

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Shamli at Kairana
Presiding Officer- Inder Preet Singh Josh, (Higher Judicial Service)
(J.O. Code- UP1894)

Criminal Misc. Case No.- 169/2026

Anwar s/o Liyakat, R/o Gram Jahanpura, P.S. Kairana, Dist. Shamli
.....Accused/Applicant.

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party.

Case Crime No.626/2015

u/s 393, 307, 506 IPC

P.S. Kairana, District Shamli

Date- 20.07.2026

An application has been filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Anwar through the Defence Legal Aid Defense Counsel, District Legal Services Authority, Shamli, stating that the aforesaid case is pending before this Court. The applicant/accused is a very poor person. The bail application of the applicant/accused was allowed by this Court on 03.04.2025, directing release upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹1,00,000/- and two sureties of the like amount. The applicant/accused lacks the financial and social capacity to fulfill the bail conditions imposed by this Court. The Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad, in Criminal Revision Application *No. Nil/2015, Veeru Kumar vs. State of Uttar Pradesh*, and the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *IN RE POLICY STRATEGY FOR GRANT OF BAIL SMWP (CRIMINAL) 04/2021* have also provided for relaxation of bail conditions in the orders passed therein.

On the aforesaid grounds, it has been prayed that the bail amount of the applicant/accused be reduced and an order be passed directing the furnishing of one surety instead.

The learned District Government Advocate (Criminal) has opposed the aforesaid application on behalf of the prosecution.

Heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused and the learned District Government Counsel (Criminal), and perused the documents on record.

From a perusal of the records, it is evident that in Case No. 626/2015 under Sections 393, 307, 506 IPC, P.S. Kairana, District Shamli, this Court, vide order dated 03.04.2025, granted bail to the applicant/accused upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹1,00,000/- and two sureties of the like amount. It has been submitted on behalf of the applicant/accused that his family lacks the financial and social status to comply with the bail conditions imposed by this Court.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, it is just and proper to exempt the applicant/accused from furnishing two sureties for

compliance with the bail order dated 03.04.2025, and instead direct him to furnish one surety of ₹25,000/- in place thereof.

Accordingly, permission is granted to the applicant/accused to comply with the bail order passed in the aforesaid case by furnishing one surety of ₹25,000/- in place of two sureties.

The aforesaid criminal miscellaneous case stands disposed of.

Inder Preet Singh Josh
Session Judge

Shamli