

**IN THE COURT OF XVII ADDITIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF
FIRST CLASS, RANGAREDDY DISTRICT AT MAHESHWARAM**

**PRESENT: Ms. Soundarya Kushanapalli,
XVII Addl.Judl.Magistrate of First
Class, Maheshwaram**

Thursday, this the 30th day of July, 2026

C.C.No.410 of 2022

Between:

The State :
Maheshwaram P.S

...Complainant

AND

Katravath Mohan @ Mohan Naik S/o Chandrya, Age: 32 Years, Caste: ST-Lambada, Occ: Business, R/o Nallacheru Thanda, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

... Accused

This case is coming before me on 20.07.2026 for arguments in the presence of Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Prosecution and of Sri G.Ashok, Advocate for accused and after having perused evidence adduced on either side and the material papers available on record and stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivered the following:

J U D G M E N T

1. S.I of Police, P.S Maheshwaram filed charge sheet in Cr.No.199/2022 for offences punishable U/Sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC against accused.

2. The brief contents of the report lodged by PW1 at P.S Maheshwaram on 27.06.2022 are as follows:

PW1 owns a land of 6,700 Sq. Yds as plots in DTCP Approved Fabs Gold Venture situated in Sy.No: 269, 272, 274, 275, 276 and 278 of Ameerpet Village with Nos: 1, 2, 3, 4, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27,

28, 29, 30 and No: 18 in another layout and his friend Sridhar has 600 Sq. Yds as plots in said venture. As such they are fenced around said land/plots and enjoying peaceful possession. On 27.06.2022 at about 10:00 hours the complainant along with PW2 visited said land and noticed that accused along with his associates were illegally trespassed into complainant's and others plots/land and fencing fixed around approximately 10,000 Sq. Yds land, due to which the complainant questioned the same with them, meantime said Mohan replied that he purchased said land and threatened them by abusing most filthy language.

2.1 Based on the contents of report, LW13/Sri M.Madhusudhan Inspector of Police, P.S Maheshwaram registered a case in Cr.No.199/2022 for offences punishable U/Sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC and entrusted the further investigation to PW6. During the course of investigation, PW6 examined and recorded the statement of PW1 and PW2 at P.S Maheshwaram, visited scene of offence situated at 269, 272, 274, 275, 276 and 278 of Ameerpet Village, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. PW6 further conducted scene of offence panchanama along with rough sketch in the presence of LW7/Sri Syed Adil and LW8/Sri Kaki Mallesh. PW6 issued sec.41-A Cr.P.C Notice to accused with instructions to cooperate with the investigation. On completion of investigation, PW6 laid the charge sheet for offences punishable U/sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC against accused.

3. This case was taken on file on 15.10.2022 for offences punishable

U/Sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC against the accused. On appearance of accused, copies were furnished under section 207 Cr.P.C. accused no.1 to 3 was examined under section 239 Cr.P.C for offences punishable U/sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC. Charges for offences punishable U/sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC were framed, read over and explained to him in his vernacular language for which he pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

4. To prove its case, prosecution arrayed as many as 14 witnesses and examined six witnesses as PW1 to PW6. Ex.P1 to Ex.P7 were marked. Learned APP given up the evidence of LW5, LW7 to LW13 and reported the closure of prosecution evidence. Hence the prosecution evidence was closed.

5. On closure of prosecution evidence, accused was examined U/Sec.313 Cr.P.C wherein he was questioned with respect to the incriminating material appearing in the evidence of the prosecution against him for which he denied such circumstances, maintained innocence and reported no defence evidence.

6. Heard the arguments of both the Learned Counsels and framed the following point for determination.

"Whether prosecution is able to establish the guilt of accused for offences under secs.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC against the Accused no.1 to 3 beyond any reasonable doubt or not?"

7. ARGUMENTS: -

During the course of arguments, Learned APP contended to convict the accused basing on the incriminating material available from the

evidences of prosecution. On the other hand, Learned Counsel for accused submitted to dispose off the case on merits.

DEPOSITION OF THE PROSECUTION WITNESSES:-

8. PW6/Sri J. Venkataiah deposed that, he worked as SI of Police, P.S Maheshwaram from 2018 to 2023. LW13/Sri M. Madhusudhari was the then SHO of PS Maheshwaram. He can identify his signature if seen. On 27.06.2022, PW1 came to the police station and lodged a report, based on which LW13/Sri M.Madhusudhan registered a case in Cr. No. 199/2022 for the offences punishable U/secs. 447, 427, 506, 504 IPC and entrusted the investigation to him. During the course of investigation, he examined and recorded the statements of PW1 and PW2 at PS Maheshwaram Thereafter, he visited scene of crime situated in survey No.269, 272, 274, 275, 276, 278 at Ameerpet, and conducted scene of crime panchanama along with rough sketch in the presence of LW7/Sri Syed Abin and LWB/Sri K. Mallesh. Thereafter, he examined and recorded the statements of PW3, PW4, PW5, and LW6/Sri G.Rajender at the scene of crime. He also examined and recorded the statements of LW9/Sri G.Anandam, LW10/Smt Meena at P.S Maheshwaram. Thereafter, he again visited the scene of crime and examined and recorded the statements of LW11/Sri V.Siddaiah @Siddulu and LW12/Sri V.Sreenaiah @ Sreen. He addressed a letter to SRO, Maheshwaram and obtained certified copies of documents bearing doc. Nos. 5537/2016, 5539/2016, 5538/2016, 5444/2007, 33884/2019, 5445/2007, 3742/2007, 3744/2007. He also obtained certified copies of layout from DTCP authorities.

On 05.09.2022, he issued Sec.41-A Cr.P.C notice to sole accused with instructions to cooperate with the investigation. Since he cooperated with the investigation, he was not arrested. On completion of investigation, he laid charge sheet. Ex.P6 is the F.I.R. Ex.P7 is the scene of crime panchanama along with rough sketch. PW2, PW3, 33884/2019, 5445/2007, 3742/2007, 3744/2007. he also obtained certified of layout from DTCP authorities. On 05.09.2022, he issued Sec.41-A Cr.P.C notice to sole accused with instructions to cooperate with the investigation. Since he cooperated with the investigation, he was not arrested. On completion of investigation, he laid charge sheet. Ex.P6 is the F.I.R. Ex.P7 is the scene of crime panchanama along with rough sketch. PW2, PW3, PW4, PW5 stated before me as in Ex. P2, Ex P3, Ex.P4 and Ex. P5 respectively.

APPRECIATION OF EVIDENCES:-

9. Upon perusing the entire material available on record, except PW6 none of the witnesses supported the version of prosecution.

10. PW2 to PW5 who are the eye witnesses to this case surprisingly resiled from their earlier statements made to the police and thus they were treated hostile by the learned prosecution.

11. In the current case on hand, PW2 to 5 have turned hostile and learned APP has conducted a lengthy cross examination but nothing could be elicited in favour of the prosecution and as such, there is no corroboration from any corner. PW6 is investigating officer whose evidence is procedural in nature. PW6 is the investigating officer

who investigated the case. When PW1 to PW 5 did not support the case of the prosecution, it creates a doubt as to whether such offence really took place as mentioned. When the affairs stood thus, it is rickety to act on the sole testimony of the investigating officer to convict the arrayed accused. Under these circumstances, it appears to this court that the case of the prosecution is implausible. Hence in view of the above stated discussion there is no piece of evidence in favor of the prosecution to show that the accused had committed the offence. Hence, the prosecution failed to establish the guilt of the accused beyond any reasonable doubt for the offence U/Sec. 447, 323, 504 IPC and he is entitled for acquittal.

15. In the result, accused is found not guilty for offences punishable U/secs.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC. Accordingly, he is acquitted under section 248(1) of Cr.P.C for offences punishable U/secs.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC. Bail bonds of accused if any shall stands canceled after appeal time is over. The unmarked case property returned to owner for interim custody shall after lapse of appeal period.

Typed to my dictation by the Stenographer Grade-III, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 30th day of July, 2026.

**XVII ADDL JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS,
MAHESHWARAM**

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE
WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Complainant:

PW1: Sri V.Jaipal Reddy

For Accused:

NONE

PW2: Sri M.Sridhar

PW3: Sri R.Shiva Kumar

PW4: Sri Sridhar Purohith

PW5: Sri K.Ranjith Kumar

PW6: Sri J.Venkataiah, SI of Police, P.S Maheshwaram

EXHIBITS MARKED

For Complainant:

Ex.P1 : report

Ex.P2: section 161 Cr.P.C statement of PW2

Ex.P3: section 161 Cr.P.C statement of PW3

Ex.P4: section 161 Cr.P.C statement of PW4

Ex.P5: section 161 Cr.P.C statement of PW5

Ex.P6: FIR

Ex.P7: scene of crime panchanama along with rough sketch

For Accused : NIL

MATERIAL OBJECTS

NIL

**XVII ADDL JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS,
MAHESHWARAM**

CALENDAR AND JUDGMENT**THE COURT OF XVII ADDITIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF
FIRST CLASS, RANGAREDDY DISTRICT AT MAHESHWARAM****C.C.No.410/2022**

Date of offence	: 27.06.2022
Date of report or complaint:	: 27.06.2022
Date of apprehension of accused	: --
Date of release on bail, if any	: --
Period of detention of accused, if any	: --
Date of commencement of trial	: 01.11.2023
Close of trial	: 20.07.2026
Date of sentence or other order	: 30.07.2026
Remarks	: Nil
offences	: U/Sec.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC
Finding	: Not guilty

Result : In the result, accused is found not guilty for offences punishable U/secs.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC. Accordingly, he is acquitted under section 248(1) of Cr.P.C for offences punishable U/secs.447, 427, 504, 506 IPC. Bail bonds of accused if any shall stands canceled after appeal time is over. The unmarked case property returned to owner for interim custody shall after lapse of appeal period.

**XVII ADDL JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS,
MAHESHWARAM**

Copy submitted to:

The Hon'ble 1st Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rangareddy District
at LB Nagar.