b) The accident occurred on 14.02.2021. The deceased was sustained fatal injuries and died on the spot. The Ex.P27 death report, the Ex.P28 postmortem certificate and the Ex.P29 death certificate of the deceased reveals that the deceased was died in that road accident. As per the Ex.P30 Legal heir-ship certificate, the 1st petitioner is the Son of the deceased, the 2nd petitioner is the daughter of the deceased and the 3rd and 4th petitioners are the grand son and grand daughter of the deceased.

c) In this case the petitioners claimed that due to injuries sustained in the road accident only, the deceased was died on 14.02.2021. At the time of accident, the age of the deceased was 60 years and the age of the petitioners 1 and 2 were more than 30 years. Hence they are not dependents of the deceased. The petitioners claimed that the 3rd and 4th petitioners grand son and grand daughter of the deceased also legal heirs of the deceased. It is settled position of law that the grand son and grand daughter are second class legal heirs and not a first class legal heirs. The second class legal heirs of the deceased are not her dependents. Hence the petitioners are not entitled for compensation towards loss of

dependency.

d) The Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the principles for computing the compensation under the conventional the 1st and 2nd petitioners are entitled to Rs.44,000/-each, towards Loss of Consortium and Rs.16,500/- towards Funeral expenses and Rs.1,000/- towards Loss of estate.

13. The total compensation for the petitioner under various heads as discussed above is as follows:

Sl.No.	Compensation	:	Amount
1	Loss of parental consortium for the 1 st and 2 nd petitioners	:	Rs. 88,000/-
2	Funeral Expenses	:	Rs. 16,500/-
3	Loss of Estate	:	Rs. 1,000/-
	Total	:	Rs. 1,05,500/-

Therefore, the 1 and 2 petitioners are entitled for compensation is **Rs.1,05,500/-**.

APPORTIONMENT:

The 1st and 2nd petitioners are the Son and Daughter of the deceased and they are entitled a sum of **Rs.52,750/- each** along with respective apportionate interest.

14. MCOP No.4971/2022

1. In the result, the petition is partly allowed with proportionate cost.
2. that the respondent is ordered to pay compensation amount of **Rs.1,05,500/- (Rupees One Lakh Five Thousand and Five Hundred only)**. The 1st and 2nd petitioners are the Son and Daughter of the

deceased and they are entitled a sum of **Rs.52,750/- each** along with respective apportionate interest.

3. that the award amount shall carry interest at the rate of 7.5 percent p.a., from the date of petition till date of realization, excluding the default period if any.

4. that the respondent is directed to deposit the award amount in the credit of the Current Bank account of this Claims Tribunal i.e. Registrar CMACD Court of Small Causes, Chennai. Indian Bank High Court building branch, A/c No.7103261207, IFSC code No. IDIB000M157, MICRNo.600019117 E-mail ID. Chnccc.sccrc-tn@indiancourts.nic.in directly by NEFT or RTGS mode within a period of one month from the date of this order and intimate the said deposit details to this Tribunal with a copy of the bank advice.

5. that the petitioners are directed to pay the deficit court fee within two weeks, failing which, they are not entitled to any interest.

6. that the 1st and 2nd petitioners are permitted to withdraw the amount on deposit.

7. that the advocate fee is fixed at Rs.5,110/-

8. Petition filed on : 14-11-2022

9. C.F.Required: Rs.427.50/- C.F.paid : Rs. 373/- on 19-09-2022

Balance C.F.due : Rs.54.50/-

10. That the respondent do pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.5,562.50/- towards the costs of this petition.

11. Thereafter the compensation amount along with interest has to be deposited to the following petitioner's bank account.

Petitioner Name	Bank Name and Branch	Account number and IFSC code	Aadhar Card number	Pan Card number
-----------------	----------------------	------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------

Rajesh Kumar	Punjab National Bank, Madras	0286200100036 893, PUNB0028620	7787 6019 4207	Not furnished
Padma Jothi Daniel	Punjab National Bank, Madras	0286200100036 866, PUNB0028620	7566 0547 0797	Not furnished

12. In view of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in C.M.A.No.428 of 2016 dated 11.03.2016, the 1st and 2nd petitioners are hereby directed to furnish the attested copy of their Pan card to this Tribunal within a period of one month from today

13. Other necessary particulars:

Date of Presentation of petition	-	19-09-2022
Date of taken up on file	-	14-11-2022
Compensation claimed in the M.C.O.P.	-	Rs.45,00,000/-
Compensation awarded in this petition	-	Rs.1,05,500/-
Court fee paid along with the petition	-	Rs.373/-
Court fee payable on the award amount	-	Rs.427.50/-
Additional court fee shall be paid	-	Rs.54.50/-

Additional court fee paid, Memo SR.No.....; dated

STATEMENT OF COSTS

For the petitioners

For the Respondents

		<u>Rs.</u>	<u>P.</u>		<u>Rs.</u>	<u>P.</u>
Stamp on petition	-	427	50			
Stamp on Vakalat	-	5	00			
Stamp for process	-	20	00	-- not filed --		

STATEMENT OF COSTS

Advocate fees	-	5110	00
Costs allowed	-	5562	50

The direction regarding copy of the Judgment and decreetal order prepared under Sub rule 6 of Rule 20 of TNMAC Rules, 1989, and Hon'ble Madras High Court's communication in R.O.C.No.390-A/2014/F1 dated 13.02.2014 are obediently followed. And further it is informed, as per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, as far as this claim Petition is concerned, Decree is not drafted separately. And in the award, instead of drafting the decree, necessary details have been incorporated in this claim Petition's order.

15. MCOP No.4972/2022:

1. In the result, the petition is partly allowed with proportionate cost.
2. that the respondent is ordered to pay compensation amount of **Rs.1,05,500/- (Rupees One Lakh Five Thousand and Five Hundred only)**. The 1st and 2nd petitioners are the Son and Daughter of the deceased and they are entitled a sum of **Rs.52,750/- each** along with respective apportionate interest.
3. that the award amount shall carry interest at the rate of 7.5 percent p.a., from the date of petition till date of realization, excluding the default period if any.
4. that the respondent is directed to deposit the award amount in the credit of the Current Bank account of this Claims Tribunal i.e. Registrar CMACD Court of Small Causes, Chennai. Indian Bank High Court building branch, A/c No.7103261207, IFSC code No. IDIB000M157,

MICRNo.600019117 E-mail ID. Chnccc.sccrc-tn@indiancourts.nic.in directly by NEFT or RTGS mode within a period of one month from the date of this order and intimate the said deposit details to this Tribunal with a copy of the bank advice.

5. that the petitioners are directed to pay the deficit court fee within two weeks, failing which, they are not entitled to any interest.

6. that the 1st and 2nd petitioners are permitted to withdraw the amount on deposit.

7. that the advocate fee is fixed at Rs.5,110/-

8. Petition filed on : 14-11-2022

9. C.F.Required: Rs.427.50/- C.F.paid : Rs. 373/- on 19-09-2022

Balance C.F.due : Rs.54.50/-

10. That the respondent do pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.5,562.50/- towards the costs of this petition.

11. Thereafter the compensation amount along with interest has to be deposited to the following petitioner's bank account.

Petitioner Name	Bank Name and Branch	Account number and IFSC code	Aadhar Card number	Pan Card number
Rajesh Kumar	Punjab National Bank, Madras	028620010003689 3, PUNB0028620	7787 6019 4207	Not furnished
Padma Jothi Daniel	Punjab National Bank, Madras	028620010003686 6, PUNB0028620	7566 0547 0797	Not furnished

12. In view of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in C.M.A.No.428 of 2016 dated 11.03.2016, the 1st and 2nd petitioners are hereby directed to furnish the attested copy of their Pan card to this Tribunal within a period of one month from today.

13. Other necessary particulars:

Date of Presentation of petition	-	19-09-2022
Date of taken up on file	-	14-11-2022
Compensation claimed in the M.C.O.P.	-	Rs.45,00,000/-
Compensation awarded in this petition	-	Rs.1,05,500/-
Court fee paid along with the petition	-	Rs.373/-
Court fee payable on the award amount	-	Rs.427.50/-
Additional court fee shall be paid	-	Rs.54.50/-

Additional court fee paid, Memo SR.No.....; dated

STATEMENT OF COSTS

<u>For the petitioners</u>			<u>For the Respondents</u>	
		<u>Rs.</u>	<u>P.</u>	
Stamp on petition	-	427	50	
Stamp on Vakalat	-	5	00	
Stamp for process	-	20	00	-- not filed --
Advocate fees	-	5110	00	
Costs allowed	-	5562	50	

The direction regarding copy of the Judgment and decreetal order prepared under Sub rule 6 of Rule 20 of TNMAC Rules, 1989, and Hon'ble Madras High Court's communication in R.O.C.No.390-A/2014/F1 dated 13.02.2014 are obediently followed. And further it is informed, as per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, as far as this claim Petition is concerned, Decree is not drafted separately. And in the award, instead of drafting the decree, necessary details have been incorporated in this claim Petition's order.

Dictated to steno-typist directly by me and typed by her directly, corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court this the 21st day of October 2024.

II Judge
Court of Small Causes
Chennai.

Annexure:-

Petitioner side witnesses :-

- PW1 - Mr. Rajesh Kumar, 1st petitioner in MCOP 4971/2022
- PW2 - Mr. Rajesh Kumar, 1st petitioner in MCOP 4972/2022
- PW3 - Mr. Sripal, Eye Witness

Petitioner side Exhibits :-

- Ex.P1/PW1 - Copy of FIR
- Ex.P2/PW1 - Copy of Death report
- Ex.P3/PW1 - Copy of postmortem certificate
- Ex.P4/PW1 - Copy of Death certificate
- Ex.P5/PW1 - Copy of Legal heirs certificate
- Ex.P6/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of deceased
- Ex.P7/PW1 - Copy of driving licence of deceased
- Ex.P8/PW1 - Copy of registration certificate of deceased
- Ex.P9/PW1 - Copy of Goods carriage permit
- Ex.P10/PW1 - Copy of Delivery letter for sale
- Ex.P11/PW1 - Copy of Name Transfer particulars
- Ex.P12/PW1 - Copy of Tax invoice
- Ex.P13/PW1 - Photos
- Ex.P14/PW1 - Bank pass book
- Ex.P15/PW1 - Copy of Agreement - Tata Motors
- Ex.P16/PW1 - Copy of Bank statement
- Ex.P17/PW1 - Copy of goods transport invoices
- Ex.P18/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of 1st petitioner

- Ex.P19/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of 2nd petitioner
- Ex.P20/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of 3rd petitioner
- Ex.P21/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of 4th petitioner
- Ex.P22/PW1 - Copy of Aadhar card of guardian of 3rd and 4th petitioners
- Ex.P23/PW1 - Copy of bank pass book of 1st petitioner
- Ex.P24/PW1 - Copy of bank pass book of 2nd petitioner
- Ex.P25/PW1 - Copy of bank pass book of 3rd petitioner
- Ex.P26/PW1 - Copy of M.V.I Report
- Ex.P27/PW2 - Copy of Death report
- Ex.P28/PW2 - Copy of postmortem certificate
- Ex.P29/PW2 - Copy of Death certificate
- Ex.P30/PW2 - Copy of Legal heirs certificate
- Ex.P31/PW3 - Copy of Aadhar card of PW3

Respondents side witnesses:-

- RW1 - Mr. Palani, Conductor of the respondent's vehicle

Respondents side Exhibits :- Nil

List of Court Document:- Nil

II Judge
Court of Small Causes
Chennai.