



UPLK010028022026

COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, LUCKNOW.

PRESIDING OFFICER : MALKHAN SINGH, H.J.S.

BAIL APPLICATION (U/S 482 B.N.S.S.) NUMBER : 1337/2026

Aryan Pathak, aged about 30 years, S/O Shri Raj Kumar Pathak, R/O 1192, Amhat Bairihwa, Awas Vikas Colony, District Basti.

.....**ACCUSED/APPLICANT.**

VERSUS

State of Uttar Pradesh.

.....**PROSECUTION.**

Case Crime Number: 134/2024,
U/S: 498-A, 323, 504, 506 IPC
and 3/4 D.P. Act.

Police Station: B.B.D.,

District: Lucknow.

06.03.2026

Instant application, praying for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, supported with affidavit, has been moved by applicant-accused **Aryan Pathak** in Case Crime Number and Sections mentioned above.

In a nutshell, Prosecution Case is that marriage of informant Diksha Pathak was solemnized with Aryan Pathak on 19.02.2022. Informant's father expensed Rs. 30 Lakh in the marriage. After marriage, Aryan Pathak (husband), Raj Kumar Pathak (sasur), Smt. Renu Pathak (saas), Smt. Shipra Chaturvedi (nanad) and Ansh Chaturvedi tortured her and demanded dowry to the tune of Rs. 20 Lakh alongwith 8 gold rings and 5 gold chains. Informant herself gave Rs. 80,000/- for rings and her father gave Rs. 1 Lakh for purchase of scooty but still they tortured her wherein she got to know about her husband's relation with Akriti Singh and she further alleged that Aryan Pathak took money from her and gave it to Akriti Singh and always came to home in an intoxicated manner and tortured her and hacked her phone to destroy evidences. Her husband gave alcohol in cold-drinks on several occasions and made videos in an inebriated state and blackmailed to viral her video, in this event his sister Shipra Chaturvedi and Ansh Chaturvedi was also enjoined and planned to kill informant. In the month of June 2022, said persons asked her to leave her job and have no relations with her family but on 1.07.2022, said persons took jewellery from her mother and hurled abuses towards informant due to said torture, she met with two accidents. It is also alleged that said persons tortured her mentally and physically and threatened to viral her obscene video and kill her.

Heard learned counsel for applicant-accused and learned A.D.G.C (Criminal) for State and perused material available on record.

Learned counsel for applicant-accused has submitted that applicant is innocent, he has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated. Applicant and his family belongs to respected family. After marriage, applicant and his family gave all the things to informant required for her.

Informant asked to live separately from applicant's family whereon applicant started residing with informant in a rented house in Chinhat, Lucknow. On 06.04.2022, applicant caught informant in an intoxicated condition and when he asked about the same she stated that she became alcohol addict. Applicant caught informant talking with others on video call. Applicant is apprehending his arrest.

Per contra, learned ADGC (Crl.) for State has submitted that having found plausible evidences, charge-sheet has already been filed against accused/applicant.

Perusal of record reveals that accused/applicant alongwith co-accused inflicted cruelty upon informant after her marriage with applicant and it is further alleged that applicant and his family members demanded dowry to the tune of Rs. 20 Lakh alongwith 8 gold rings and 5 gold chains. Applicant was released by police during investigation after receiving notice under Section 41(A) Cr.P.C. Alleged offence entails punishment of less than or upto seven years.

After hearing learned counsels for parties at length, considering the facts and circumstances of the Case as well as the law propounded by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the authority of **Satender Kumar Antil Versus Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr. [(2022) 10 SCC 51]** (Order dated **21.03.2023** passed in Misc. Application in the said Case) as well as law propounded by Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench in the authority of **Smt. Bacchi Devi Versus State of U.P. and Anr.** (Order dated **12.08.2025** passed in Criminal Misc. Application u/s 528 BNSS Number 6400 of 2025), without commenting on merits of the Case, I find it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

ORDER

Accordingly, anticipatory bail application is **allowed**. In the event of appearance/arrest of applicant-accused in this Case, he shall be released on anticipatory bail, on his furnishing a personal Bond of **Rs. 40,000/-** alongwith one surety, in the like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Applicant shall cooperate with trial and shall appear before the trial court on or before the next date fixed and execute bonds thereof;
2. He shall not tamper with the evidence(s) and shall neither allure nor intimidate nor influence any of the witness-(es).
3. He shall not seek any unnecessary adjournments and shall remain present, in person, before Court concerned on the dates fixed for opening of the case, framing of charge, and recording of statement under section 313 Cr.P.C.
4. In the event of default of any of the condition mentioned above, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

Dated: 06.03.2026

(Malkhan Singh)
Sessions Judge,
Lucknow.