

UPMT010017422026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
COURT NO.-01, MATHURA
Bail Application No.-826/2026
Presiding Officer: Ram Kishor Pandey (HJS)
Aalishan @ Patlu Vs. State

ORDER

- 1- This Bail Application is moved on behalf of accused/applicant Alishan @ Patlu S/o Badruddin in case Crime No.-66/2026, U/s 331(4), 305,317(2) B.N.S., P.S. Kosikalan, District Mathura.
- 2- As per the prosecution case, plaintiff Devendra Rawat submitted a complaint to the police station stating that he closed his shop Rawat Jewellers at 18.30 in the evening. Next morning at around 7.00 Am Sundar, the newspaper delivery boy, told his father on phone that the shutter of your shop is up. When he came and saw, the locks of his shop were broken and some gold and silver jewellerys have been stolen from his shop by unknown thieves. On the basis of above complaint, the aforesaid case was registered against unknown accused.
3. In the said Bail Application which is supported by affidavit of Badruddin, Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant has mainly submitted that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The prosecution story is false, concocted. That nothing incriminating has recovered but planted. There is no independent public witness. Accused has neither named in FIR nor there description is mentioned anywhere. Accused is of clear antecedents. He has no criminal history. Accused is in jail since 20.02.2026. He shall not misuse the liberty of bail and shall not tamper the prosecution witnesses. This is the first bail application of accused/applicant and apart from this no other bail application has been moved before any Court & Hon'ble High Court nor is it pending or rejected. Hence, prayer is made that the applicant/accused be released on bail.
4. Heard the Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. A.D.G.C. (Crl.) for State and perused the record.
5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has advanced his arguments in light of averments made in the aforesaid bail application and mainly submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in this case. That applicant/accused had no involvement in this crime and the recovery of articles etc. have been falsely shown by the police. In case applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and will cooperate in the trial.
- 6- Learned A.D.G.C. (Crl.) for the State opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid fact as argued by Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant/accused had no involvement in this crime and the recovery of articles etc. have been falsely shown by the police. However, he insisted that applicant/accused has stolen the alleged recovered items.
- 7- Perusal of the record shows that it is a case in which the applicant/accused broke the lock of the shop of plaintiff and stolen the gold and silver Jewellerys and police are alleged to have recovered a white metal object from his possession when he was arrested. There is no independent witness of the said incident. No such argument has been submitted by the prosecution side regarding any previous conviction of applicant/accused. Accused is in jail since 20.02.2026. The trial is likely to take considerable time, and per-trial detention of the accused would not serve any fruitful purpose. That considering the entire facts, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Court is of

the view that the applicant deserves to be released on bail Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed.

8- Let the applicant Alishan @ Patlu involved in the aforementioned case be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One lac only) and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned subject to following conditions:

1. The applicant/accused will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
2. The applicant will not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness.
3. The applicant will appear before the trial court on the date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted.
4. The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.
5. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.
6. The accused/applicant will necessarily remain present in the Court on the dates fixed for framing of charges, recording of statements and decision.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty.

Date-12.03.2026

(Ram Kishor Pandey)
ID No. UP 6052
Additional Session Judge
Court No.-01, Mathura