



UPLK010029582026

**COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, LUCKNOW.**

PRESIDING OFFICER : MALKHAN SINGH, H.J.S.

**BAIL APPLICATION (U/S 483 B.N.S.S.) NUMBER : 1407/2026.**

**Shiva Pratap Singh**, aged about 22 years, S/O Satyakumar Singh, R/O 197/6 near Rama Hospital Jankipuram, PS Gudamba, Lucknow, Permanent Address- Bajranj Vihar Colony, Atraulli, PS Gudamba, District Lucknow.

**.....ACCUSED/APPLICANT.**

**VERSUS**

**State of Uttar Pradesh.**

**.....PROSECUTION.**

**Case Crime Number: 22/2026.**

**U/Section: 309(6), 317(2) BNS,**

**Police Station: Gomti Nagar,**

**District: Lucknow.**

**06.03.2026.**

This application praying for bail under Section 483 BNSS, supported with an affidavit, has been moved on behalf of applicant **Shiva Pratap Singh** in Case Crime Number and Sections aforementioned.

In a nutshell, the Prosecution Case is that informant Ashish Kumar Singh has alleged that on 30.01.2026, while his wife Shweta Singh was returning from shopping from Pallasio Mall wherein at about 5:32 PM, three unknown persons on R-15 motorcycle snatched away purse of his wife due to which she sustained injuries on her right hand. Purse contained mobile phone, Aadhar Card, Credit Card of Axis Bank and Rs. 25,000/- cash. Informant did not allowed for her medical again in Government hospital as treatment of his wife was already done.

Heard learned counsel for applicant as well as learned A.D.G.C (Crl.) for State and perused the material available on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Applicant was arrested on 05.02.2026 on the basis of secret information and he is languishing in jail since 06.02.2026. Recovered motorcycle does not belong to applicant. False recovery has been shown from the possession of applicant. There is no independent witness of the alleged incident. Applicant is not a previous convict.

Learned A.D.G.C. (Criminal) for State has vehemently argued that the applicant alongwith co-accused persons snatched away the purse of informant's wife due to which she sustained injuries. Applicant is a habitual offender in as much he is arraigned as accused in 14 criminal cases other than this case.

Allegations against applicant are of snatching purse of informant's wife in collusion with co-accused persons. Though applicant is not named in the first information report, however, at the time of his arrest by Police,

items concerned with this case are said to be recovered from the possession of accused-applicant and co-accused persons, on the basis of which as well as their disclosure statement, the accused/applicant and co-accused persons namely Gaurav Sharma and Mukul Lodhi are arraigned as accused in this case. From the possession of accused/applicant, police recovered one Oppo Mobile Phone, one black colored mask, one Aadhaar Card of Shweta Singh, Rs. 1500/- and one samsung mobile phone. Caught accused persons confessed that they had committed the theft. It is vehemently argued by learned A.D.G.C. (Criminal) for State that applicant is a habitual offender in as much as apart from this case, he is arraigned as accused in 14 other criminal cases, however, such criminal history has not been disclosed while preferring this bail application, which tantamounts to concealment of material fact regarding criminal history of the accused/applicant.

In view of aforesaid facts, after hearing learned counsels of both the parties, without commenting on merits of the Case, grounds for bail are not found to be sufficient and as such, bail application is liable to be rejected.

### **ORDER**

Bail Application is **rejected** accordingly.

**Dated: 06.03.2026.**

**( Malkhan Singh )**  
Sessions Judge,  
Lucknow.