

Witness present and duly sworn in on:11.10.2022.

Cross examination by Shri. SJK Advocate for respondent-1.

It is not true to suggest that, Lorry was parked on National High way. Witness voluntarily says that, It was parked on foot path. It is not true to suggest that, there wont be foot path on National High way. It is not true to suggest that, in Mahazar it is not mentioned about the distance, gap and on how much portion the Lorry was parked. It is not true to suggest that, as Lorry was heavy vehicle if it is parked it would come on road. It is not true to suggest that, High way is prohibited area for parking.

Question: Is it mentioned in the spot Mahazar that Lorry was parked with parking indication?

Answer: Nothing is mentioned in spot Mahazar about parking indications.

2. It is not true to suggest that, the said accident took place as our lorry driver parked the lorry with out following parking rules. It is not true to suggest that, accident took place as Lorry was parked on the road at the time of evening. It is not true to suggest that, said accident took place due to fault of our Lorry driver. It is not true to suggest that, Lorry driver and owner got register false case against to Respondent-1 in collusion with police. I have no hurdle to examine our

driver. It is not true to suggest that, respondent-1 is not liable to pay any compensation. It is not true to suggest that, our company only liable to pay compensation. It is not true to suggest that, The primary cause of negligence is on the part of our driver only.

Cross examination by Smt. AVS advocate for petitioner.

I had not witnessed the accident. It is true to suggest that, at Mahazar sketch there is no mentioned that it was No parking or parking area. Complaint is lodged by Lorry driver. It is mentioned in the sketch about mad road.

Re-examination: NIL.

(Evidence deposed by the witness is computerized to my dictation in the open court).

R O I & A C

XV ASCJ, Bengaluru