



UPMT010015282026
IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MATHURA
Presiding Officer: Sri Vikas Kumar-I, (H.J.S.)

Bail Application No. 698/2026

Rajveer alias Cheta S/o Mangal Singh, aged about 62 years, a resident of village Hakeempur, P.S. Highway, District Mathura.

-----**accused/applicant**

Versus

State of U.P.

-----**Opposite party**

1- Bail application moved by accused/applicant Rajveer alias Cheta in relation to Case Crime No. 121/2026, under Section 109(1) B.N.S., P.S. Highway, District Mathura, was rejected by the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mathura on 06.02.2026, thereupon this application is moved before this Court.

2- It is argued by learned counsel for the accused/applicant that applicant is innocent, Prosecution story is false & fabricated. Accused/applicant is in District Jail, Mathura since 06.02.2026. The applicant has not attacked anyone with a blade with the intention of killing. It is further argued that the complainant's maternal uncle Dharamveer had borrowed Rs. 50,000 from the applicant citing his need and had promised to return it in three months. When the money was not returned after three months, the applicant demanded his money, but Dharamveer did not return the applicant's money and asked for a week's time to return the money in the Panchayat. Meanwhile, in order to put pressure on the accused/applicant to usurp his money, the maternal uncle created a fake incident and by colluding with the police, falsely implicated the applicant in the above case. The applicant/accused has committed no crime. Applicant was not present at the spot at the time of the incident. It is further contended that the applicant has no criminal history and is not a convicted person. Applicant's learned counsel further argued that this is the first bail application, prior to this, no bail application has been filed or rejected or is pending before the Hon'ble High Court or this Hon'ble Court. The time of the incident is not mentioned in the First Information Report, which creates doubt of the alleged incident. There is no independent public witness of the alleged incident. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant regarding the alleged incident. The First Information Report of the alleged incident is belated for which no explanation has been given. It is further submitted that applicant is an old person. No offence is made out under Section 109(1) B.N.S. against the applicant. Thus, the accused/applicant be released on bail.

Opposing the bail application of accused/applicant, learned D.G.C. (Criminal) has argued that the applicant has attacked the complainant's brother Jeetu alias Jitender on his neck with a blade with intention to kill him. The accused/applicant has inflicted a dangerous injury on a vital part of the body, i.e., the neck, with a sharp-edged weapon (blade), clearly indicating intention to

commit murder. There is sufficient evidence in the case diary against the applicant/accused regarding commission of the alleged offence. After completing the investigation, the concerned I.O. has submitted charge-sheet before the concerned Court against the accused/applicant Rajveer alias Cheta under Section 109(1) B.N.S., P.S. Highway, District Mathura. Thus, the bail application of the accused/applicant should be rejected.

3- Heard learned counsel for the accused/applicant and learned D.G.C. (Crl.) for the State and perused the material available on record.

4- Facts of the prosecution case, in brief, are that the complainant Vishnu made a written complaint at police station Highway alleging therein that on 05.02.2026, his brother Jeetu alias Jitender had gone to do catering work at Dr. Charan Naval Farm House Palikheda, where a dispute arose with Rajveer alias Cheta S/o Mangal Singh, resident of Hakimpur, Mathura regarding catering work, due to which Rajveer alias Cheta with the intention of killing attacked his brother on his neck with a blade, as a result of which his brother suffered a serious injury on his neck and was admitted to Mathura Neuro Hospital for treatment, where his brother's condition is critical.

On the basis of above information, an F.I.R. under Section 109(1) B.N.S. was registered against the accused/applicant Rajveer alias Cheta on 06.02.2026, at 03.18 hours, at P.S. Highway, District Mathura.

5- The applicant is named in the First Information Report. After completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted against the accused/applicant Rajveer alias Cheta under Section 109(1) B.N.S., P.S. Highway, District Mathura.

On perusal of the medical examination report of the alleged injured Jeetu alias Jitender, it transpires that he sustained one injury, i.e. Large wound over neck from right side neck to anterior aspect approx 16 x 1 cm and deep approx 1 cm bleeding e sharp object.

Upon consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record, this Court finds that the injury has been caused on a vital part of the body (neck) with a sharp-edged weapon, which prima facie reflects the intention and knowledge to cause death. The nature of the injury is serious and life-threatening, thereby aggravating the gravity of the offence. The manner of assault, as alleged in the FIR, indicates a brutal and deliberate act, not a simple scuffle. At this stage, there is no material to disbelieve the prosecution version.

Considering the gravity of the offence, severity of injury, and possibility of influencing witnesses, this Court does not find it a fit case for grant of bail. Accordingly, the bail application of the accused/applicant is liable to be rejected.

ORDER

Bail application of the accused/applicant **Rajveer alias Cheta** is hereby rejected.

(Vikas Kumar-I)
Sessions Judge, Mathura
I.D. U.P.1910

Dated: 18.03.2026