

Mohammad Ajim Vs. State of Haryana

Present:- Sh. Paramjeet, Advocate for the applicant Mohammad Ajim.
Sh. Anil Siwach, Ld. APP for the State.

Today the case was fixed for arguments on bail application of accused Mohammad Ajim. Arguments heard. Case file perused. It is the case of the applicant that he has been falsely implicated in the case. On the other hand prosecution has opposed the bail application.

Arguments heard. Perusal of the file shows that present FIR has been lodged against accused u/s 316(2), 318(4) and 317(2) BNS. Allegation against accused Mohammad Ajim is only u/s 317(2) BNS. Accused is in custody since 26.06.2026. Recovery has already been effected and no further recovery is pending against accused. Trial of the case is likely to take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused in further custody.

In view of the above facts and in the interest of justice **bail application of accused Mohammad Ajim is hereby allowed subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount. However, requisite bonds not furnished.** Bail application stands disposed of and tagged with the main case file.

Date of Order: 27.07.2026
Shrikant Ojha, Stenographer

(Vivek Tomar)
Addl. Civil Judge, (Sr. Divn.)/Sub
Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Bahadurgarh, UID No. HR-0437