



UPI010017592026

**The Court of District & Sessions Judge, Sitapur**

**Present- (Ashish Jain), (H.J.S.)**

**CIS No.- 761/2026**

**Bail Application No. 335/2026**

Raj Kumar @ R.K ..... Accused-applicant.,  
**Versus**  
State of U.P. .... Respondent.

**Case Crime No.- 20/2026**

**Section-305(A), 331(4), 317(2) B.N.S.**

**P.S.- Reusa, District Sitapur.**

**Disposed Bail Application Order**

1. This first bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant **Raj Kumar @ R.K** in Case Crime No. 20/2026 under sections 305(A), 331(4) and 317(2) B.N.S., P.S. Reusa, District Sitapur.
2. In connection with this bail application an affidavit of **Manju Verma** has also been filed stating that this is accused-applicant's first bail application and no other bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant either in this Court or in the Hon'ble High Court neither any bail application is pending before this Court nor has been rejected.
3. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that the complainant, Mahendra Pratap Singh, son of late Chandra Pratap Singh, is a resident of Village Nakaha Kanaura and due to the ongoing construction of his house, he is presently residing along with his family at the Panchayat Secretariat, Nakaha Kanaura. On the intervening night of 23/24.01.2026, unknown thieves broke open the locks of the rooms and committed theft of gold and silver ornaments, utensils, and cash amounting to approximately Rs. 40,000/- from the complainant's premises.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the accused-applicant and D.G.C. Criminal for the State and perused the record.

5. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant stated that the accused/applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. That the accused-applicant is completely innocent. That the accused-applicant has no connection with the said incident. That the F.I.R. of the said incident has been lodged against some unknown persons. That the complainant, in his written tahrir, has not clearly specified which gold or silver ornaments, and in what quantity, were allegedly stolen from the house, due to which the alleged recovery and the incident itself appear to be doubtful. That the accused-applicant was picked up from his house and challaned by the police. As per the prosecution story, the recovery is shown to have been made during the daytime and the place of recovery is frequented by the public; however, no independent public witness has been cited. That the accused-applicant, if enlarged on bail, is ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this Hon'ble Court and shall not misuse the liberty of bail granted to him and will appear before the Court on each date of hearing.
6. Per contra, learned D.G.C. Criminal has vehemently opposed this bail application.
7. Case Diary and materials available on record have been examined. From the perusal of the case diary it is evident that the accused-applicant has been languishing in jail since 01.03.2026 in the above case. From the perusal of the F.I.R. it reveals that the accused-applicant is not named in the alleged F.I.R. The said FIR has been lodged against some unknown persons. No presence of any independent eye-witness has been mentioned in the said F.I.R. The complainant himself is not an eye-witness of the said occurrence. In this connection I have gone through the recovery memo where the accused-applicant was arrested by the concerned police and 3 pair of anklets, 5 coins, one chain of neck, one ring and 15,500/- Rupees have been recovered from the accused-applicant's possession. But it is pertinent to mention that no presence of any independent eye-witness has been mentioned in the said recovery memo as well. The accused-applicant is not previously convict. Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, there is reasonable ground for the accused-

applicant to be released on bail. Hence, the bail application of the accused-applicant is liable to be **allowed**.

**ORDER**

This bail application moved on behalf of the accused-applicant **Raj Kumar @ R.K** in Case Crime No. 20/2026 under sections 305(A), 331(4) and 317(2) B.N.S., P.S. Reusa, District Sitapur is, hereby, allowed. The applicant/accused shall be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of **Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty-Five Thousand Rupees)** and two reliable sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Magistrate. It is hereby directed to the Sessions Clerk that a copy of this order be furnished to the Computer Section through which Computer Section can inform the Jailer of District jail Sitapur.

**Date:19.03.2026**  
Agam/-

**(Ashish Jain)**  
District and Sessions Judge  
Sitapur  
J.O. Code- UP- 2024