



UPPB010028232026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PILIBHIT

Present:- Ravindra Kumar-IV

H.J.S., UPID No.- 2014

Regular Bail Application No. 1143/2026

Santosh Gangwar @ Rinku S/o- Shri Lokesh Kumar, R/o- Village-
Chausara & P.S.- Bisalpur, District- Pilibhit.

Vs.

State of UP

Case/ Crime No.- 228/2026,
U/S- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 140(1),
115(2), 352, 351(3) of B.N.S.,
P.S.- Bisalpur, District- Pilibhit

Dated- 02.07.2026

1. This Regular Bail Application has been moved by the aforesaid Applicant/Accused, in the above-said Case/Crime, wherein F.I.R. is dated 14.05.2026, reported incident is of same day.
2. As per the FIR, Complainant Rahul Tomar, who works at Toll Plaza Bisalpur, Pilibhit, has stated that on 14.05.2026 around 04.15 P.M., a Swift Car, bearing Registration No. UP-26-AX-5493, arrived at the toll plaza, the driver Raj Kamal Kashyap stopped his car and directed to remove the barrier. The employee of toll plaza, Virendra Sharma said you get the token, upon this Raj Kamal Kashyap, Rohit Patel, Yogendra Gangwar, Santosh @ Rinku, Ankur Gangwar attacked Virendra Sharma with Sticks and Rods with the intention of killing him. The above-said people had kidnapped him and started going to Barkhera Kalan Canal and hit him with Butt of the country-made gun.
3. Ld. Counsel for the Applicant/Accused, has vehemently argued that he is innocent person from all accounts and he had been been framed in the instant case falsely. He has not attacked Complainant with the intention of killing. He is in jail since 15.05.2026. The bail of co-accused Raj Kamal Kashyap has been granted by this Court. He seeks parity. He be admitted to Bail.
4. While opposing, Prosecution has argued that Applicant/Accused alongwith others had attacked Complainant and his fellow employee at toll plaza with the intention of killing them. If the Applicant/Accused is enlarged on bail, he would misuse the liberty again and will also

tamper with prosecution evidence. Therefore, his Bail Application is only liable to be rejected.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the Accused and Prosecution and perused the record available.

6. In this case, charge-sheet has been filed. On 16.06.2026, the bail of co-accused Raj Kamal Kashyap has been granted by this Court. The role of the present Applicants/Accused is not different from the accused, who has been granted bail. Applicant/Accused is in Jail since 15.05.2026. No useful purpose would be served by keeping him in Jail. In these facts & circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of this case, the Applicant/Accused be released on Bail, "As Bail is rule & Jail is exception". Hence, this Bail Application is accordingly allowed.

Order

The Applicant/Accused Santosh Gangwar @ Rinku, be enlarged on Bail in the above-said Case/Crime, on furnishing a Personal Bond in sum of Rs. 75,000/- (Seventy Five Thousand) and two reliable Local Sureties in the like amount, to the satisfaction of concerned Court/Magistrate. The Accused shall be released on bail subject to verification of sureties and upon the terms and conditions mentioned hereunder:-

- (i) That, the Applicant/Accused shall furnish an undertaking to the effect that he shall not induce the prosecution witnesses and further shall not move any adjournment application, baselessly to hinder the trial.
- (ii) That, the Applicant/Accused shall remain personally present in the Court on every important date i.e. at the stages of framing of Charge, Statement of Accused and on Pronouncement of Judgment etc.

Dated- 02.07.2026

(Ravindra Kumar- IV)
UPID2014
Sessions Judge,
Pilibhit