

ORDER

It is conciliated; the Complainant/CW-1 has appeared and consented to get settled the matter in Lokadalath; and submitted that he is not interested in continuing this case.

In view of the consent to get settled the matter by the Complainant and in view of the observations made in the case of Baiju V/s State of Kerala reported in 2007 SCC online KER 405, by Hon'ble the Kerala High Court, as even the victim could move for composition, it is not necessary for the Court to insist the personal appearance of the accused in consideration of the move for compromise by the Complainant, as composition U/s 320 of Cr.P.C is unilateral Act.

It is therefore, this being fit case to apply the above observations laid down and Hon'ble the High Court. The personal appearance of the accused is dispensed with. Hence, the Compromise memo/application filed by the Complainant/CW-1 and her oral consent through the VC are considerable to be accepted. Hence, it is accepted. This case stands end with the compromise. The accused person is set at liberty.

Advocate Conciliator.

Judicial Conciliator.
VII ACMM, Bengaluru.

