



UPMA010030052026

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Mau.

Present:Sanjay Kumar Yadav-III, H.J.S.

Judicial Officer Code no.UP2023

Anticipatory Bail Application no. 977 of 2026

Rajesh Singh & Anr.

--Accused-applicants

Versus

State of U.P.

--Opposite party

ORDER

1. Present application for anticipatory bail has been moved on behalf of the accused applicants Rajesh Singh and Raj Singh involved in case crime no. 0155 of 2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 109(1), 352, 352, 351(3) B.N.S., within the territorial jurisdiction of Police Station Sarailakhansi, District Mau.
2. The brief facts of the case as per FIR are that, on 30.04.2026 at 9.30 A.M., opposite parties Rajesh Singh, Raj Singh, Rahul Singh and two unknown persons came started putting woods in the land of informant Sanjay Kumar Singh to occupy illegally on that land. When informant opposed them, accused persons being members of unlawful assembly, attacked at the informant having intention to kill him with cudgels and knife. Opposite parties also threatened him if he gives information at the Police Station, they would commit his murder.
3. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
4. The learned counsel for the accused-applicants submits that accused applicants are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. The incident is said to have occurred on 30.04.2026 at 9.30 A.M., but its FIR has been registered on 02.05.2026 at 19.36 O'clock, explanation of which has not been given by the prosecution. Co-accused Vishal Singh has also lodged report against the informant and 3 others in case crime no. 166 of 2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 115(2), 117(2), 352, 351(2) B.N.S on 30.04.2026 at 10.00 A.M. FIR of this case has been registered after registration of FIR on behalf of the accused Vishal Singh in rebut. Co-accused Rahul Singh @ Vishal Singh has been granted anticipatory bail. The Police is raiding their house and there is much apprehension that Police may arrest them and send in jail.
5. The learned In-charge District Government Counsel (CrI.) submits that accused applicants with the co-accused persons assaulted the informant causing grievous injuries on his body. The accused persons abused and threatened him. The offence is serious in nature. He prayed for rejection of application for anticipatory bail.
6. It is allegation on the accused applicants that accused

applicants with the co-accused persons has assaulted the informant with knife and cudgels having intention to kill him, due to which he received injuries on his body. The incident is said to have occurred on 30.04.2026 at 9.30 A.M., but its FIR has been registered on 02.05.2026 at 19.36 O'clock, explanation of which has not been given by the prosecution. Co-accused Vishal Singh has also lodged report against the informant and 3 others in case crime no. 166 of 2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 115(2), 117(2), 352, 351(2) B.N.S on 30.04.2026 at 10.00 A.M. FIR of this case has been registered after registration of FIR on behalf of the accused applicant. Co-accused Rahul Singh @ Vishal Singh has been granted anticipatory bail.. From the perusal of injury report of the injured Sanjay Kumar Singh, it appears that injured Sanjay Singh received seven injuries on his body, in which two injuries are lacerated wound, four injuries are contused swelling including injury no.7, while injury no.3 is incised wound 3.00 c.m. X 1.00 c.m. over left side of face with margin regular well defined edged with clean cut; advice-X-Ray face, referred to Surgeon D.H.Mau. But there is no X-Ray report on record. There is also no medical report on record, which shows that injuries sustained by the injured Sanjay Singh are fatal for life. In the cross case lodged by the co-accused Vishal Singh, accused Vishal Singh has also received nine injuries on his body. FIR of this case has been registered after registration of FIR on behalf of co-accused Vishal Singh. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as well as, cross case and injuries of cross case, delay of FIR and injuries sustained by the informant is not fatal for life and co-accused Rahul Singh @ Vishal Singh has been granted anticipatory bail, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, this Court finds it a fit case for anticipatory bail.

7. Hence, the application for anticipatory bail moved by the accused applicants Rajesh Singh and Raj Singh is ,hereby, allowed. Station Officer of Police Station concerned is directed that if he arrests the accused applicants, accused applicants will be released on anticipatory bail on each of them executing a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- and two sureties in the like amount on the following conditions:-

- 1-They shall appear before the investigating officer as and when required by him. They shall also appear before the trial Court and they shall not move unnecessary adjournment application during course of trial.

- 2-The accused applicants shall not directly or indirectly make inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence.

- 3-The accused applicants shall not leave India without permission of the Court.

(Sanjay Kumar Yadav-III)
UP2023

Dated June 06, 2026

Sessions Judge, Mau.

Typed by-Anil Kumar Srivastava, P.A.