



UPLK010019532026

**COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, LUCKNOW.**

PRESIDING OFFICER : MALKHAN SINGH, H.J.S.

**BAIL APPLICATION (U/S 482 B.N.S.S.) NUMBER : 1070/2026.**

**Kaif Khan**, aged about 28 years, S/O Shaan Khan, R/O 414/618, Chaupatiya Road, Near Ma Gandhi Inter College, Sarai Mali Kha, Lucknow.

.....**ACCUSED/APPLICANT.**

*VERSUS*

**State of Uttar Pradesh.**

.....**PROSECUTION.**

**Case Crime Number:** 0014/2026,

**Under Section:** 69, 351(2) BNS.

**Police Station:** Kaiserbagh, Lucknow.

**06.03.2026**

This application praying for anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS, supported with affidavit, has been moved by the applicant/accused **Kaif Khan** in Case Crime Number and Sections aforementioned.

In a nutshell, Prosecution Case is that informant was in contact with Kaif Khan from the past four years. It is further alleged that, from the past four years he made physical relations with her on false pretense of marriage, he took informant to his house, in hotel G-9 Odiun Cinema and in the park too and made physical relations on false pretense of marriage. She met him for the last time in last week of August in Hotel G-9 and made physical relations whereon Kaif Khan and his mother Ruhi Khan refused to solemnize marriage with her and fixed marriage of Kaif Khan with some another women. Informant has all the photos and recordings of the incident. Said persons threatened informant not to complaint about the incident otherwise they will kill her.

Heard learned counsel for applicant as well as learned A.D.G.C (Crl.) for State of U.P. and perused material available on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that families of informant and applicant know each other from the past and informant and her family asked for marriage but applicant's family refused as they had already fixed the marriage of applicant with someone else. Applicant's marriage solemnized on 10.01.2026 and after his marriage, victim and her family members started demanding money. He has been falsely implicated in this case just to usurp money. Victim blackmailed applicant. There is an inordinate and unexplained delay in registration of FIR. Applicant has no previous criminal history.

Learned A.D.G.C (Criminal) opposed bail application and submitted that on the false pretext of marriage, the applicant took the informant to several places where he made physical relations with her. Investigation is pending in this case.

Allegations against applicant are of extending false promise of marriage to informant, taking her to several places and establishing physical relations with her several times on the strength of said false promise. Informant has comprehensively specified allegations against applicant in first information report. Even in her statement(s) recorded under Section 180 and 183 Bhartiya

Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita prosecutrix has supported prosecution version. Investigation is pending in this case. Hotel Manager of hotel G-9 has stated that accused-applicant booked a room in this hotel on 06.04.2025 whereon victim also came to the said hotel which is recorded in his hotel register. It is well settled that the power to grant anticipatory bail is extraordinary and should be exercised sparingly.

After hearing learned counsels for both parties, considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the gravity, seriousness of offence and punishment prescribed therefor, without commenting on the merits of this Case, grounds for anticipatory bail are not found sufficient and as such, this anticipatory bail application is liable to be rejected.

### **ORDER**

Accordingly, anticipatory bail application is **rejected**.

**Dated: 06.03.2026.**

**( Malkhan Singh)**  
Sessions Judge,  
Lucknow.