

6
MACT 903/24
MOHD AMIL
TYAGI(P)I(274/24/UP)
Vs.
NARENDAR
SINGH(UNITED)

10.08.2026

Present: Sh. Pinkal Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Ankit Gupta, Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 1 through VC.
None for respondents no. 2 to 4.

On the last date of hearing, an LC was appointed for recording of the evidence. However, no steps have been taken by the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner was not aware qua the order qua the appointment of the LC.

Presence of a proxy counsel for the petitioner is marked in the last order. Even otherwise the order sheets are uploaded on the internet. Three months have lapsed since the last order. Hence, the same clearly shows the negligence of the petitioner. **It is directed that the petitioner shall not be entitled for any interest w.e.f. today till the conclusion of PE.**

Put up for report of LC as well as for filing of duly filled Form XIII/XIV, recording of financial statement and final arguments on **07.12.2026**.

(Ruchika Singla)
Presiding Officer, MACT-01 (Central)
THC/Delhi/10.08.2026