

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE BULDHANA.

{Presided Over by R. K. Sharma}

MHBU010008162026



Criminal Bail Application No. 120/2026.
Bhagwan Deorao Doifode +4.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra,
Through : PSO, Deulgaon Raja.

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 01.

(Passed on 07.05.2026)

01. The applicants Bhagwan Deorao Doifode, Shivanand Deorao Doifode, Anita Shivanand Deorao Doifode, Deubai Deorao Doifode, and Deorao Malhari Doifode have filed this application for granting of pre arrest bail in connection with Crime No. 05/2026, registered with P.S.O., Deulgaon Raja, for the offences punishable U/s. 498-A, 354-A, 494, read with section 34 of Indian Penal Code (in short IPC), and Sections 9, 10 and 11 of the Prohibition Child Marriage Act, 2006.

02. Briefly stated, the details of FIR show that on 02.01.2026 the informant – Manisha Bhagwan Doifode lodged the report with P.S.O., Deulgaon Raja, contending that these applicants are her in-laws. She got married with applicant No.1 when she was minor. From the beginning, applicants used to harass her on the various domestic grounds. Applicant No.2 was keeping ill eye and he was demanding to maintain physical relations with her. This fact was told to her husband even then he was keeping mum and used to beat her. In the year 2016-2017 she was drove out out of the house. After settling the matter with applicants, she came back for cohabitation even thereafter applicants continued to harass her. The applicant NO.1 contracted second marriage and drove her out of the house after taking the ornaments from her person and Rs. 10,000/- of her

wages from the cupboard. They all assaulted and caused hurt and insulted and threatened her with dire consequences. Accordingly, she filed the complaint.

03. Accordingly, having received this report, the said police station registered this Crime. Now, the applicant prayed for their anticipatory bail. They were not concerned with the said offences and the allegations levelled against them are false and baseless. Hence, the applicants prayed for their pre-arrest bail, as they were not concerned with these offences.

04. The APP objected this application by filing his formal say (Exhibit 5)

05. Contrarily, the informant, by filing her say urged that there are chances of settlement between the parties and hence, she had 'no objection', if the applicants are released on bail.

06. Heard Mr. Gothi Ld. Advocate for the applicants. He submitted that the applicants No.4 and 5 are old aged and not keeping well. Though, the marriage was performed long back, there was no police report of the alleged ill-treatment at the hands of in-laws of the deceased. Perused the Case Diary.

07. Considering their submissions and the record, at the outset, it is to be noted that having received the report, the present Crime came to be registered. The investigation is substantial complete. Simultaneously, all other allegations against the accused are vague. Much less, the informant could specifically narrate the alleged incidents. This report is also silent about a role, played by this applicants. More so, there are

merely 'common allegations' against the accused that they were subjecting her with cruelty and pressurizing her. It seems that lastly, they assaulted and forced her to leave their house. Surely, all such aspects of the matter require to be tested on trial. Hence, as of now, I am restraining myself to record any findings thereon. Notably, the object of granting an anticipatory bail is to safeguard personal liberty of the individual and to protect him from the possibility of being humiliated or say unnecessarily harassed by the police by taking him into custody. However, while doing so, a delicate balance is to be established in between the right of personal liberty of an individual, who is apprehending his arrest, and the Societal interest. For this proposition, a helpful reliance may be placed on the case of P. Chidambaram Vs. Directorate of Enforcement, (AIR 2019 SC 4198). Applying this principle to the present set of facts, it seems that presently, major portion of the investigation is over and the applicants are not needed for custodial interrogation in this Crime. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant an anticipatory bail to them. Needless to say that taking into account nature of the offences, allegedly committed, it would also be appropriate to impose certain conditions on their release. Hence, the Order:

ORDER

01. In the event of arrest in Crime No. 05/2026, registered with Police Station, Deulgaon Raja, for the offences punishable U/s. 498-A, 354-A, 494 read with 34 of IPC, the above named applicants be released on executing personal bonds of Rs. 30,000/- (Rs. Thirty Thousand) each and on their furnishing surely in the like amount, subject to the conditions that;

- (i). they shall attend the concerned Police Station, whenever asked for, and to co-operate with the investigation, till filing of the charge-sheet or passing of the further Order, whichever is earlier;

- (ii). they shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, who is acquainted with the facts of the accusation against her so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
 - (iii). they shall not indulge in any criminal activities in future,
 - (iv). they shall submit copies of at least two documents amongst the Passport, PAN Card, Aadhar Card, Ration Card, Electricity Bill or Voter Identity Card issued by the Election Commission of India.
02. Inform the concerned Police Station Officer accordingly.

Sd/-

(R. K. Sharma)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Buldhana,
District : Buldhana.

Buldhana.
Dated : 07.05.2026.

Certificate

“I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of the Steno. :- C. N. Mahale (Grade-I)
Name of the Court :- Addl. Sessions Judge
(Court No.3), Buldhana
Direct typed on :- 07.05.2026
Date of uploaded :- 07.05.2026