

**In the Court of Preeti Sukhija, Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.
(UID No.PB0276).**

B.A. No. 5490 dated 15.06.2026.
Date of Order:08.07.2026.

State of Punjab.

Versus.

Sanju (wrongly mentioned as Sanjay in FIR), aged 32 years, son of
Satpal, resident of House No. 3133 (wrongly mentioned as House No.
3123 in FIR), EWS Colony, Tajpur Road, Ludhiana.

. . . . Applicant-accused.

FIR No.308 dated 28.11.2025.

U/ss 326(g), 194(2), 324(4), 126(2), 191(2),
190, 351(3), 115(2), 61(2) of BNS.

P.S. Division No.7, Ludhiana.

[Bail application U/s 482 of BNSS]

Present: Sh.Amandeep Chaurasia, Advocate, for applicant-accused
Sh.RajeshSharma, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.

ORDER:

Status report produced, which is taken on the record. Police
record also produced.

2. Applicant-accused has filed the present anticipatory bail
application under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for releasing him on bail for

offence under Sections 326(g), 194(2), 324(4), 126(2), 191(2), 190, 351(3), 115(2), 61(2) of BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused has argued that allegations against the applicant-accused is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Co-accused Dharminder Kumar and Timmo have already been released on anticipatory bail by this Court. No offence, as alleged in the FIR has ever been committed by him. No case is made out against him and entire story has been falsely projected by the prosecution. Nothing is to be recovered from him. He is ready to join the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, hence, the prayer has been made to admit the applicant-accused on anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of the bail application was given to the State. Learned Addl.P.P for the State has vehemently contended that as per the averments contained in the FIR and reiterated the same, while controverting the contentions of learned counsel for the applicant-counsel. The anticipatory bail applications of the co-accused namely Ankur, Johny, Mosahid Khan @ Sumayad Khan @ Mulla and Aryan have already been dismissed by this Court. So for releasing the co-accused Dharminder Kumar and Timmo is concerned, their case was totally on different footing and accused cannot claim parity with them. There are serious allegations against the applicant-accused and his custodial interrogation is required and as such, he does not deserve the leniency of the Court in granting the relief of anticipatory bail as bail applications of co-accused have already been dismissed by this Court. Prayer for dismissal of the anticipatory of

the applicant-accused has been made.

5. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for applicant-accused, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the record carefully.

6. The law in this case has been set into motion on the basis of information on the allegations that on 27.11.2025, ASI Balwinder Singh alongwith other police officials was present at Jacha-Bacha Hospital, Ludhiana. At about 11:00 PM, ASI Balwinder Singh received information that in Mohalla EWS Colony, Tajpur Road, Ludhiana, some unknown persons were creating a ruckus by fighting and indulging in hooliganism, disturbing public peace. They were vandalizing cars, motorcycles, and houses standing on the road, setting vehicles on fire, and assaulting passersby with deadly weapons. On receiving this information, ASI Balwinder Singh reached the spot. He began making inquiries regarding the fight. However, no resident of the locality was willing to name any party involved in the incident. Thereupon, ASI Balwinder Singh, along with fellow staff, conducted discreet inquiries, which revealed that one party consisting of Timo, Dharminder, Sumaid Khan alias Mulla, Pawan Kumar, Aryan, Johnny , Rama, Dilkhush Rao alias Lallu, Vinay Kumar alias Vinay along with 15–20 unknown persons whereas the other party consisting of Sanjay, Sahil alias Sorpi, Rishav Chotala alias Rish, Manpreet Singh alias Mannu, Gagan Kumar, Balram Kumar alias Biru, Ankur, Abhishek Bidlan alias Abhi, Sandeep alias Kaka along with 15–20 unknown persons, armed with deadly weapons, fought with each other.

They vandalized vehicles parked in the locality, set vehicles on fire, inflicted serious injuries on many members of the public, created an atmosphere of terror in the area, and harassed the residents.

7. On the basis of aforesaid information, the law has been set into motion and case for offence under Sections 326(G), 194(2), 324(4), 126(2), 191(2), 190, 351(3), 115(2), and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) was registered accordingly. During investigation, recovery of wooden baseball and wooden stick was effected. As per MLR on the police record there are number of injuries are shown on the person of Ishant. The allegations against the accused are serious in nature. The investigation is still going on. There is every likelihood that if granted bail, the applicant-accused may attempt to hamper the trial by threatening the witnesses in one way or the other. Custodial interrogation of the applicant-accused is required in order to effect the recovery of the weapon used in the commission of crime. Anticipatory of the co-accused have already been dismissed by this Court.

8. It is pertinent to mention here that counsel for applicant-accused has argued that co-accused Timmo and Dharminder Kumar have already been released on bail by this Court but perusal of record reveals that the case of present applicant-accused is totally different from them as in their bail application, said accused have specifically alleged that their son has been murdered and in order to put pressure upon them, they have been falsely implicated in the said case. In this case the allegations against the applicant-accused are serious in nature who was one of the assailants

who armed with deadly weapons caused injuries. Challan has not been presented so far and investigation is in progress. There is every likelihood that applicant-accused may tamper with the prosecution evidence, if released on anticipatory bail at this stage especially when the investigation is going on

9. In view of the above discussion and considering the seriousness of the allegations, this Court is not inclined to exercise discretion in favour of the applicant-accused and do not find it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail as no exceptional circumstances made out at this stage. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail filed by applicant-accused is hereby dismissed.

Police record be returned. Present bail application file be consigned to the record room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced in open court.
Date of order:08.07.2026.

(Preeti Sukhija)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana.
(UID No. PB0276)

This order has been directly dictated on the computer.
Kashmira Singh, Stenographer G-I